

COMMISSIONERS' AGENDA ACTION SHEET

Meeting Date:	September 14, 2021	Memo Date:	September 8, 2021
Subject:	Public Hearing: Benton County's Shoreline Master Program Periodic Review		
	Greg Wendt, Director, Community Development Department		
Presenter:	Ben Floyd, White Bluffs Consulting		
Prepared By:	Donna Hutchinson		
Reviewed By:	Greg Wendt		
PA Review, Approval to Form:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A (If no, include reasoning for no approval)		
Type of Agenda Item:	Type of Action Needed: (Multiple boxes can be checked, if necessary)		
☐ Consent Agenda ☒ Public Hearing ☐ Scheduled Business	☐ Discussion Only ☒ Decision / Direction ☐ Sign Letter / Document ☒ Pass Motion ☒ Pass Resolution ☐ Pass Ordinance ☐ Execute Contract		

Summary / Background Information

On June 22, 2021 the Board of County Commissioners held a public hearing on the Periodic Update to the Shoreline Master Plan (SMP) for Benton County. The Shoreline Management Act (SMA), RCW 90.58, requires mandatory "periodic updates" to local shoreline master programs every eight (8) years. Every Washington city and county must periodically review and, if needed, revise its Shoreline Master Program (SMP) to ensure compliance with the SMA.

The public hearing was open for public testimony but there was no one present who wished to testify so the public portion of the hearing was closed, and a motion was then made to continue the public hearing decision making process until August 17, 2021 and then continued from August 17, 2021 to September 14, 2021 (BCCM 2.1). This was done in order to obtain Initial approval of the SMP from the Dept. of Ecology.

On September 7, 2021, Ecology submitted a formal written statement of initial concurrence of the proposed amendments. The County's next step in this process is to formally adopt the Periodic Update to the Shoreline Master Program and submit the final SMP for formal Ecology approval as outlined in WAC 173-26-110.

Fiscal Impact

None known at this time.

Recommendation

It is the recommendation of the Benton County Planning Department and the Planning Commission that the Board approve the Shoreline Master Plan as presented and as initially approved by the Dept. of Ecology and that:

1. The Board of County Commissioners accepts the Washington State Department of Ecology's change, as outlined in Ecology's letter dated September 7, 2021.

2. The Board of County Commissioners authorizes the Chairman to sign and send a letter to Washington State Department of Ecology accepting Ecology's changes to the Benton County Shoreline Master Program,

3. The Board of County Commissioners directs the Benton County Planning Department to make the changes to Benton County Shoreline Master Program as provided in Ecology's letter dated September 7, 2021.

Suggested Motion

I move that the Board of County Commissioners adopt the Planning Commission's Findings and Conclusions as our own and that the Board of County Commissioners locally approve the Periodic Update to the Shoreline Master Program in accordance with the Department of Ecology's submitted statement of initial concurrence.

RESOLUTION

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF BENTON COUNTY, WASHINGTON
IN THE MATTER OF THE BENTON COUNTY SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM UPDATE (SMP) IN
ACCORDANCE WITH WASHINGTON STATE SHORELINE MANAGEMENT ACT (SMA) RCW 90.58,
PURSUANT TO SMP GRANT AGREEMENT NO. SEASMP-1921-BeCOPD-00012.

WHEREAS, the people of the State of Washington enacted the Shoreline Management Act (RCW 90.58) by a vote of the people in 1971; and,

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act (RCW 36.70A.480) adds the goals and policies of the Shoreline Management Act as set forth in RCW 90.58.020 as one of the goals of the Growth Management Act without creating an order of priority; and,

WHEREAS, the last update of the Benton County Shoreline Master Program (SMP) was completed and approved by the Washington State Department of Ecology on February 16, 2015. Per RCW, the Benton County SMP is required to be updated by June 2021; and,

WHEREAS, the County received a grant from the Washington State Department of Ecology to defray the costs of the update process; and,

WHEREAS, the County developed a public participation plan that provided public notice, a project website, public meetings and hearings before the Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners between June of 2019 and June of 2021; and,

WHEREAS, the County used the Shoreline Master Program Periodic Review Checklist to determine which areas of the plan needed to be reviewed and possibly amended; and,

WHEREAS, the County coordinated with the State of Washington Department of Ecology in preparing interim products and solicited comments consistent with the Grant Agreement No. SEASMP-1921-BeCOPD-00012; and,

WHEREAS, the County considered and responded to government agency and public comments and prepared and issued Revised Draft Shoreline Master Programs or elements thereof for Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioner review; and,

WHEREAS, an Environmental Review was made by Benton County in File No. EA 2021-003, and a determination of Non-Significance was issued on February 24, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, the 60-day Notice of Proposed Amendment was submitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce and acknowledgment was received on February 24, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, a Joint Open Record hearing with the Department of Ecology was held on April 13, 2021 with the legal notice for the Planning Commission Open Record Hearing being published on March 24, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, a joint local/state public comment period was conducted from March 24, 2021 to April 23, 2021 where comments on the proposed Shoreline Master Plan were accepted; and,

WHEREAS, those listed on the listed on the Public Participation Plan e-mail lists were given notice

of the Planning Commission Open Record Hearing by e-mail on March 23, 2021, and,

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission open record hearing was held on April 13, 2021, in a virtual format via Web Ex due to COVID 19 restrictions; and,

WHEREAS, the following Planning Commission members were present at the April 13, 2021 meeting; Martin Sheeran, Tammara McKeirnan, Marjorie Kaspar, Lloyd Coughlin, and Brian Skeels; and,

WHEREAS, at the Joint Open Record Hearing on April 13, 2021, the Planning Commission received information and considered all evidence and testimony. After closing the public testimony portion of the open record hearing, the Planning Commission made a motion to continue the public hearing for decision making only to May 11, 2021; and,

WHEREAS at the continued open record hearing on May 11, 2021, the Planning Commission considered all evidence and testimony and made a motion to approve the Shoreline Master Plan as presented and to forward to the Board of County Commissioners a recommendation for approval; and,

WHEREAS, the legal notice for the Board of County Commissioners Open Record Hearing on June 22, 2021 was published on June 9, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, those listed on the Public Participation Plan email lists were given notice of the Board of County Commissioners Open Record Hearing by e-mail on June 10, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, the public hearing was open for public testimony but there was no one present who wished to testify so the public portion of the hearing was closed, and a motion was then made to continue the public hearing decision making process until August 17, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, the Open Record Hearing was continued until August 17, 2021 due to the need to obtain Initial Approval by the Dept. of Ecology; and was then further continued until September 14, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, on September 7, 2021, the Washington Department of Ecology approved the Benton County Shoreline Master Program subject to the one recommended change listed in their initial approval letter; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners conducted an Open Record Hearing on September 14, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. via an in person and virtual format in the Commissioners Meeting Room on the Third Floor of the Courthouse, in Prosser, WA 99350; and,

WHEREAS, the following Board of County Commissioner members were present at the September 14, 2021 meeting; Jerome Delvin, Shon Small, and Will McKay; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners at the Open Record Hearing reviewed all testimony and public hearing exhibits that were entered into evidence; and,

WHEREAS, once the County locally approves the Shoreline Master Program, it will be sent to the State of Washington Department of Ecology for final review and approval, a process which may

entail further changes and amendments to the documents of the Shoreline Master Program; and,

WHEREAS, upon Washington Department of Ecology's final approval, the County will adopt the Shoreline Master Program by resolution; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners has reviewed the change proposed by the Washington State Department of Ecology and finds it to be consistent with the goals and policies of the Benton Shoreline Master Program; NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS that:

Section 1. The Board of County Commissioners accepts the Washington State Department of Ecology's change, as outlined in Ecology's letter dated September 7, 2021.

Section 2. The Board of County Commissioners authorizes the Chairman to sign and send a letter to Washington State Department of Ecology accepting Ecology's changes to the Benton County Shoreline Master Program,

Section 3. The Board of County Commissioners directs the Benton County Planning Department to make the changes to Benton County Shoreline Master Program as provided in Ecology's letter dated September 7, 2021.

The Planning Division is authorized to make necessary corrections to Exhibits including, but not limited to, the correction of scrivener's/clerical errors, dates and references, resolution numbering, section/subsection numbers and any references thereto.

Section 4. The Clerk of the Board is authorized to make necessary corrections to the Resolution including, but not limited to, the correction of scrivener's/clerical errors, dates and references, resolution numbering, section/subsection numbers and any references thereto.

Dated this 14th day of September 2021.

Chairman of the Board

Member

Member

Constituting the Board of County
Commissioners of Benton County
Washington.

Attest _____
Clerk of the Board

GW/djh

TO: Greg Wendt, Director of Community Development, Benton County
CC: Jackie Chandler, Shoreline Administrator, WA Department of Ecology
FROM: Chelsea Benner, Shoreline Planner, Ecology
Date: September 7, 2021
Subject: **SMP Periodic Review** - Initial Determination of Consistency
Sent via email to: Greg.Wendt@co.benton.wa.us

Use of this Document

Ecology's *Determination of Initial Concurrence* provides Ecology's review of the proposed amendment to the Benton County (County) Shoreline Master Program (SMP). This document is divided into two sections: **Findings of Fact**, which provides findings related to the County's proposed amendment, amendment history, and the review process and **Initial Determination** of the proposed amendment with next steps.

Attachment 1 itemizes issues that can be addressed prior to Ecology's final approval of the proposed amendment.

Brief Description of Proposed Amendment

Benton County is undergoing a statutorily required periodic review of their Shoreline Master Program (SMP) and has submitted their draft SMP amendment to Ecology for an initial determination as required by the joint review process and consistent with WAC 173-26-104(3). The SMP regulates shoreline uses and activities along the Columbia and Yakima Rivers within the County.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Need for amendment

Benton County comprehensively updated their master program in 2015. This current amendment is needed to comply with the statutory deadline for a periodic review of the SMP pursuant to RCW 90.58.080(4).

SMP provisions to be changed by the amendment as proposed

The County prepared a checklist and an analysis documenting the proposed amendment. The amendment will bring the SMP into compliance with requirements of the Shoreline Management Act, or state rules that have been added or changed since the last SMP amendment, ensure the SMP remains consistent with amended comprehensive plans and regulations, and incorporate revisions deemed necessary to reflect changed circumstances, new information, or improved data. Locally initiated changes include provisions incorporating comments received throughout the public participation process.

In addition to needed general formatting and citation corrections, the following amendments to the SMP are proposed:

Section 15.02 Definitions

The following definitions have either been removed, added, or revised: Board of Adjustment, Hearings Examiner, Development, Qualified Professional, and Substantial Development.

Section 15.04 Shoreline Environment Designations

Section 04.120 Developments Not Required to Obtain Shoreline Permits or Local Reviews had been added.

Section 15.05 General Regulations and Performance Standards

Replant treated area with native riparian vegetation added to 05.030(j)

Section 15.06 Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction

06.010 (e)(2) Language has been revised to require that critical area studies performed for a project include identification of all critical areas adjacent to the proposed project area.

06.020 (d) Definitions for categories of wetlands have been removed.

Section 15.07 Use-Specific and Modification Regulations and Performance Standards

07.150 (m) Language added for a 90 day timeline for permits associated with state highway projects

Amendment History, Review Process

The County prepared a public participation program in accordance with WAC 173-26-090(3)(a) to inform, involve and encourage participation of interested persons and private entities, tribes, and applicable agencies having interests and responsibilities relating to shorelines. An important element of the public participation plan is the County's SMP Periodic Review project website. The County developed draft documents in collaboration with Ecology. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the County held public meetings in a virtual format, including a public Open House held December 21, 2020 and Planning Commission meetings addressing this topic.

The County used Ecology's Periodic Review checklist of legislative and rule amendments to review amendments to chapter 90.58 RCW and department guidelines, that have occurred since the master program was last amended, and determine if local amendments were needed to maintain compliance in accordance with WAC 173-26-090(3)(b)(i). The County also reviewed changes to the comprehensive plan and development regulations to determine if the shoreline master program policies and regulations remain consistent with them in accordance with WAC 173-26-090(3)(b)(ii). The County considered whether to incorporate any amendments needed to reflect changed circumstances, new information or improved data in accordance with WAC 173-26-090(3)(b)(iii). The County consulted with Ecology and solicited comments throughout the review process.

State Local Joint comment period under WAC 173-26-104

Ecology and the County held a joint state/local public comment period on the proposed SMP amendment following the procedures outlined in WAC 173-26-104. The comment period began on March 24, 2021 and continued through April 23, 2021. Ecology and the County held a joint public hearing before the Planning Commission on April 13, 2021. Due to the Governor's "Stay Home Order" the Public Hearing was held via video conferencing.

The County provided notice of the Joint comment period and hearing, including a statement that the hearing was intended to address the periodic review in accordance with WAC 173-26-090(3)(c)(ii). Affidavits of publication provided by the City indicate notice of the joint comment period and hearing was published on March 24, 2021 in *The Prosser Record Bulletin*. The City also sent out notice of comment period and hearing to an interested parties list via email on March 23, 2021.

Ecology distributed notice of the joint local/state comment period to state interested parties on March 22, 2021. The Confederated Tribes of the Yakama Nation were notified on March 22, 2021 by email and letter. No comments were received from the Confederated Tribes of the Yakama Nation during this process.

During the joint comment period, a public comment letter was received from two individuals, Patrick Paulson and Laurie Ness. The comment letter contained concerns around existing provisions and how those provisions ensure no net loss, and consistency between the critical area ordinance and the SMP. The comment letter also provided suggestions to improve language around wetland categories and the use of critical area reports for clarity and improved protection.

It is of note that after the joint comment period closed, the City received a comment letter from Futurewise dated June 21, 2021. The letter expressed concern that the riparian buffers in the existing SMP do not adequately protect the associated critical areas. Future wise suggests using new Department of Fish and Wildlife guidance which includes site potential tree height for determining appropriate buffers.

City staff reviewed and summarized both comments received, and provided responses in the form of staff recommendations. The City ultimately made the following changes in response to comments.

- 05.030(j) Addition of language requiring treated areas be replanted with native riparian vegetation.
- 06.010 (e)(2) Language has been revised to require that critical area studies performed for a project include identification of all critical areas adjacent to the proposed project area.
- 06.020 (d) Removal of definitions for categories of wetlands.

These changes have been formally incorporated into the proposed draft.

The proposed SMP amendments were received by Ecology on June 30, 2021 for initial state review. The submittal was supplemented on July 19, 2021 and verified as complete on July 19, 2021. This began Ecology's review and initial determination.

Ecology consideration of comments

The comments received requested that the County revise riparian buffers in shoreline jurisdiction. The buffers in the CAO apply to streams not identified as shorelines. The CAO¹ specifically refers to the SMP for identification of shoreline buffers. Therefore the buffers in which the comment letter request to be changed are those non-shoreline streams that lie within shoreline jurisdiction.

As part of the comprehensive update, local governments had to weigh many considerations in balancing the policies of the SMA. To that end, the County's SMP includes appropriate use allowances and restrictions, stream buffers, vegetation management standards, and the requirement for projects to demonstrate mitigation sequencing. As a package the SMP provides for ecological protection and

¹ Benton County Code 15.14.040(g)(2)

requires appropriate mitigation to achieve no net loss of shoreline ecological functions, while prioritizing water-oriented uses and increasing public access in the public interest as required by the SMA (RCW 90.58.020).

Ecology has reviewed the comments received during the Benton County Periodic Review process and we concur with the changes the county has made in response to comments.

Ecology finds the County's responses are consistent with the statutory obligations for conducting periodic reviews². Ecology finds the County considered whether to incorporate any amendments to reflect changed circumstances, new information, or improved data, as provided or raised during the comment period. Ecology finds that the County's approach to protecting riparian buffers approved in 2015 as part of the comprehensive update, continues to achieve no net loss of shoreline ecological function and remain consistent with the SMA and SMP Guidelines.

The County determined, and Ecology concurs, that no additional amendments are warranted at this time based upon the significance of this information and the existing SMP provisions.

Ecology recommended change

Ecology has identified one recommended change to the SMP amendment for consideration by the City.

Definitions

1. The SMP currently organizes definitions in alphabetical order. One of the proposed changes made to the SMP is delete the definition for "Board of Adjustment" and replace with "Hearing Examiner." We would recommend that the definition for "Hearing Examiner" be relocated to the appropriate location following the alphabetical organization.

Ecology finds that the recommended change, set forth above would be consistent with the policy and standards of RCW 90.58 and the applicable guidelines if implemented.

INITIAL DETERMINATION

The following constitutes Ecology's written statement of initial concurrence, consistent with WAC 173-26-104(3)(b)(ii):

After review by Ecology of the complete record submitted and all comments received, Ecology has determined that the City's proposed amendment is consistent with the policy and standards of RCW 90.58.020 and RCW 90.58.090 and the applicable SMP guidelines (WAC 173-26-171 through 251 and .020 definitions).

Next Steps

Consider the changes identified by Ecology. Please let me know if you would like to discuss our recommended changes. We anticipate being able to approve your SMP Periodic Review amendment after formal submittal is provided consistent with WAC 173-26-110.

² WAC 173-26-090(3)(b)(iii))



BCCM 3.4



Benton County


DRAFT

2021 PERIODIC **SHORELINE**
MASTER PROGRAM UPDATE

Locally Approved ~~June 3, 2014~~ _____

Ecology Initial Approval ~~February 16, 2015~~ September 7, 2021

Benton County Grant No. ~~G1200022~~ SEASMP-1921-BeCOPD-00012



SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM
FOR
BENTON COUNTY

Originally Adopted in 1974

AMENDMENTS:

Update locally approved June 3, 2014 by Resolution # 2014-440

Update Adopted by Ecology on February 16, 2015

2021 Update locally approved _____ by Resolution # _____

2021 Update approved by Ecology on _____



BENTON COUNTY SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM 20~~14~~21

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Jerome Delvin, Chairman

Shon Small

Will McKay ~~James Beaver, Chairman~~

BENTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Martin Sheeran, Chairman ~~Toni Harris~~ Marjorie Kaspar Tammy McKeirnan

Leo Perales Brian Skeels Lloyd Coughlin Curtis Wills

~~James Willard~~ ~~Richard Giberson~~ ~~Yi Qu~~ ~~Darwin Crosby~~

BENTON COUNTY PLANNING STAFF

Greg Wendt, Director

Michelle Cooke, Assistant Planning Manager

Donna Hutchinson, Office Assistant IV

~~Mike Shuttleworth, Planning Manager~~

~~Susan Walker, Senior Planner~~

~~Valerie Smith, Associate Planner~~

The Benton County Planning Staff would like to extend their thanks and appreciation to the residents of Benton County and the Benton County Planning Commission for their contributions throughout development of this Shoreline Master Program.

~~*Shoreline Master Program Update Resolution #2014-440 locally approved June 3, 2014.~~

~~*Approved by the Department of Ecology with an effective date of February 16, 2015.~~

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Shoreline Master Program Policy Chapter	1
A. Introduction	1
1. Purpose and Relationship to State Planning and Shoreline Laws	1
2. Profile of Benton County's Shoreline Jurisdiction	3
B. General Statement of Goals	3
C. Shoreline Uses and Modifications Sub-element	4
1. Shoreline Environment Designation Policies	4
2. Agriculture Policies	5
3. Aquaculture Policies	6
4. Boating and Private Moorage Facilities Policies	6
5. Breakwaters, Jetties, Groins and Weirs Policies	6
6. Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal Policies	6
7. Fill Policies	6
8. In-Stream Structures Policies	7
9. Mining Policies	7
10. Residential Development Policies	7
11. Shoreline Stabilization Policies	7
12. Utilities Policies	8
13. Existing Development Policies	8
D. Economic Development Sub-element	9
1. Commercial Development Policies	9
2. Industry Policies	9
E. Public Access Sub-element	9
G. Recreation Sub-element	10
H. Circulation Sub-element	10
I. Conservation Sub-element	11
1. Environmental Protection Policies	11
2. Critical Areas Policies	11
3. Shoreline Vegetation Conservation Policies	11
4. Water Quality, Stormwater, and Nonpoint Pollution Policies	12
J. Historic / Cultural Sub-element	12
K. Flood Hazard Management Sub-element	12
L. Restoration Sub-element	12
M. Shoreline Process and Administration Sub-element	13
Shoreline Master Program Regulations	14
Reader's Guide	14
The Shoreline Management Act and Benton County's SMP	14
Shoreline Jurisdiction	14

Applicability and Exemptions	14
How to Read and Apply this SMP	15
Coordination of Permits and Requirements with Other Agencies	15
Section 15.01 Authority and Purpose	17
01.010 Authority	17
01.020 Purpose	17
01.030 Applicability	17
01.040 Findings	18
01.050 Relationship to Other Codes, Ordinances and Plans	18
01.060 Liberal Construction	18
01.070 Effective Date	19
Section 15.02 Definitions	19
Section 15.03 Shoreline Jurisdiction and Use Preferences	34
03.010 Definition	34
03.020 General Shoreline Use Preferences	35
03.030 Shorelines of Statewide Significance	35
Section 15.04 Shoreline Environment Designations	37
04.010 Urban Transition Area	37
04.020 Rural Industrial	38
04.030 Residential	39
04.040 Rural	39
04.050 Hanford	40
04.060 Conservancy	40
04.070 Natural	41
04.080 Aquatic	42
04.090 Environment Designation Interpretation	43
04.100 Official Shoreline Maps and Unmapped or Undesignated Shorelines	43
04.110 Use and Modifications Matrix	44
04.120 Development Standards	47
Section 15.05 General Regulations and Performance Standards	48
05.010 Archaeological and Historic Resources	48
05.020 Environmental Protection	48
05.030 Shoreline Vegetation Conservation	50
05.040 Water Quality, Stormwater, and Nonpoint Pollution	52
05.050 Public Access	52
05.060 Flood Hazard Reduction	54
Section 15.06 Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction	56
06.010 General	56
06.020 Wetlands	59
06.030 Rivers and Creeks	65
06.040 Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas	69
06.050 Frequently Flooded Areas	70
06.060 Geologically Hazardous Areas	70
06.070 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas	72

Section 15.07	Use-Specific & Modification Regulations & Performance Standards	73
07.010	Agriculture	73
07.020	Aquaculture	74
07.030	Boating Facilities and Private Moorage Structures	74
07.040	Breakwaters, Jetties, Groins, and Weirs	79
07.050	Commercial Development	79
07.060	Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal	80
07.070	Fill	81
07.080	Industry	82
07.090	In-Stream Structures	83
07.100	Mining	83
07.110	Recreational Development	83
07.120	Residential Development	84
07.130	Shoreline Habitat and Natural Systems Enhancement Projects	84
07.140	Shoreline Stabilization	85
07.150	Transportation	88
07.160	Utilities	89
Section 15.08	Nonconforming Uses, Structures, and Lots	89
08.010	Non-Conforming Uses and Structures: Continuance and Discontinuance	89
08.020	Alteration, Expansion, or Restoration of Nonconforming Uses and Structures	90
08.030	Nonconforming Lots	90
08.040	Pre-Existing Legal Residential Uses – Conforming Legal Residential Structures	91
Section 15.09	Administration, Permits, and Enforcement	91
09.010	Purpose	91
09.020	Administrative Responsibilities	92
09.030	Noticing Requirements	92
09.040	Exemption from Permit Requirements	93
09.050	Interpretations	93
09.060	Permit Applications	93
09.070	Procedures Applicable to all shoreline permits	94
09.080	Procedures Applicable to Substantial Development Permits	94
09.090	Procedures Applicable to Shoreline Conditional Use Permits	95
09.100	Procedures Applicable to Shoreline Variances	95
09.110	Revisions to Permits	96
09.120	Enforcement Authority	97
09.130	Amendments to SMP	97
09.140	Monitoring	98

SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM POLICY CHAPTER



A. Introduction

1. Purpose and Relationship to State Planning and Shoreline Laws

Washington State's citizens voted to approve the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) of 1971 in November 1972. In accordance with the SMA, Benton County developed and adopted its first Shoreline Master Program (SMP) in 1974. A SMP is a set of goals, policies and regulations required by the SMA that:

- Encourages reasonable and appropriate development of shorelines with an emphasis on water-oriented use, such as docks, marinas, and recreational facilities, or industries and commercial uses that require a shoreline location and support economic development; and,
- Protects the natural character of the shorelines, the land, vegetation, wildlife, and shoreline environment; and,
- Promotes public access and provides opportunities to enjoy views and recreational activities in shoreline areas.

The SMP addresses the Yakima and Columbia Rivers, land within 200 feet of the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM) of these rivers, their floodways, contiguous 100-year floodplain extending up to 200 feet inland of the floodway, and associated wetlands.

In 2003 the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) updated the SMP Guidelines (referenced as SMP Guidelines). The SMA and implementing SMP Guidelines require all towns, cities, and counties across the state to comprehensively update their SMPs. The SMP update allows preparation of a locally tailored program that represents the visions and interests of our citizens and meets the needs of our rural communities. The SMP is required to be updated and adopted by June ~~2014~~ 2021.

After the local development and adoption process is complete, the completed SMP is reviewed by Ecology to ensure compliance with the SMP Guidelines. The SMP does not become effective until it has been adopted by the County and approved by Ecology.

This SMP Policy Chapter addresses one aspect of requirements: a statement of goals and policies. Detailed regulations are located in the Benton County Code Title 15. Together, the Shoreline Master Program Policy Chapter and the Shoreline Master Program Regulations constitute the entire SMP.

The SMP Policy Chapter is considered a sub area plan of the Benton County Comprehensive Land Use Plan (Comprehensive Plan) prepared in accordance with the Growth Management Act (GMA). The GMA was amended in 1995 to add the goals and policies of the SMA as one of the goals of the GMA. The purpose of the SMA is stated in RCW 90.58.020 as follows:

"The legislature finds that the shorelines of the state are among the most valuable and fragile of its natural resources and that there is great concern throughout the state relating to their utilization, protection, restoration, and preservation. In addition, it finds that ever increasing pressures of additional uses are being placed on the shorelines necessitating increased coordination in the management and development of the shorelines of the state. The legislature further finds that much of the shorelines of the state and the uplands adjacent thereto are in private ownership; that unrestricted construction on the privately owned or publicly owned shorelines of the state is not in the best public interest; and therefore, coordinated planning is necessary in order to protect the public interest associated with the shorelines of the state while, at the same time, recognizing and protecting private property rights consistent with the public interest. There is, therefore, a clear and urgent demand for a planned, rational, and concerted effort, jointly performed by federal, state, and local governments, to prevent the inherent harm in an uncoordinated and piecemeal development of the state's shorelines.



It is the policy of the state to provide for the management of the shorelines of the state by planning for and fostering all reasonable and appropriate uses. This policy is designed to insure the development of these shorelines in a manner which, while allowing for limited reduction of rights of the public in the navigable waters, will promote and enhance the public interest. This policy contemplates protecting against adverse effects to the public health, the land and its vegetation and wildlife, and the waters of the state and their aquatic life, while protecting generally public rights of navigation and corollary rights incidental thereto.

In the implementation of this policy the public's opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of natural shorelines of the state shall be preserved to the greatest extent feasible consistent with the overall best interest of the state and the people generally. To this end uses shall be preferred which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment or are unique to or dependent upon use of the state's shoreline. Alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines of the state, in those limited instances when authorized, shall be given priority for single-family residences and their appurtenant structures, ports, shoreline recreational uses including but not limited to parks, marinas, piers, and other improvements facilitating public access to shorelines of the state, industrial and commercial developments which are particularly dependent on their location on or use of the shorelines of the state and other development that will provide an opportunity for substantial numbers of the people to enjoy the shorelines of the state. Alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines and shorelands of the state shall be recognized by the department. Shorelines and shorelands of the state shall be appropriately classified and these classifications shall be revised when circumstances warrant regardless of whether the change in circumstances occurs through man-made causes or natural causes. Any areas resulting from alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines and shorelands of the state no longer

meeting the definition of "shorelines of the state" shall not be subject to the provisions of chapter 90.58 RCW.

Permitted uses in the shorelines of the state shall be designed and conducted in a manner to minimize, insofar as practical, any resultant damage to the ecology and environment of the shoreline area and any interference with the public's use of the water."

This SMP Policy Chapter implements the goals of the SMA and is designed to be compatible with the GMA Comprehensive Plan. This SMP Policy Chapter is a sub area plan of the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and is adopted by reference within the Plan. This Chapter provides the framework for future decision making and a guide for future development of lands within the County's SMP jurisdiction boundaries.

As used in this SMP Policy Chapter, goals are the broad value statements and reflect the community's broad vision for its shorelines. Goals are organized into different SMP "elements." Policies are more detailed statements of the County's vision and complete and give detail to the goals. Policies serve as a bridge between the goals and regulations.

Regulations are the specific, enforceable standards which will be implemented for shoreline development, uses and activities. They are organized by shoreline environment designations and specific land use and activity regulations. Unlike shoreline goals and policies, shoreline regulations do not become part of the County's Comprehensive Plan. Rather, shoreline regulations become part of the Benton County Code (See Title 15).

2. Profile of Benton County's Shoreline Jurisdiction

Benton County's shoreline jurisdiction encompasses 330 miles of the Columbia and Yakima Rivers. The total acreage of upland shorelands regulated by the Benton County SMP is 14.93 square miles, which, in accordance with state law, includes lands within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of the Columbia and Yakima Rivers, as well as floodways, floodplain areas within 200 feet of a mapped floodway, and associated wetlands.

Fifty-eight (58) percent of the County's shorelands occurs along the Columbia River, and the remaining 42 percent of the County's shorelands occur along the Yakima River. Both the Columbia and the Yakima Rivers within Benton County are classified as Shorelines of Statewide Significance, meaning that under State Law, specific shoreline management preferences and priorities must be applied. Federal lands make up approximately 35 percent of the area in the County's shoreline jurisdiction.

B. General Statement of Goals

It shall be the ultimate goal of the Benton County SMP to provide plans, policies and regulations consistent with the SMA (RCW 90.58) and with the SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26, State Master Program Approval/Amendment Procedures and Master Program Guidelines), which will reflect the desires of the citizens of Benton County regarding the balanced use of the county shorelines.

It is recognized that the Columbia and Yakima River shorelines in Benton County are Shorelines of Statewide Significance and must be given consideration as a major resource from which all people derive benefit. For these areas, the goals of the SMP, consistent with RCW 90.58.020, shall:

- Recognize and protect the statewide interest over local interest. This means that the County will consider its local Comprehensive Plan and development regulations as well as consult State agency policies, programs and recommendations in developing use regulations.
- Preserve the natural character of the shoreline.
- Result in long-term over short-term benefit.
- Protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline.

- Increase public access to publicly owned areas of the shorelines. In Benton County, public access should be planned and coordinated to ensure locations are appropriately sited and designed to prevent damage to the natural environment and respect the privacy of adjacent private property owners.
- Increase recreational opportunities for the public in the shoreline. Recreational opportunities should likewise be appropriately sited and designed to be compatible with the natural environment and adjacent privately owned lands.
- Provide for any other element as defined in RCW 90.58.100 deemed appropriate or necessary. (Consistent with RCW 90.58.020)

It shall further be the goal of the SMP to:

- Recognize and protect private property rights and provide for the use and enjoyment of private property consistent with the intent of the SMA.
- Avoid undue burdens on private property and streamline standards and procedures where feasible.
- Preserve the public's and property owner's opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of natural shorelines of the state to the greatest extent feasible.
- Promote preferred uses which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment or are unique to or dependent upon use of the state's shoreline consistent with the SMA and SMP Guidelines.
- Recognize the Columbia River as a transportation corridor.
- Recognize alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines and shorelands of the state.

The following statements of goals and policies are directed to address elements as outlined in the SMA and SMP Guidelines. The major SMP Policy Chapter sub-elements are: shoreline uses and modification, economic development, public access, recreation, circulation, conservation, historic/cultural, flood hazard management, restoration, and shoreline process and administration.

C. Shoreline Uses and Modifications Sub-element

SMP-Goal 1. To foster and promote the best use of Benton County shorelines. To encourage shoreline development and modifications which are wisely placed, consistent with the physical limitations of the areas, serve the needs and desires of the local citizens, and protect the functions and values of the shorelines.

1. Shoreline Environment Designation Policies

SMP-P1. To provide a high-quality shoreline environment where:

- A. Recreational opportunities are available and compatibly located and designed.
- B. The public enjoys access to and views of shoreline areas.
- C. Natural systems are preserved, restored or enhanced.
- D. Ecological functions of the shoreline are maintained and improved over time.
- E. Water-oriented uses are promoted consistent with the shoreline character and environmental functions.
- F. The rural and agricultural character of Benton County shorelines is encouraged.



- SMP-P2. Provide a comprehensive shoreline environment designation system to categorize Benton County shorelines into environments based upon the primary characteristics of shoreline areas to guide the use and management of these areas.
- SMP-P3. Designate shorelines with the following shoreline environment system:
- A. Aquatic: Protect, restore, and manage the unique characteristics and resources of the areas waterward of the ordinary high-water mark
 - B. Natural: Protect those public shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions intolerant of human use.
 - C. Conservancy: Protect ecological functions of open space, floodplain and other sensitive public or protected lands and ensure appropriate management and development of existing and future public parks and recreation areas.
 - D. Hanford: Recognize and foster the unique economic, environmental, and recreational values of the Hanford area as it transitions over time from federal energy purposes to other land uses and management consistent with the Hanford Reach National Monument designation.
 - E. Rural: Promote agricultural use and activities, including associated irrigation and support facilities, and accommodate low-density rural home sites, function as a separation between urban areas, and maintain an open space character and provide opportunities for recreational uses compatible with agricultural activities.
 - F. Residential: Accommodate residential development and accessory structures that are consistent with existing rural character and provide appropriate public access and recreational uses.
 - G. Rural Industrial: Provide for intensive water-oriented commercial, transportation, power production, and industrial uses, while protecting existing ecological functions.
 - H. Urban Transition Area: Ensure optimum utilization of shorelines occurring within designated Urban Growth Areas by managing development and uses so that it enhances and maintains shorelines for a variety of future urban uses and protect and restore ecological functions of open space, flood plain and other sensitive lands where they exist in urban and developed settings, while allowing a variety of compatible uses.

2. Agriculture Policies



- SMP-P4. Preserve and maintain productive farmlands in shoreline jurisdiction.
- SMP-P5. Promote and protect agri-tourism.
- SMP-P6. Encourage erosion control measures in accordance with the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service agency guidelines.
- SMP-P7. Limit livestock access to shoreline areas.
- SMP-P8. Control irrigation runoff to minimize discharge of chemicals, fertilizer, sediment, and organic materials in aquatic areas in accordance with federal and state water quality standards.
- SMP-P9. Allow diversion of water for agricultural purposes consistent with water rights laws and rules.

- SMP-P10. Encourage maintenance of vegetative zones between tilled areas and aquatic areas to reduce stormwater runoff, reduce sedimentation, and promote fish and wildlife habitat.

3. Aquaculture Policies

- SMP-P11. Encourage aquaculture that supports the recovery of endangered or threatened fish species.
- SMP-P12. Restrict aquaculture in areas where it would result in a net loss of ecological functions or significantly conflict with navigation or other water-dependent uses.

4. Boating and Private Moorage Facilities Policies

- SMP-P13. Give boating facilities and private moorage structures priority for shoreline location.
- SMP-P14. Design and construct boating facilities and private moorage structures to result in no net loss of ecological functions.
- SMP-P15. Give preference to boating facilities and private moorage structures that minimize the amount of shoreline modification, in-water structure, and overwater cover. In support of this, community structures are encouraged.
- SMP-P16. Ensure new boating facilities are located only at sites where suitable environmental conditions, shoreline configuration, access, and compatible adjacent uses are present. Such facilities should be coordinated with applicable local, state and federal plans and, where feasible, colocated with other compatible water-dependent uses to efficiently provide recreational resources, avoid unnecessary duplication, and minimize adverse impacts to shoreline ecological functions and processes.
- SMP-P17. Ensure boating facilities are located, designed, constructed and maintained to avoid adverse proximity impacts such as noise, light and glare; aesthetic impacts to adjacent land uses; impacts to navigation; and impacts to public access to the shoreline.



5. Breakwaters, Jetties, Groins and Weirs Policies

- SMP-P18. Allow breakwaters, jetties, and groins to be located waterward of the OHWM only where necessary to support water-dependent uses, public access, shoreline stabilization, or other specific public purpose.
- SMP-P19. Consider alternative structures with less impact where physical conditions make such alternatives feasible.

6. Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal Policies

- SMP-P20. Site and design new development to avoid or, if that is not possible, to minimize the need for new and maintenance dredging.
- SMP-P21. Ensure dredging and dredge material disposal is done in a manner that avoids or minimizes significant ecological impacts. Impacts that cannot be avoided should be mitigated in a manner that assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
- SMP-P22. Discourage the disposal of dredge material on shorelands or wetlands within a channel migration zone.

7. Fill Policies

- SMP-P23. Allow fill when it is demonstrated to be the minimum extent necessary to accommodate an allowed shoreline use or development and with assurance of no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and processes.

SMP-P24. Encourage fill when it is associated with restoration projects.

8. In-Stream Structures Policies

SMP-P25. Ensure the location, design, construction and maintenance of in-stream structures give due consideration to the full range of public interests, watershed functions and processes, and environmental concerns, with special emphasis on protecting and restoring priority habitats and species.

SMP-P26. Encourage non-structural and non-regulatory approaches as an alternative to in-stream structures. Non-regulatory and non-structural approaches may include public facility and resource planning, land or easement acquisition, education, voluntary protection and enhancement projects, or incentive programs.

9. Mining Policies

SMP-P27. Ensure mining activities are sited, designed, conducted, and completed to result in no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and processes.

SMP-P28. Base the determination no net loss of ecological function on an evaluation of the reclamation plan required for the site.

SMP-P29. Give preference to mining proposals that result in the creation, restoration or enhancement of habitat for priority species.

10. Residential Development Policies



SMP-P30. Design subdivisions in shoreline jurisdiction to be compatible with environmental conditions and to protect shoreline aesthetics.

SMP-P31. Encourage pedestrian access along the shoreline through the subdivision.

SMP-P32. Require residential development make adequate provision for wastewater, water, and stormwater facilities and apply best management practices to protect shoreline water quality and meet the needs of the development.

SMP-P33. Restrict residential development in areas subject to flooding.

SMP-P34. Encourage low impact development and vegetation conservation measures to promote environmental quality.

SMP-P35. Prohibit over-water residential development and floating homes.

11. Shoreline Stabilization Policies

SMP-P36. Locate and design new development to avoid the need for future shoreline stabilization to the extent feasible.

SMP-P37. Use structural shoreline stabilization measures only when nonstructural methods are infeasible. Nonstructural methods include building setbacks, structure relocation, groundwater management, and other measures.

SMP-P38. Ensure soft structural shoreline stabilization measures are used prior to hard stabilization measures unless demonstrated to be insufficient.

SMP-P39. Allow new or expanded structural shoreline stabilization only where demonstrated to be necessary to support or protect an allowed primary structure or a legally existing shoreline use that is in danger of loss or substantial damage, or for reconfiguration of the shoreline for mitigation or enhancement purposes.

SMP-P40. Ensure all proposals for structural shoreline stabilization, both individually and cumulatively, do not result in a net loss of ecological functions.



12. Utilities Policies

SMP-P41. Locate new utilities outside shoreline jurisdiction unless alternative locations are unfeasible, the utility requires a shoreline location, or the utility is necessary to support an approved shoreline use.

SMP-P42. Ensure new utilities utilize existing transportation and utility rights-of-way easements, or existing cleared areas to the greatest extent feasible.

SMP-P43. Design and locate utility structures to minimize disruption of public access to the shoreline, obstruction of visual access to the water, and loss of shoreline ecological function.

13. Existing Development Policies



SMP-P44. Allow legal pre-existing uses and structures to continue in accordance with this SMP.

SMP-P45. Allow alterations of legal pre-existing structures, uses, and lots in consideration of:

- A. historic development patterns, or
- B. occupancy by preferred uses pursuant to the SMA, or
- C. provision of ecological restoration, or
- D. public safety or other public purposes.

- SMP-P46. Encourage transitions from non-water-oriented uses to water-oriented uses and from non-conforming uses to conforming uses.
- SMP-P47. Review changes to nonconforming uses, structures, or lots in relation to the SMP no-net-loss of ecological function objective.
- SMP-P48. Balance rural historic character and protection/rehabilitation of significant cultural and historic properties with conformity to SMP rules when considering changes to nonconforming uses, structures, and lots.

D. Economic Development Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 2. To promote and protect tourism and agricultural activities along the shoreline.
- SMP-Goal 3. To realize locally the inherent economic opportunities and benefits associated with transition of the Hanford lands, infrastructure and resources from a military to a peacetime mission.
- SMP-Goal 4. To encourage economic development along shorelines in a manner compatible with environmental conditions and desired land use character of the shorelines.
- SMP-Goal 5. To facilitate shoreline economic growth and prosperity while taking into account the existing rural quality of life.

1. Commercial Development Policies

- SMP-P49. Give preference to water-dependent commercial uses over non-water-dependent commercial uses in the shoreline environment. Prefer water-related and water-enjoyment uses over non-water-oriented commercial uses.
- SMP-P50. Ensure shoreline commercial development provides public access to the shoreline where opportunities exist, provided that such access would not pose a health or safety hazard.
- SMP-P51. Limit over-water, and non-water-oriented commercial uses in the shoreline environment.
- SMP-P52. Allow limited commercial development in rural areas characterized by agriculture and/or industrial development to support the needs of employees.

2. Industry Policies

- SMP-P53. Design industrial development in the shoreline environment to minimize impacts to shoreline resources and interference with shoreline use by adjacent property owners.
- SMP-P54. Limit non-water-oriented industrial development in the shoreline environment and only in areas physically separated from the shoreline, where navigability is restricted, or as part of a project that provides public access or ecological restoration benefits.
- SMP-P55. Encourage cooperative use of existing port facilities, including docks and piers to reduce additional disruption to the shoreline.
- SMP-P56. Allow future industrial and port facilities that are dependent upon a shoreline location in areas where the shoreline is already characterized by industrial development or planned for such uses.



E. Public Access Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 6. To provide, protect, and enhance a public access system that is both physical and visual, which increases the amount and diversity of public access to Columbia and Yakima River shorelines, consistent with the natural shoreline character, private property rights, and

public safety. To prioritize public access on public properties, promote coordinated public access through incentives to private developments, and ensure appropriate resources are available for maintenance and enforcement.

- SMP-Goal 7. Consistent with the adopted Benton County Comprehensive Plan and Comprehensive Parks Plan and together with other agencies, promote a connected public access system along the Yakima River west of Benton City to Columbia Point and Bateman Island.
- SMP-P57. Ensure that the creation of public access will not endanger natural features or contribute to a loss of ecological functions.
- SMP-P58. Provide additional physical and visual public access to shorelines, with a focus on public properties, by developing and implementing parks, recreation, and trails plans.
- SMP-P59. In order to promote environmental protection and avoid private trespass, acquire or improve public access opportunities in high demand or good water locations. Priority locations include, but are not limited to: Snively, Chandler reach, Finley, Paterson, and others.
- SMP-P60. Focus public access in less environmentally sensitive areas and offer adequate recreation facilities and parking.
- SMP-P61. Apply public access standards to new development creating a demand for public access. Allow flexible options to provide public access in new development.
- SMP-P62. Consider incentives for well-designed common access and for improved ecological function.

G. Recreation Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 8. To meet the recreational needs of Benton County residents and visitors while protecting shoreline ecological resources.

- SMP-P63. Develop recreational activity areas in a manner which complements the intent of the shoreline environment and natural habitats and results in no-net-loss of shoreline ecological function.

- SMP-P64. Encourage recreational development and use of the shorelines that is related to enjoyment of, access to, and use of the water. Give shoreline recreational development priority within shoreline jurisdiction.



- SMP-P65. Ensure provision of recreational space and uses is coordinated and consistent with the County's shoreline public access plan.
- SMP-P66. Continue to work with non-profit, state, and federal agencies to support local and regional opportunities for public recreation, shoreline access and use.
- SMP-P67. Recognize that state-owned shorelines are particularly adapted to providing wilderness beaches, ecological study areas, and other recreational uses for the public.
- SMP-P68. Require development applicants to monitor or limit the use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides to maintain recreational facilities. Management that utilizes organic treatments, integrated pest management, or non-synthetic chemicals is preferred where feasible and practical.

H. Circulation Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 9. To encourage a circulation system which will efficiently and safely move people, goods and services with good planning to minimize disruption or adverse effect on the shoreline areas.

SMP-Goal 10. To allow for safe emergency access to shorelines.

- SMP-P69. Design transportation facilities within shoreline jurisdiction to the minimum size necessary to reduce their impact on the ecological function of the shoreline.
- SMP-P70. Maintain transportation facilities in a manner that minimizes impacts on the ecological function of the shoreline.
- SMP-P71. Encourage non-motorized trails that provide recreational access to the shoreline.
- SMP-P72. Allow parking in shoreline jurisdiction for authorized uses where upland locations are not feasible.
Allow parking in shoreline jurisdiction for water-oriented uses when needed to support access to water-oriented elements of the development.

I. Conservation Sub-element



SMP-Goal 11. To encourage sound management of renewable shoreline resources and protection of non-renewable shoreline resources. Non-renewable resources are those that are in danger of depletion faster than nature can create them. Renewable resources can be replaced over time. It is recognized that shorelines themselves are finite areas within which to balance shoreline uses, conservation, and public access.

SMP-Goal 12. To achieve sustainability of resource functions and values and no-net-loss of ecological functions by allowing shoreline development and modifications when impacts are minimized through mitigation sequencing and by encouraging and incentivizing restoration of ecological functions where they have been impaired.

SMP-Goal 13. Promote and protect the scenic aesthetic quality of shoreline areas and vistas to the greatest extent feasible.

1. Environmental Protection Policies

- SMP-P73. Protect all shorelines of the state in a manner consistent with all relevant constitutional and other legal limitations on the regulation of private property so that there is no net loss of ecological functions from both individually permitted and exempt development.
- SMP-P74. Protect and, where necessary, apply planning and land use measures to improve the quality and productivity of the County's environmental resources (air, ground and surface waters, and indigenous biology).
- SMP-P75. Sustain a diverse, productive, and high-quality natural environment for the use, health and enjoyment of County residents.

2. Critical Areas Policies

- SMP-P76. Identify and protect critical fish and wildlife habitat from destruction or encroachment of incompatible uses.
- SMP-P77. Preserve natural wetlands (marshes, sloughs, shorelines, etc.) that are important wildlife and game habitat or recreational areas.
- SMP-P78. Protect life and property by avoiding inappropriate developments in areas susceptible to natural disasters and hazards, such as floodways and steep slopes.

3. Shoreline Vegetation Conservation Policies

- SMP-P79. Where new developments, uses and/or redevelopments are proposed, ensure shoreline vegetation, both upland and waterward of the OHWM, is conserved to maintain shoreline ecological functions and processes.

- SMP-P80. Encourage management and control of noxious and invasive weeds. Control of such species should be done in a manner that retains onsite native vegetation, provides for erosion control, and protects water quality.

4. Water Quality, Stormwater, and Nonpoint Pollution Policies

- SMP-P81. Maintain and improve the water quality of the Yakima and Columbia Rivers, and preserve surface and groundwater for the beneficial use of the rural area's citizens and wildlife.
- SMP-P82. Require that new developments or expansions or retrofits of existing developments assess the effects of additional stormwater runoff volumes and velocities and mitigate potential adverse effects on shorelines through design and implementation of appropriate stormwater management measures.

J. Historic / Cultural Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 14. To encourage the protection of areas and sites having historic, cultural, educational or scientific value.
- SMP-P83. Ensure development applicants provide protection and restoration of sites, buildings, structures, districts and objects along Benton County shorelines having historic, archaeological, cultural, educational or scientific value consistent with state and federal laws.
- SMP-P84. Require development applications along shorelines to consult with professional archaeologists, historians, biologists, Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) and affected tribes to screen proposals, identify areas containing potentially valuable data, and to establish procedures for maintaining the area in an undisturbed condition, or salvaging the data.
- SMP-P85. Require developers to immediately stop work and notify Benton County, DAHP, and affected tribes, if any archaeological or historic resources are uncovered during excavation to allow for preservation and/or retrieval of data.

K. Flood Hazard Management Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 15. To protect life and property and avoid the need for new shoreline stabilization or flood control infrastructure.
- SMP-Goal 16. To apply consistent flood hazard regulations to reduce the potential for damage to persons or property.
- SMP-P86. Recognize and protect the hydrologic functions of floodplains by limiting the use of structural flood hazard reduction measures.
- SMP-P87. Ensure developments subject to damage or that could result in loss of life do not locate in areas of known flood hazards unless it can be demonstrated by the project proponent that the development is sited, designed and engineered for long-term structural integrity, and that life and property on and off-site are not subject to increased hazards as a result of the development.
- SMP-P88. Limit new development or uses in shoreline jurisdiction, including subdivision of land that would likely require structural flood hazard reduction measures.

L. Restoration Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 17. To upgrade shoreline ecological functions and aesthetics to a level commensurate with their importance to the community and to achievement of regional goals for species and habitat recovery such as through the projects, programs and plans established within the SMP Shoreline Restoration Plan.
- SMP-Goal 18. To provide voluntary incentives for restoration by property owners, facilitate the permitting for restoration projects, and coordinate with agencies, tribes, and non-profit

groups to achieve effective restoration of shoreline ecological functions and maximize public funding.

- SMP-P89. Promote restoration and enhancement actions that improve shoreline ecological functions and processes and target the needs of sensitive plant, fish and wildlife species as identified by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Washington Department of Natural Resources, affected tribes, National Marine Fisheries Service, and/or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- SMP-P90. Ensure restoration and enhancement of shorelines is designed using principles of landscape and conservation ecology and restores or enhances chemical, physical, and biological watershed processes that create and sustain shoreline habitat structures and functions.
- SMP-P91. Seek funding to implement restoration and enhancement projects, particularly those that are identified in the Restoration Plan of this SMP or in other pertinent plans. Funding may be sought by the county or other entities.
- SMP-P92. Develop application processing guidelines that will streamline the review of restoration-only projects.
- SMP-P93. Allow for the use of tax incentive programs, mitigation banking, grants, land swaps, or other programs, as they are developed, to encourage restoration and enhancement of shoreline ecological functions and to protect habitat for fish, wildlife and plants.

M. Shoreline Process and Administration Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 19. To provide a process to update the SMP consistent with the update schedule of the SMA.
- SMP-P94. When assigning environment designations and determining permitted uses within the different designations and use categories, consider the ability of the landscape to accommodate planned uses.
- SMP-P95. Encourage citizen participation in the implementation of this SMP.
- SMP-P96. Protect property rights of landowners from arbitrary and discriminatory actions.
- SMP-P97. Develop administrative procedures which will help the applicant, the County, and other interested parties reach a quick and accurate assessment of a proposed development.
- SMP-P98. Reconcile conflicting public policy goals by considering the overall needs of the community including public access, infrastructure requirements, utility corridor alignments and facilities, and natural resource protection.
- SMP-P99. Implement shoreline improvements as scheduled through the Capital Facilities Element of the County's Comprehensive Plan and Capital Improvement Plan processes.



SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM REGULATIONS

Reader's Guide

The Shoreline Management Act and Benton County's SMP

Washington State's citizens voted to approve the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) of 1971 in November 1972. The SMA seeks to provide environmental protection for shorelines, preserve and enhance shoreline public access, and encourage appropriate development that supports water-oriented uses. Benton County developed and adopted its first Shoreline Master Program (SMP) in 1974. That SMP was developed almost 40 years ago and since then much has changed along Benton County shorelines. In addition, knowledge of best development and conservation practices has evolved. There have also been changes in State laws and rules. Therefore, in accordance with the SMA, Benton County has prepared this SMP [Periodic Update](#) to guide and manage its shorelines.

The Benton County SMP contains goals, policies, regulations, and a use map that guide the development of shorelines in accordance with the SMA (Revised Code of Washington [RCW] 90.58), Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) SMP Guidelines (Washington Administrative Code [WAC] 173-26), and Shoreline Management Permit and Enforcement Procedures (WAC 173-27).

Consistent with RCW 36.70A.480, the goals and policies of Benton County's SMP, approved under chapter 90.58 RCW, are considered a sub area plan of the County's *Comprehensive Land Use Plan* (Comprehensive Plan) and are found in the SMP Policy Chapter. The SMP Policy Chapter is a sub area plan of the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and is adopted by reference within the Plan. It provides the framework for future decision making and a guide for future development of lands within the County's SMP jurisdiction boundaries.

All regulatory elements of this SMP, including, but not limited to, definitions and use regulations, are a part of the County's development regulations and are contained in Title 15, Shoreline Master Program.

Shoreline Jurisdiction

In accordance with state laws and rules, the jurisdiction of Benton County's SMP encompasses the Columbia and Yakima Rivers, land within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of these waterways, their floodways, contiguous 100-year floodplain extending up to 200 feet inland of the floodway, and associated wetlands.

Applicability and Exemptions

The SMP applies to all proposed uses and development occurring within shoreline jurisdiction. This SMP does not apply to certain activities that do not alter structures or properties, such as interior building changes or routine gardening. It also does not apply to legally established uses already on the land such as existing agriculture, existing residences, and other existing uses, structures, and activities. See Section 15.01 for a complete description of SMP applicability.

There are also activities that are exempt from the Shoreline Substantial Development Permit system. These activities are subject to the standards of the SMP but are not required to submit fees and other materials associated with Shoreline Substantial Development Permits. Common exemptions include, but are not limited to:

- Normal maintenance or repair of existing structures or developments
- Bulkheads common to single-family residences
- Emergency construction necessary to protect property from damage
- Construction and practices normal or necessary for farming, irrigation, and ranching activities including agricultural service roads and utilities, construction of a barn or similar agricultural structure, and the

- construction and maintenance of irrigation structures
- Construction of a single-family residence
- Construction of a dock, including a community dock, designed for pleasure craft only, for the private noncommercial use

Exemptions are fully described and listed in WAC 173-27-040 and RCW 90.58.030 (3)(e), 90.58.140(9), 90.58.147, 90.58.355, and 90.58.515, as amended. See Section 15.09.040 for additional information on exemptions.

How to Read and Apply this SMP

When reading the SMP, it is useful to consider the definitions of the following terms that are based on definitions in the SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26-020):

- Shall or must: means a mandate; the action must be done.
- Should: means that the particular action is required unless there is a demonstrated, compelling reason, based on policy of the Shoreline Management Act and shoreline master program, against taking the action.
- May: means the action is acceptable, provided it conforms to the provisions of this SMP and the Act.

In general, this SMP uses the word “should” in goals, objectives, and policies, and “shall” in the regulations. Additional definitions are located in Section 15.02.


The SMP has a high level of detail for the following reasons: 1) to allow for more shoreline applications to be approved administratively for an efficient and cost-effective process, 2) to cross-reference applicable state and federal laws to help consolidate requirements and be a resource for property owners and local government staff, and 3) to provide some certainty of interpretation and application that benefits property owners and local government staff over time.

For informational purposes, the flow chart below illustrates how an applicant could navigate the regulations to determine if and how they apply to a particular project and property. In addition to approval from the Benton County Department (Permitting), any shoreline development or construction project may also require a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and/or the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, and the Washington Department of Natural Resources, as well as other agencies (please see “Coordination” section below).

Coordination of Permits and Requirements with Other Agencies

Although not required by this SMP, applicants may find it helpful to coordinate early in the project design process with one or more of the following agencies depending on the type and location of the project:

- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (any project that may affect upland or aquatic habitats)
- Washington Department of Natural Resources (projects waterward of the OHWM)
- Yakama Nation (any project that may affect upland or aquatic habitats)
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (projects waterward of the OHWM on the Columbia River or that involve any fill on the Yakima River)
- Washington Department of Ecology (any project, but particularly those that require a permit from the Corps or may have impacts on wetlands or other waters)
- Benton Conservation District (any project where the applicant is interested in restoration opportunities)



Many projects may also be under the jurisdiction of one or more of the above-listed agencies (particularly for projects located waterward of the OHWM), in which case early consultation is not only advised, but required. The Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance permitting services website is a useful tool for identifying potential jurisdictional agencies and permits.

For residents of the County interested in improving the ecological functions of their shoreline, the County's Shoreline Restoration Plan identifies a number of agencies and organizations that can provide advice or assistance with design and implementation.

Section 15.01 Authority and Purpose

01.010 Authority

This SMP is enacted and administered according to the following state law and rules:

- (e) The Shoreline Management Act (SMA) of 1971, Chapter 90.58 RCW;
- (f) State master program approval/amendment procedures and master program guidelines, WAC 173-26; and
- (g) Shoreline management permit and enforcement procedures, Chapter 173-27 WAC.

01.020 Purpose

The purposes of this SMP are:

- (a) To promote the public health, safety, and general welfare of the County by providing comprehensive policies and effective, reasonable regulations for development, use and protection of jurisdictional shorelines; and
- (b) To further assume and carry out the local government responsibilities established by RCW 90.58.050 including planning and administering the regulatory program; and
- (c) To assure no net loss of ecological functions associated with the shoreline; and
- (d) To carry out the policies and use preferences in RCW 90.58.020, described in Section 15.03.

01.030 Applicability

- (a) Except as described in Subsection (b) and (c), all proposed uses and development occurring within shoreline jurisdiction must conform to the intent and requirements of the laws and rules cited in Section 15.01.010 and this SMP.
- (b) The following are examples of activities that are not considered development and are therefore not subject to this SMP:
 - (1) Interior building improvements that do not change the use or occupancy;
 - (2) Exterior structure maintenance activities, including painting and roofing, as long as it does not expand the existing footprint of the structure; and,
 - (3) Routine landscape maintenance of established, ornamental landscaping, such as lawn mowing, pruning and weeding.
- (c) Consistent with Section 15.02 (Definitions), WAC 173-26-020 (Definitions), and WAC 173-26-241(3)(a), as amended, this SMP shall not require modifications of or limit agricultural activities on agricultural lands.
- (d) Activities that are exempt from the permit system in Section 15.09.040 shall comply with this SMP whether or not a permit or other form of authorization is required.
- (e) The shoreline permit procedures, policies and regulations established in this SMP shall apply countywide to all nonfederal uses, activities, and development.

- (f) This SMP applies to lands subject to nonfederal ownership, lease or easement, even though such lands may fall within the external boundaries of a federal ownership.

01.040 Findings

This SMP was developed based on community participation, local shoreline conditions, and the Shoreline Management Act provisions per Resolution 2014-440 dated June 3, 2014. Key findings are highlighted below:

- (a) The Benton County SMP Public Participation Plan, adopted by the County Commissioners in June 2012, was followed, and encouraged public involvement and interaction, and provided public forums, open houses and meetings in several venues in the County.
- (b) A Shoreline Advisory Committee made up of fourteen volunteers with diverse backgrounds, including, shoreline property owners, residents, agri-business, economic and environmental interests, state and federal agencies and the Yakama Nation, and sanctioned by the Board of County Commissioners, reviewed, interacted and provided input for the SMP and all required documents.
- (c) The Benton County Shoreline Analysis Report, Inventory and Channel Migration Maps, Cumulative Impacts Analysis, and voluntary Restoration Plan were utilized for the development of the Benton County SMP update which was prepared in conformance with RCW 90.58 (Shoreline Management Act) and WAC 173-26.
- (d) The SMP is appropriately tailored to accommodate Benton County's unique environmental conditions and community needs.
- (e) The policies, programs and regulations of the SMP address cumulative impacts of the reasonably foreseeable future development and use of the County's shoreline and further demonstrate through its Cumulative Impacts Analysis that the SMP as prepared will not result in degradation of shoreline ecological functions over the next 20-year planning horizon.
- (f) The SMP will help protect water quality for the County's rivers and streams, increase protection of lives and property from flood, protect fish and wildlife habitat, allow preferred uses along the shoreline meeting the needs of the Benton County community, and promote recreational opportunities for County residents consistent with RCW 90.58/WAC 173-26.
- (g) The SMP is in the best interest of the public and is consistent with the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and furthers the intent of the Shoreline Management Act (RCW 90.58/WAC 173-26).

01.050 Relationship to Other Codes, Ordinances and Plans

- (a) All applicable federal, state, and local laws shall apply to properties in the shoreline jurisdiction.
- (b) Consistent with RCW 36.70A.480, the goals and policies of this SMP approved under chapter 90.58 RCW shall be considered a sub area plan of Benton County's Comprehensive Plan. All regulatory elements of this SMP, including, but not limited to, definitions and use regulations, shall be considered a part of Benton County's development regulations.
- (c) All local development regulations including, but not limited to, zoning and subdivision rules shall apply in addition to this SMP. This SMP includes critical areas regulations applicable only in shoreline jurisdiction, and shall control within shoreline jurisdiction over other County critical area regulations adopted pursuant to the Growth Management Act.
- (d) In the event provisions of this SMP conflict with provisions of federal, state, county or city regulations, the provision that is most protective of shoreline resources shall prevail, when consistent with policies set out in the SMA.

01.060 Liberal Construction

As provided for in RCW 90.58.900, the SMA is exempted from the rule of strict construction; the SMA and this SMP shall therefore be liberally construed to give full effect to the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies for which they were enacted.

01.070 Effective Date

The SMP is hereby adopted on the 3rd of June 2014. This SMP and all amendments thereto shall become effective 14 days from the date of the Washington Department of Ecology's written notice of final approval.

Section 15.02 Definitions

Whenever the words and terms set forth in this Section appear in this title, they shall be given the meaning attributed to them by this Section. Definitions established by RCW 90.58.030 and WAC 173 have been incorporated herein and should these definitions in the RCW or WAC be amended, the most current RCW or WAC definition shall apply. Except where specifically defined in this Section, the RCW, the WAC, or the Benton County Code, all words used in this SMP shall carry their customary meanings.

"Abutting" means bordering upon, to touch upon, or in physical contact with. Sites are considered abutting even though the area of contact may be only a point.

"Accessory" means any use or development incidental to and subordinate to a primary use of a shoreline use or development. See also Appurtenance, Residential.

"Adjacent" means to be nearby and not necessarily abutting.

"Agricultural activities" means agricultural uses and practices including, but not limited to: Producing, breeding, or increasing agricultural products; rotating and changing agricultural crops; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie fallow in which it is plowed and tilled but left unseeded; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie dormant as a result of adverse agricultural market conditions; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie dormant because the land is enrolled in a local, state, or federal conservation program, or the land is subject to a conservation easement; conducting agricultural operations; maintaining, repairing, and replacing agricultural equipment; maintaining, repairing, and replacing agricultural facilities, provided that the replacement facility is no closer to the shoreline than the original facility; and maintaining agricultural lands under production or cultivation. See Section 15.05.010 regarding interpretation of agricultural activities.

"Agricultural equipment" and "agricultural facilities" includes, but is not limited to:

- A. The following used in agricultural operations: Equipment; machinery; constructed shelters, buildings, and ponds; fences; upland finfish rearing facilities; water diversion, withdrawal, conveyance, and use equipment and facilities including but not limited to pumps, pipes, tapes, canals, ditches, and drains;
- B. corridors and facilities for transporting personnel, livestock, and equipment to, from, and within agricultural lands;
- C. farm residences and associated equipment, lands, and facilities; and
- D. roadside stands and on-farm markets for marketing fruit or vegetables.

"Agricultural land" means those specific land areas on which agriculture activities are conducted as of the date of adoption of a local master program as evidenced by aerial photography or other documentation. After the effective date of the master program, land converted to agricultural use is subject to compliance with the requirements of the master program.

"Agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance" means those lands that are not already characterized by urban growth and that have long-term significance for the commercial production of food or other agricultural products.

"Agricultural products" includes but is not limited to horticultural, viticultural, floricultural, vegetable, fruit, berry, grain, hops, hay, straw, turf, sod, seed, and apiary products; feed or forage for livestock; Christmas trees; hybrid cottonwood and similar hardwood trees grown as crops and harvested within twenty years of

planting; and livestock including both the animals themselves and animal products including but not limited to meat, upland finfish, poultry and poultry products, and dairy products.

"Agricultural Related Industry" means specifically:

- A. Packaging Plants - may include but are not limited to the following activities: washing, sorting, crating, and other functional operations such as drying, field crushing, or other preparation in which the chemical and physical composition of the agriculture product remains essentially unaltered. Does not include processing activities, or slaughterhouses, animal reduction yards, and tallow works.
- B. Processing Plants - may include but are not limited to those activities which involve the fermentation or other substantial chemical and physical alteration of the agricultural product. Does not include slaughterhouses or rendering plants.
- C. Storage Facilities - may include those activities which involve the warehousing of processed and/or packaged agricultural products.

"Agricultural tourism" or "Agri tourism" refers to the act of visiting a working farm or any agricultural, horticultural or agribusiness operation for the purpose of enjoyment, education or active involvement in the activities of the farm or operation.

"Amendment" means a revision, update, addition, deletion, and/or reenactment to an existing shoreline master program. "Applicant" means a person, party, firm, corporation, or other legal entity that proposes a development, construction or use on a site.

"Approval" means an official action by a local government legislative body agreeing to submit a proposed SMP or amendments to the Department of Ecology for review and official action pursuant to this chapter; or an official action by the Department of Ecology to make a local government SMP effective, thereby incorporating the approved SMP or amendment into the state master program.

"Appurtenance, residential" is necessarily connected to the use and enjoyment of a single-family residence and is located landward of the ordinary high water mark and the perimeter of a wetland. Normal appurtenance includes a garage; deck; driveway; utilities; fences; installation of a septic tank and drainfield and grading which does not exceed two hundred fifty cubic yards and which does not involve placement of fill in any wetland or waterward of the ordinary high water mark.

"Aquaculture" means the culture and/or farming of fish, shellfish, or other aquatic plants and animals. Aquaculture is dependent on the use of the water area and, when consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the environment, is a preferred use of the water area. Commercial aquaculture is conducted to produce products for market with the objective of earning a profit. Non-commercial aquaculture is conducted for the benefit of native fish recovery, education and interpretation, or other public benefit or use.

"Aquifer" means a body of rock or soil that contains sufficient saturated permeable material to conduct groundwater and to yield economically significant quantities of groundwater to wells and springs.

"Aquifer confined" means groundwater overlain by a confining bed, such as an impermeable layer of clay or rock.

"Aquifer Recharge/Interchange Area" means those natural and man-made land features that hold or convey surface waters having connectivity to groundwater.

"Aquifer unconfined" means groundwater lying between the soil profile and the shallowest impermeable layer (i.e., clay, basalt).

"Archaeologist, professional" means a person who meets qualification standards promulgated by DAHP and the National Park Service and published in 36 CFR Part 61, and which define minimum education and experience required to perform identification, evaluation, registration and treatment activities for archaeological sites. In

some cases, additional areas or levels of expertise may be needed, depending on the complexity of the task and the nature of the properties involved.

"Area of Special Flood Hazard", which designation on the Flood Insurance Rate Maps always includes the letter A or V, means the land in the floodplain within a community subject to a one percent or greater chance of flooding in any given year.

"Base Flood" or "100-year Flood" means the designation on the Federal Emergency Management Act (FEMA) Flood Insurance Maps that denote areas subject to floods having a one (1) percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The base flood is determined for existing conditions, unless a basin plan including project flows under future developed conditions has been completed and adopted by Benton County; in these cases, future flow projections shall be used. In areas where the Flood Insurance Study includes detailed base flood calculations, those calculations may be used until projections of future flows are completed and approved by Benton County.

"Best Management Practices" or "BMPs" means physical, structural and/or managerial practices, that, when used singly or in a combination protect the functions and values of critical resources. BMPs are current and evolving conservation practices, systems of practices, management and operational measures, design and construction techniques, or normal and accepted industry standards that are applied to land uses and land use activity in a manner which:

- A. controls soil loss and reduces water surface and ground-water quality degradation caused by nutrients, animal wastes, toxins, and sediment; and,
- B. mitigates adverse impacts to the natural chemical, physical and biological environment of the County; and,
- C. facilitates the utilization of the County's natural resources on a long term, sustainable yield basis.

~~"Board of Adjustment" means the County board which hears applications for variances, conditional use permits, and other quasi-judicial matters assigned to it by the legislative body. Appeals may be taken to the Board by any person aggrieved, or by any officer, department, board or bureau of the county affected by appealable decisions of the director.~~

"Boating Facilities" means developments and uses that support access to shoreline waters for purposes of boating, including marinas, community docks serving more than four single-family residences or multi-family units, public piers, and community or public boat launch facilities.

"Breakwater" means a fixed or floating offshore structure that protects the shore from wave action or currents.

"Buffer" means a designated area used to separate incompatible uses or protect resources or development. Buffers are generally undeveloped areas. There are different types of buffers for different purposes:

- A. buffers which protect sensitive natural resources (critical areas) from the adverse impacts of development are generally undeveloped open space which are ecologically part of the protected resource;
- B. buffers which protect the integrity of development from certain natural hazards such as slope instability, floods or fire prone areas, and which ensure that buildings and development avoid the hazardous condition;
- C. buffers to separate incompatible uses, such as residential from industrial, airports, or certain activities common to commercial agriculture, are generally open or sparsely populated.

"Building Setback" means a line which establishes a definite point beyond which the foundation of a building shall not extend; this line is measured from the upland edge of the shoreline buffer.

"Bulkhead" means a vertical or nearly vertical erosion protection structure placed parallel to the shore consisting of concrete, timber, steel, rock, or other permanent material not readily subject to erosion.

"Candidate" means any species officially designated as "Candidate" by the appropriate agency of the federal government or by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife.

"Channel migration zone (CMZ)" means the area along a river within which the channel(s) can be reasonably predicted to migrate over time as a result of natural and normally occurring hydrological and related processes when considered with the characteristics of the river and its surroundings.

"Clearing" means the cutting or removal of vegetation or other organic plant material by physical, mechanical, chemical, or any other means.

"Commercial" means those activities engaged in commerce and trade and involving the exchange of money, including but not limited to, retail, services, wholesale, or business trade activities. Examples include, but are not limited to, hotels, motels, or other commercial accommodations, grocery stores, restaurants, concessions, shops, commercial recreation facilities such as marinas, boat repair, boat, canoe, or kayak rentals, and offices.

"Comprehensive master program update" means a master program that fully achieves the procedural and substantive requirements of the Department of Ecology's SMP Guidelines effective January 17, 2004, as now or hereafter amended.

"Comprehensive Plan" means the Benton County Comprehensive Land Use Plan and any amendments, addenda, or supplemental plans that are duly adopted under Chapter 36.70 RCW (as amended), for Benton County or any portion thereof.

"Conditional use" means a use, development, or substantial development which is classified as a conditional use or is not classified within the applicable master program.

"Creeks" mean those areas of Benton County where surface waters form or have formed a defined channel or bed and for which the State Department Fish and Wildlife has Hydraulic Permit Authority. The channel or bed need not contain water year-round. This definition is not meant to include irrigation ditches, channels, storm or surface water runoff devices or other entirely artificial watercourses unless they are, or have been, used by salmonids or used to convey streams naturally occurring prior to construction in such water course.

"Critical Aquifer Recharge/Interchange Areas" means those aquifer recharge/interchange areas that have an effect on, or are associated with, aquifers used for potable water in community water systems.

"Critical Areas" means those specific resources which are subject to protection by regulation under Section 15.07 (e.g., wetlands, geologically hazardous areas, fish and wildlife conservation areas, frequently flooded areas, critical aquifer recharge/interchange areas).

"Critical Areas Overlay Maps" were developed from and are augmented by resource and technical studies, aerial photographs, and other resource maps, such as the:

- A. Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA), 100-year flood maps,
- B. County and other agency Geologic Hazards Map(s),
- C. U.S.D.A. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Soils Capabilities Map(s),
- D. Slope Stability Map(s),
- E. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory Map(s),
- F. Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), Priority Habitats and Species Maps (PHS),
- G. County Shoreline Management Map(s), and
- H. other maps as are appropriate.

"Cumulative impact" means the impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time.

"Department" means the Benton County Planning Department.

"Development" means a use consisting of the construction or exterior alteration of structures; dredging; drilling; dumping; filling; removal of any sand, gravel, or minerals; bulkheading; driving of piling; placing of obstructions; or any project of a permanent or temporary nature which interferes with the normal public use of the surface of the waters overlying lands subject to the act at any stage of water level. See also "Substantial Development."

Development does not include the following activities:

- A. Interior building improvements that do not change the use or occupancy;
- B. Exterior structure maintenance activities, including painting and roofing as long as it does not expand the existing footprint of the structure;
- C. Routine landscape maintenance of established, ornamental landscaping, such as lawn mowing, pruning and weeding; and
- D. Maintenance of the following existing facilities that does not expand the affected area: septic tanks (routine cleaning); wells; and individual utility service connections; and,
- E. [Dismantling or removing structures if there is no other associated development or re-development.](#)


"Development regulations" means the controls placed on development or land uses by a county or city, including, but not limited to, zoning ordinances, critical areas ordinances, all portions of a SMP other than goals and policies approved or adopted under chapter 90.58 RCW, planned unit development ordinances, subdivision ordinances, and binding site plan ordinances together with any amendments thereto.

"Development Site" means the legal boundaries of the parcel or parcels of land for which an applicant has applied for authority from Benton County to carry out a development proposal.

"Diversity (ecological)" refers to the variety of species of plants and animals that compose a biotic community or ecosystem, often expressed as total number of different species.

"Dock" means a structure built over or floating upon the water and used as a landing place for boats and other marine transport, fishing, swimming, and other recreational uses. A dock typically consists of the combination of one or more of the following elements: pier, ramp, and/or float.

"Dredging" means removal of earth from the bed of a stream, lake, or pond for the purpose of flood control; navigation; utility installation (excluding on-site utility features serving a primary use, which are "accessory utilities" and shall be considered a part of the primary use); the construction or modification of essential public facilities and regional transportation facilities; restoration (of which the primary restoration element is sediment/soil removal rather than being incidental to the primary restoration purpose); and/or obtaining minerals, construction aggregate, or landfill materials. This definition does not include excavation for mining



within a pond created by a mining operation approved under this title or under a local zoning ordinance, or a mining operation in existence before Zoning, Shorelines, or Critical Areas permits were required for such operations. Dredging, as regulated in this SMP under Section 15.07.60, is not intended to cover other excavations waterward of the ordinary high-water mark that are incidental to construction of an otherwise authorized use or modification (e.g., bulkhead replacements, large woody debris installations, boat launch ramp installation, pile placement).

"Ecological functions" or "shoreline functions" means the work performed or role played by the physical, chemical, and biological processes that contribute to the maintenance of the aquatic and terrestrial environments that constitute the shoreline's natural ecosystem. Shoreline ecological functions include, but are not limited to hydrologic (transport of water and sediment across the natural range of flow variability; attenuating flow energy; developing pools, riffles, gravel bars, nutrient flux, recruitment and transport of large woody debris and other organic material), shoreline vegetation (maintaining temperature; removing excessive nutrients and toxic compound, sediment removal and stabilization; attenuation of high stream flow energy; and provision of woody debris and other organic matter), hyporheic functions (removing excessive nutrients and toxic compounds, water storage, support of vegetation, and sediment storage and maintenance of base flows), and habitat for native aquatic and shoreline-dependent birds, invertebrates, mammals; amphibians; and anadromous and resident native fish (e.g., space or conditions for reproduction; resting, hiding and migration; and food production and delivery).

"Ecologically intact" means shoreline areas that retain the majority of their natural shoreline functions, as evidenced by the shoreline configuration and the presence of native vegetation. Generally, but not necessarily, ecologically intact shorelines are free of structural shoreline modifications, structures, and intensive human uses. In forested areas, they generally include native vegetation with diverse plant communities, multiple canopy layers, and the presence of large woody debris available for recruitment to adjacent waterbodies. Recognizing that there is a continuum of ecological conditions ranging from near natural conditions to totally degraded and contaminated sites, this term is intended to delineate those shoreline areas that provide valuable functions for the larger aquatic and terrestrial environments which could be lost or significantly reduced by human development. Whether or not a shoreline is ecologically intact is determined on a case-by-case basis.

"Ecosystem-wide processes" means the suite of naturally occurring physical and geologic processes of erosion, transport, and deposition; and specific chemical processes that shape landforms within a specific shoreline ecosystem and determine both the types of habitat and the associated ecological functions.

"Erosion" means the process in which soil particles are mobilized and transported by natural agents such as wind, rain, splash, frost action or stream flow.

"Exempt" developments are those set forth in WAC 173-27-040 and RCW 90.58.030(3)(e), 90.58.140(9), 90.58.147, 90.58.355, and 90.58.515, as hereafter amended, which are not required to obtain a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit, but which must otherwise comply with applicable provisions of the SMA and this Master Program.

"Fair market value" of a development is the open market bid price for conducting the work, using the equipment and facilities, and purchase of the goods, services and materials necessary to accomplish the development. This would normally equate to the cost of hiring a contractor to undertake the development from start to finish, including the cost of labor, materials, equipment and facility usage, transportation and contractor overhead and profit. The fair market value of the development shall include the fair market value of any donated, contributed or found labor, equipment or materials.

"Feasible" means that an action, such as a development project, mitigation, or preservation requirement, meets all of the following conditions:

- A. The action can be accomplished with technologies and methods that have been used in the past in similar

circumstances, or studies or tests have demonstrated in similar circumstances that such approaches are currently available and likely to achieve the intended results;

- B. The action provides a reasonable likelihood of achieving its intended purpose; and
- C. The action does not physically preclude achieving the project's primary intended legal use.

In cases where these Guidelines require certain actions unless they are infeasible, the burden of proving infeasibility is on the applicant. In determining an action's infeasibility, the County may weigh the action's relative public costs and public benefits, considered in the short- and long-term time frames.

"Fill" means the addition of soil, sand, rock, gravel, sediment, earth retaining structure, or other material to an area waterward of the OHWM, in wetlands, or on shorelands in a manner that raises the elevation or creates dry land.

"Fish and Wildlife" mean any member of the animal kingdom, including without limitation, any vertebrate, mollusk, crustacean, arthropod, or other invertebrate, and includes any part, product, egg, or offspring thereof, or the dead body parts thereof.

"Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas" refer to the following:

- A. Those areas shown on the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas Map in the Benton County Comprehensive Plan;
- B. Areas identified on the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) Map within which a Priority Species is known to have a Primary Association;
- C. Naturally occurring ponds under twenty acres and their submerged aquatic beds that provide fish or wildlife habitat. These do not include ponds deliberately designed and created from dry sites such as canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, temporary construction ponds (of less than three years duration) and landscape amenities. However, naturally occurring ponds may include those artificial ponds intentionally created from dry areas in order to mitigate conversion of ponds, if permitted by a regulatory authority;
- D. Lakes, ponds, creeks and rivers planted with native fish populations, including fish planted under the auspices of federal, state, local or tribal programs or which supports priority fish species as identified by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife;
- E. Washington State Wildlife Areas as identified on Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife maps; and
- F. Washington State Natural Area Preserves and Natural Resource Conservation Areas as identified on Washington Department of Natural Resources maps.

"Float" means an anchored (not directly to the shore) floating platform that is free to rise and fall with water levels and is used for water-dependent recreational activities such as boat mooring, swimming or diving. Floats may stand alone with no over-water connection to shore or may be located at the end of a pier or ramp.

"Flood" or "Flooding" means a general and temporary condition of partial or complete inundation of normally dry land areas from:

- A. The overflow of inland or tidal waters and/or
- B. The unusual and rapid accumulation of runoff of surface waters from any source.

"Flood insurance rate map (FIRM)" means the official map on which the Federal Emergency Management Agency has delineated both the areas of special flood hazards and the risk premium zones applicable to the community.

"Flood Insurance Study" means the official report provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency that includes flood profiles, the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (FBFM), and the water surface elevation of the base flood.

"Floodplain" is synonymous with the one hundred-year floodplain and means that land area susceptible to inundation with a one percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The limit of this area shall be based upon flood ordinance regulation maps or a reasonable method which meets the objectives of the SMA.

"Floodway" means the area, as identified in this Master Program, that either:

- A. Has been established in Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Maps or floodway maps; or
- B. Consists of those portions of a river valley lying stream ward from the outer limits of a watercourse upon which flood waters are carried during periods of flooding that occur with reasonable regularity, although not necessarily annually, said floodway being identified, under normal condition, by changes in surface soil conditions or changes in types or quality of vegetative ground cover condition, topography, or other indicators of flooding that occurs with reasonable regularity, although not necessarily annually.

Regardless of the method used to identify the floodway, the floodway shall not include those lands that can reasonably be expected to be protected from flood waters by flood control devices maintained by or maintained under license from the federal government, the state, or a political subdivision of the state.

"Frequently Flooded Areas" means those areas of Benton County subject to inundation by a base flood (100-Year Flood) and other flood hazard areas such as creeks, wasteways, wetlands, canyons, and closed depressions which are shown on the County's Geologic Hazards Maps. See also "Area of Special Flood Hazard."

"Geologically Hazardous Areas" are areas which pose potential threats to life or property because of unstable soil, geologic or hydrologic conditions, or steep slopes. Geologically Hazardous Areas shall include, but are not limited to, all landslide and seismic hazard areas.

"Geotechnical report" or "geotechnical analysis" means a scientific study or evaluation conducted by a qualified expert that includes a description of the ground and surface hydrology and geology, the affected land form and its susceptibility to mass wasting, erosion, and other geologic hazards or processes, conclusions and recommendations regarding the effect of the proposed development on geologic conditions, the adequacy of the site to be developed, the impacts of the proposed development, alternative approaches to the proposed development, and measures to mitigate potential site-specific and cumulative geological and hydrological impacts of the proposed development, including the potential adverse impacts to adjacent and down-current properties. Geotechnical reports shall conform to accepted technical standards and must be prepared by qualified professional engineers or geologists who have professional expertise about the regional and local shoreline geology and processes.

"Grade" means the vertical location of the ground surface. "Natural grade" is the grade as it exists or may have existed in its original undisturbed condition. "Existing grade" is the current grade in either its undisturbed, natural condition or as disturbed by some previous modification. "Rough grade" is a stage where grade conforms approximately to an approved plan. "Finish grade" is the final grade of the site which conforms to an approved plan. "Average grade level" is the average of the natural or existing topography of the portion of the lot, parcel, or tract of real property which will be directly under the proposed building or structure. In the case of structures to be built over water, average grade level shall be the elevation of the ordinary high-water mark. Calculation of the average grade level shall be made by averaging the ground elevations at the midpoint of all exterior walls of the proposed building or structure.

"Grading" means the movement or redistribution of the soil, sand, rock, gravel, sediment, or other material on a site in a manner that alters the natural contour of the land

"Groin" means a barrier type of structure that extends from the stream bank into a waterbody for the purpose of the protection of a shoreline and adjacent uplands by influencing the movement of water or deposition of materials. Groins may serve a variety of functions, including bank protection, pool formation, and increased roughness, and may include rock structures, debris jams, or pilings that collect wood debris. See also "Weir."

"Groundwater" means the supply of fresh water under the surface of the ground in an aquifer that forms a natural reservoir of potable water.

"Guidelines" means those standards adopted by the Department of Ecology into the Washington Administrative Code (WAC) to implement the policy of Chapter 90.58 RCW for regulation of use of the shorelines of the state prior to adoption of master programs. Such standards also provide criteria for local governments and the Department of Ecology in developing and amending master programs.

"Hard structural shoreline stabilization" means shoreline erosion control practices using hardened structures that armor and stabilize the shoreline from further erosion. Hard structural shoreline stabilization typically uses concrete, boulders, dimensional lumber or other materials to construct linear, vertical or near-vertical faces. These include bulkheads, rip-rap, and similar structures.

"Hearings Examiner" means an examiner appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, authorized to hear and make decisions on variances, land use permits, and certain appeals. Appeals may be taken to the Hearings Examiner by any person aggrieved, or by any officer, department, board or bureau of the county affected by appealable decisions of the director.

"Height" is measured from average grade level to the highest point of a structure: Provided, that television antennas, chimneys, and similar appurtenances shall not be used in calculating height, except where such appurtenances obstruct the view of the shoreline of a substantial number of residences on areas adjoining such shorelines, or the SMP specifically requires that such appurtenances be included: Provided further, that temporary construction equipment is excluded in this calculation.

"Houseboat" or "floating home" means a dwelling unit constructed on a float that is moored, anchored, or otherwise secured in the water and is not designed for navigation under its own power.

"Hyporheic" means a groundwater area adjacent to and below channels where water is exchanged with channel water and water movement is mainly in the downstream direction

"Impervious Surface" means any material which reduces or prevents absorption of water into previously undeveloped land.

"Industry" means facilities for processing, manufacturing, and storage of finished or semi-finished goods, wholesale trade or storage, together with necessary accessory uses such as parking, loading, and waste storage and treatment.

"In-stream structures" are structure placed by humans within a stream or river waterward of the OHWM that either causes or has the potential to cause water impoundment or the diversion, obstruction, or modification of water flow. In-stream structures may include those for hydroelectric generation, irrigation, water supply, flood control, transportation, utility service transmission, fish habitat enhancement, recreation, or other purpose.

"Landslide" means episodic downslope movement of a mass of soil or rock.

"Landslide Hazard Area" refers to those areas of Benton County subject to a severe risk of landslide which include the following:

- A. Any areas with a combination of:
 - 1. Slopes greater than fifteen (15) percent;

2. Impermeable soils (typically silt and clay) frequently inter-bedded with granular soils (predominately sand and gravel); or,
 3. Springs or ground water seepage.
- B. Any area which has shown movement during the Holocene epoch (from ten thousand 10,000 years ago to present) or which is underlain by mass wastage debris of that epoch;
- C. Any area potentially unstable as a result of rapid stream incision, stream bank erosion or undercutting by water action, including stream channel migration zones, or surcharge by upslope irrigation district canals or waterworks;
- D. Any area located on an alluvial fan, presently subject to or potentially subject to inundation by debris flows or deposition of stream-transported sediments.

"Maintenance, Normal" means those usual acts to prevent a decline, lapse, or cessation from a legally established condition. See "Repair, Normal."

"Manufactured Home" means a structure, transportable in one or more sections, which is built on a permanent chassis and designed to be used with or without a permanent foundation when connected to the required utilities. The term "manufactured home" does not include a recreational vehicle.

"Manufactured Home Park" or "Subdivision" means a parcel (or contiguous parcels) of land divided into two or more manufactured home lots for rent or sale.

"May" means the action is acceptable, provided it conforms to the provisions of this chapter.

"Mining" means the removal of naturally occurring minerals and materials from the earth for commercial value. Mining includes processing and batching. Mining does not include large excavations for structures, foundations, parking areas, etc.

"Mitigation (sequencing)" means the use of any or all of the following actions that are listed in descending order of preference:

- A. avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
- B. minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, by using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;
- C. rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating or restoring the affected sensitive area;
- D. reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation or maintenance operations during the life of the development proposal;
- E. compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing or providing substitute sensitive areas and environments;
- F. monitoring the impact and taking appropriate corrective measures.

"Monitoring" means the ongoing evaluation of the impacts of a development proposal on the biological, hydrologic and geologic conditions of Critical Areas. Monitoring includes the gathering of baseline data and the assessment of the performance of required mitigation measures through the collection and analysis of data for the purposes of understanding and documenting changes in natural ecosystems and features.

"Moorage facility" means a marina, pier, dock, mooring buoy, or any other similar fixed moorage site.

"Must" means a mandate; the action is required.

"Native vegetation" refers to plant species which are indigenous to the Central Basin region and which reasonably

could have been expected to naturally occur on the site. Native vegetation does not include noxious weeds.

"Natural or existing topography" means the topography of the lot, parcel, or tract of real property immediately prior to any site preparation or grading, including excavation or filling.

"New Construction" means structures for which the "start of construction" commenced on or after the effective date of this Title.

"Nonconforming," when used in reference to a use or structure, means a land use or structure that was lawful when established, but which does not now conform to the use regulations of the zone in which it is located. A use or structure shall be considered established if it conformed to applicable development regulations at any time or if it commenced or was constructed under a permit that has not expired.

"Non water-oriented uses" means those uses that are not water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment.

"No net loss of ecological functions" means a public policy goal and requirement to maintain the aggregate total of the County's shoreline ecological functions at its current level. For purposes of reviewing and approving this SMP, "current" is equivalent to the date of the Final Shoreline Analysis Report (April 2013). As a development standard, it means the result of the application of Mitigation Sequencing, in which impacts of a particular shoreline development and/or use, whether permitted or exempt, are identified and addressed, such that there are no adverse impacts on shoreline ecological functions or processes relative to the legal condition just prior to the proposed development and/or use.

"Ordinary High Water Mark" (OHWM) means that mark on lakes and streams which will be found by examining the bed and banks and ascertaining where the presence and action of waters are so common and usual, and so long continued in ordinary years, as to mark upon the soil a character distinct from that of the abutting upland.

"Outcrop" refers to a geologic layer exposed at the earth's surface.

"Permit", for the purposes of this SMP, means any substantial development, variance, conditional use permit, or revision authorized under chapter 90.58 RCW.

"Pier" means a fixed platform above the water and supported by piles, usually perpendicular to the shoreline. See also "Dock."

"Preferred uses" are those uses which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment or are unique to or dependent upon use of the shoreline. "Preferred" uses include single-family residences, ports, shoreline recreational uses, water-dependent industrial and commercial developments, and other developments that provide public access opportunities.

"Priority habitat" means a habitat type with unique or significant value to one or more species. An area classified and mapped as priority habitat must have one or more of the following attributes: Comparatively high fish or wildlife density; comparatively high fish or wildlife species diversity; fish spawning habitat; important wildlife habitat; important fish or wildlife seasonal range; important fish or wildlife movement corridor; rearing and foraging habitat; refuge; limited availability; high vulnerability to habitat alteration; unique or dependent species; or shellfish bed. A priority habitat may be described by a unique vegetation type or by a dominant plant species that is of primary importance to fish and wildlife. A priority habitat may also be described by a successional stage. Alternatively, a priority habitat may consist of a specific habitat element (such as talus slopes, caves, snags) of key value to fish and wildlife. A priority habitat may contain priority and/or non-priority fish and wildlife.

"Priority species" means species requiring protective measures and/or management guidelines to ensure their persistence at genetically viable population levels. Priority species are those that meet any of the criteria listed below:

- A. State-listed or state proposed species. State-listed species are those native fish and wildlife species legally

designated as endangered (WAC 232-12-014), threatened (WAC 232-12-011), or sensitive (WAC 232-12-011). State proposed species are those fish and wildlife species that will be reviewed by the Department of Fish and Wildlife (POL-M-6001) for possible listing as endangered, threatened, or sensitive according to the process and criteria defined in WAC 232-12-297.

- B. Vulnerable aggregations. Vulnerable aggregations include those species or groups of animals susceptible to significant population declines, within a specific area or statewide, by virtue of their inclination to congregate. Examples include heron colonies, seabird concentrations, and marine mammal congregations.
- C. Species of recreational, commercial, and/or tribal importance. Native and nonnative fish, shellfish, and wildlife species of recreational or commercial importance and recognized species used for tribal ceremonial and subsistence purposes that are vulnerable to habitat loss or degradation.
- D. Species listed under the federal Endangered Species Act as either proposed, threatened, or endangered.

"Provisions" means policies, regulations, standards, guideline criteria or environment designations.

"Public interest" means the interest shared by the citizens of the state or community at large in the affairs of government, or some interest by which their rights or liabilities are affected including, but not limited to, an effect on public property or on health, safety, or general welfare resulting from a use or development.

"Public access" means the ability of the general public to reach, touch, and enjoy the water's edge, to travel on the waters of the state, and to view the water and the shoreline from adjacent locations.

"Public Trust Doctrine" is a common law principle generally holding that the waters of the state are a public resource owned by and available to all citizens equally for the purposes of navigation, conducting commerce, fishing, recreation and similar uses. While the doctrine protects public use of navigable water bodies below the ordinary high-water mark, the doctrine does not allow the public to trespass over privately owned uplands to access the tidelands.

"Qualified Professional" means an accredited or licensed professional with a combination of education and experience in the discipline appropriate for the subject matter under review, or someone who would qualify as an expert in their field. Related to critical areas, a qualified professional is a person with experience and training in the pertinent scientific discipline, and who is a qualified scientific expert with expertise appropriate for the relevant critical area subject in accordance with WAC 365-195-905(4), as it now exists or may be hereinafter amended. A qualified professional must have obtained a B.S. or B.A. or equivalent degree in biology, engineering, environmental studies, fisheries, geomorphology, or related field, and two years of related work experience, as further detailed below:

- A qualified professional for fish and wildlife habitats must have a degree in biology and professional experience related to the subject species or habitat.
- A qualified professional for a geological hazard must be a professional engineering geologist, geologist, or civil engineer licensed in the State of Washington.
- A qualified professional for critical aquifer recharge areas means a hydrogeologist, geologist, engineer, or other scientist with experience in preparing hydrogeologic assessments.
- A qualified professional for wetlands shall be a certified professional wetland scientist or have, at a minimum: (1) a Bachelor's degree in hydrology, soil science, botany, ecology, or related field; and (2) at least two years of full-time work experience as a wetlands professional, including delineating wetlands using the state or federal manuals, preparing wetland reports, conducting function assessments, and developing and implementing mitigation plans.

"Recharge Area" refers to an area in which water is absorbed and added to the groundwater reservoir.

"Recreation" means an experience or activity in which an individual engages for personal enjoyment and satisfaction. Shore-based outdoor recreation includes but is not limited to fishing; various forms of boating, swimming, hiking, bicycling, horseback riding, picnicking, watching or recording activities such as photography, painting, bird watching or viewing of water or shorelines, nature study and related activities.

"Recreational uses" refers to public, private, or commercial uses which offer activities, pastimes, and experiences that allow for the refreshment of mind and body. Examples include, but are not limited to, parks, viewpoints, trails, public access facilities, public parks, and other low intensity use outdoor recreation areas. Recreational uses that do not require a shoreline location, nor are related to the water, nor provide significant public access, are considered non-water oriented. For example, a recreation use solely offering indoor activities would be considered non-water-oriented.

"Recreational Vehicle" is a vehicle which is a travel trailer, motor home, truck camper, or camping trailer that is designed and used as temporary living quarters or overnight camping, is either self-propelled or mounted on or drawn by another vehicle, has a body length of no more than forty-five (45) feet; or, any structure inspected, approved and designated as a recreational vehicle by an bearing the insignia of the State of Washington or any other state or federal agency having the authority to approve recreational vehicles.

"Regulated Substance" means the toxic or natural substances and dangerous waste which have the potential to cause adverse impacts to ground and surface water quality and are controlled to ensure proper management and handling. Toxic and dangerous substances are listed in but not limited to Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-201A-040, and WAC 173-303-080.

"Repair, Normal" means to restore a development or structure to a state comparable to its original, legally established condition, including but not limited to its size, shape, configuration, location and external appearance, within a reasonable period after decay or partial destruction, except where repair causes substantial adverse effects to shoreline resource or environment. Replacement of a structure or development may be authorized as repair where such replacement is the common method of repair for the type of structure or development and the replacement structure or development is comparable to the original structure or development including but not limited to its size, shape, configuration, location and external appearance and the replacement does not cause substantial adverse effects to shoreline resources or environment. See also "Maintenance, Normal."

"Residential" means buildings, structures or portions thereof that are designed and used as a place for human habitation. Included are single, duplex or multi-family dwellings, manufactured homes, and other structures that serve to house people, as well as the creation of new residential lots through land division. This definition includes accessory uses common to normal residential use, including but not limited to, residential appurtenances, accessory dwelling units, and home occupations.

"Restore," "restoration" or "ecological restoration" means the reestablishment or upgrading of impaired ecological shoreline processes or functions. This may be accomplished through measures including, but not limited to, revegetation, removal of intrusive shoreline structures, and removal or treatment of toxic materials. Restoration does not imply a requirement for returning the shoreline area to aboriginal or pre-European settlement conditions.

"Riparian Corridor" means the natural vegetation which lines the sides and tops of banks along rivers, creeks and streams. Typical vegetation includes willows, cottonwood, maples, alder and other brushy understory which transitions into upland vegetation as distance from the bank increases.

"River" means the Yakima and Columbia Rivers.

"Salmonid" means a member of the fish family salmonidae. In Benton County, these include, but are not limited to, coho, Chinook, sockeye, resident rainbow, brown trout, steelhead, and whitefish.

"Seismic Hazard Areas" mean those areas of Benton County that are potentially subject to severe risk of earthquake damage as a result of seismically induced ground shaking, slope failure, settlement, soil liquefaction or surface faulting.

"Setback". See "Building Setback."

"Shall" means a mandate; the action must be done

"Shorelands" or "shoreland areas" means those lands extending landward for two hundred feet in all directions as measured on a horizontal plane from the ordinary high water mark; floodways and contiguous floodplain areas landward two hundred feet from such floodways; and all wetlands and river deltas associated with the streams and lakes which are subject to the provisions of this chapter; the same to be designated as to location by the Department of Ecology.

"Shorelines" means all of the water areas of the state, including reservoirs, and their associated shorelands, together with the lands underlying them; except (i) shorelines of statewide significance; (ii) shorelines on segments of streams upstream of a point where the mean annual flow is twenty cubic feet per second or less and the wetlands associated with such upstream segments; and (iii) shorelines on lakes less than twenty acres in size and wetlands associated with such small lakes.

"Shoreline areas" and "shoreline jurisdiction" means all "shorelines of the state" and "shorelands" as defined in RCW 90.58.030.

"Shorelines of statewide significance" means the following shorelines of the state:

- A. Those lakes, whether natural, artificial, or a combination thereof, with a surface acreage of one thousand acres or more measured at the ordinary high-water mark;
- B. Those natural rivers or segments east of the crest of the Cascade range downstream of a point where the annual flow is measured at two hundred cubic feet per second or more, or those portions of rivers east of the crest of the Cascade range downstream from the first three hundred square miles of drainage area, whichever is longer; and
- C. Those shorelands associated with A and B, above.

"Shorelines of the state" are the total of all "shorelines" and "shorelines of statewide significance" within the state.

"Shoreline environment designations" are a classification of shorelines established by this SMP in order to provide a uniform basis for applying policies and use regulations within distinctively different shoreline areas.

"Shorelines Hearings Board", a quasi-judicial body within the state Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office, which hears appeals by any aggrieved party on the issuance of a shoreline permit. See RCW 90.58.170 et seq. for the role of the Washington State Shorelines Hearings Board.

"Shoreline modifications" means those actions that modify the physical configuration or qualities of the shoreline area, usually through the construction of a physical element such as a dike, breakwater, pier, weir, dredged basin, fill, bulkhead, or other shoreline structure. They can include other actions, such as clearing, grading, or application of chemicals.

"Shoreline stabilization" means structural or non-structural modifications to the existing shoreline intended to address erosion impacts to property and dwellings, businesses, or structures caused by natural processes, such as current, flood, wind, or wave action. They are generally located parallel to the shoreline at or near the OHWM.

"Should" means that the particular action is required unless there is a demonstrated, compelling reason, based on policy of the Shoreline Management Act and this chapter, against taking the action.

"Significant vegetation removal" means the removal or alteration of trees, shrubs, and/or ground cover by clearing, grading, cutting, burning, chemical means, or other activity that causes significant ecological impacts to functions provided by such vegetation. The removal of invasive or noxious weeds does not constitute significant vegetation removal. Tree pruning, not including tree topping, where it does not affect ecological functions, does not constitute significant vegetation removal.

"Slide" refers to the downward mass movement of soil, rock, or snow resulting from failure of that material under stress.

"Slope" refers to the inclination of the surface of the land from the horizontal.

"SMA" means the Washington State Shoreline Management Act, chapter 90.58 RCW.

"Soft structural shoreline stabilization" means shoreline erosion control and restoration practices that contribute to restoration, protection or enhancement of shoreline ecological functions. Soft structural shoreline stabilization typically includes a mix of gravels, cobbles, boulders, logs and native vegetation placed to provide shore stability in a non-linear, generally sloping arrangement. Linear, vertical faces are an indicator of Hard Structural Shoreline Stabilization (see above definition).

"State master program" is the cumulative total of all shoreline master programs and amendments thereto approved or adopted by rule by Ecology.

"Structure" means a permanent or temporary edifice or building, or any piece of work artificially built or composed of parts joined together in some definite manner, whether installed on, above, or below the surface of the ground or water, except for vessels.

"Substantial development" shall mean any development of which the total cost or fair market value exceeds ~~six thousand, four hundred, and sixteen dollars~~ seven thousand, and forty seven dollars, or any development which materially interferes with the normal public use of the water or shorelines of the state. The dollar threshold must be adjusted for inflation by the Office of Financial Management every five years, beginning July 1, 2007, based upon changes in the consumer price index during that time period. "Consumer price index" means, for any calendar year, that year's annual average consumer price index, Seattle, Washington area, for urban wage earners and clerical workers, all items, compiled by the Bureau of Labor and Statistics, United States Department of Labor.

The Office of Financial Management must calculate the new dollar threshold and transmit it to the Office of the Code Reviser for publication in the Washington State Register at least one month before the new dollar threshold is to take effect. For purposes of determining whether or not a permit is required, the total cost or fair market value shall be based on the value of development that is occurring on shorelines of the state as defined in RCW 90.58.030(2)(c). The total cost or fair market value of the development shall include the fair market value of any donated, contributed or found labor, equipment or materials. See WAC 173-27-040 for a list of developments that are not considered substantial.

"Substantially degrade" means to cause significant ecological impact.

"Transportation" means roads and railways, related bridges and culverts, fills, embankments, causeways, parking areas, and trails.

"Use" means the activity or purpose for which land or structures or combination of land and structures are designed, arranged, occupied, or maintained together with any associated site improvement. This definition includes the construction, erection, placement, movement or demolition of any structure or site improvement and any physical alteration to land itself including any grading, leveling, paving or excavation. Use also means any existing or proposed configuration of land, structures, and site improvements, and the use thereof.

"Utility" means a primary or accessory service or facility that produces, transmits, stores, processes, or disposes of electrical power, gas, water, sewage, communications, oil, and the like.

"Vadose Zone Analysis" means the characterization of the soil profile above the water table.

"Variance" is a means to grant relief from the specific bulk, dimensional or performance standards set forth in this Master Program and not a means to vary a use of a shoreline.

"Vegetation" means any and all organic plant life growing at, below, or above the soil surface.

"Vessel" includes ships, boats, barges, or any other floating craft which are designed and used for navigation and do not interfere with the normal public use of the water.

"Water-dependent use" means a use or portion of a use which cannot exist in a location that is not adjacent to the water and which is dependent on the water by reason of the intrinsic nature of its operations.

"Water-enjoyment use" means a recreational use or other use that facilitates public access to the shoreline as a primary characteristic of the use; or a use that provides for recreational use or aesthetic enjoyment of the shoreline for a substantial number of people as a general characteristic of the use and which through location, design, and operation ensures the public's ability to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of the shoreline. In order to qualify as a water-enjoyment use, the use must be open to the general public and the shoreline-oriented space within the project must be devoted to the specific aspects of the use that fosters shoreline enjoyment.

"Water-oriented use" means a use that is water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment, or a combination of such uses.

"Water quality" means the physical characteristics of water within shoreline jurisdiction, including water quantity, hydrological, physical, chemical, aesthetic, recreation-related, and biological characteristics. Where used in this chapter, the term "water quantity" refers only to development and uses regulated under this chapter and affecting water quantity, such as impermeable surfaces and storm water handling practices. Water quantity, for purposes of this chapter, does not mean the withdrawal of ground water or diversion of surface water pursuant to RCW 90.03.250 through 90.03.340.

"Water-related use" means a use or portion of a use which is not intrinsically dependent on a waterfront location but whose economic viability is dependent upon a waterfront location because:

- A. The use has a functional requirement for a waterfront location such as the arrival or shipment of materials by water or the need for large quantities of water; or
- B. The use provides a necessary service supportive of the water-dependent uses and the proximity of the use to its customers makes its services less expensive and/or more convenient.

"Weir" means a structure generally built perpendicular to the shoreline for the purpose of diverting water or trapping sediment or other moving objects transported by water.

"Wetland" or "wetlands" means that area inundated or saturated by surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs and similar areas. Wetlands do not include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from non-wetland sites, including, but not limited to, irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, and landscape amenities, or those wetlands created after July 1, 1990, that were unintentionally created as a result of the construction of a road, street, or highway. However, wetlands may include those artificial wetlands specifically intentionally created from non-wetland areas to mitigate conversion of wetlands.

"Wetland Edge" means the line delineating the outer edge of a wetland established by using the procedures in the currently approved Federal Wetland Delineation Manual.

"Wetland Functions" refer to the natural processes performed by wetlands and include functions which are important in facilitating food chain production, providing habitat for nesting, rearing and resting site for aquatic, terrestrial or avian species, maintaining the availability and quality of water such as purifying water, acting as recharge and discharge areas for groundwater aquifers and moderating surface water and storm water flows as well as performing other functions including but not limited to those set out in U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regulations at 33 C.R.R. Section 320.4(b)(2) (1988).

Section 15.03 Shoreline Jurisdiction and Use Preferences

03.010 Definition

- (a) As defined by the Shoreline Management Act of 1971, shorelines include certain waters of the State plus their associated "shorelands." The waterbodies designated as shorelines of the State are streams whose mean annual flow is 20 cubic feet per second (cfs) or greater and lakes whose area is greater than 20 acres. In Benton County, only the Yakima River and the Columbia River meet shoreline criteria.
- (b) Shorelands, as adopted by Benton County and indicated on the Official Shoreline Maps are available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System, are defined as:

"those lands extending landward for 200 feet in all directions as measured on a horizontal plane from the ordinary high water mark; floodways and contiguous floodplain areas landward 200 feet from such floodways; and all wetlands and river deltas associated with the streams, lakes, and tidal waters which are subject to the provisions of this chapter...." (RCW 90.58.030)

- (c) The extent of shoreline jurisdiction is indicated on the Official Shoreline Maps available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System. The purpose of the Official Shoreline Maps is to identify Environment Designations (Section 15.04 below). The maps only approximately identify or depict the lateral extent of shoreline jurisdiction. The actual lateral extent of the shoreline jurisdiction shall be determined on a site-specific basis based on the location of the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM), floodway, floodplain, and presence of associated wetlands.
- (d) In circumstances where shoreline jurisdiction does not include an entire parcel, only that portion of the parcel within shoreline jurisdiction and any use, activity or development proposed within shoreline jurisdiction on that portion of the parcel is subject to this Shoreline Master Program.

03.020 General Shoreline Use Preferences

- (a) This SMP adopts the following policy provided in RCW 90.58.020, and fully implements it to the extent of its authority under this SMP:

It is the policy of the State to provide for the management of the shorelines of the State by planning for and fostering all reasonable and appropriate uses. This policy is designed to insure the development of these shorelines in a manner which, while allowing for limited reduction of rights of the public in the navigable waters, will promote and enhance the public interest. This policy contemplates protecting against adverse effects to the public health, the land and its vegetation and wildlife, and the waters of the State and their aquatic life, while protecting generally public rights of navigation and corollary rights incidental thereto...

In the implementation of this policy, the public's opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of natural shorelines of the State shall be preserved to the greatest extent feasible consistent with the overall best interest of the State and the people generally. To this end uses shall be preferred which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment or are unique to or dependent upon use of the state's shoreline. Alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines of the state, in those limited instances when authorized, shall be given priority for single family residences and their appurtenant structures, ports, shoreline recreational uses including but not limited to parks, marinas, piers, and other improvements facilitating public access to shorelines of the state, industrial and commercial developments which are particularly dependent on their location on or use of the shorelines of the state and other development that will provide an opportunity for substantial numbers of the people to enjoy the shorelines of the state....

Permitted uses in the shorelines of the State shall be designed and conducted in a manner to minimize, insofar as practical, any resultant damage to the ecology and environment of the

shoreline area and any interference with the public's use of the water.

- (b) When determining allowable uses and resolving use conflicts on shorelines within jurisdiction consistent with the above policy, the following preferences and priorities as listed in WAC 173-26-201(2)(d) shall be applied in the order presented below:
- (1) Reserve appropriate areas for protecting and restoring ecological functions to control pollution and prevent damage to the natural environment and public health.
 - (2) Reserve shoreline areas for water-dependent and associated water related uses ... Local governments may prepare master program provisions to allow mixed-use developments that include and support water-dependent uses and address specific conditions that affect water-dependent uses.
 - (3) Reserve shoreline areas for other water-related and water-enjoyment uses that are compatible with ecological protection and restoration objectives.
 - (4) Locate single-family residential uses where they are appropriate and can be developed without significant impact to ecological functions or displacement of water-dependent uses.
 - (5) Limit non-water-oriented uses to those locations where the above described uses are inappropriate or where non-water-oriented uses demonstrably contribute to the objectives of the Shoreline Management Act.

03.030 Shorelines of Statewide Significance

03.030.01 *Designation Criteria*

Certain shoreline waterbodies and their associated shorelands have elevated status under the SMA if they are streams and rivers in Eastern Washington that are "...downstream of a point where the annual flow is measured at two hundred cubic feet per second or more, or those portions of rivers east of the crest of the Cascade range downstream from the first three hundred square miles of drainage area, whichever is longer" (RCW 90.58.030(2)(e)(v)(B)). These waterbodies are considered to be "shorelines of statewide significance," and have unique supplemental provisions outlined in Sections 15.03.030.02 and 15.03.030.03 below. All of Benton County's shorelines, the Yakima and Columbia Rivers, are Shorelines of Statewide Significance.

03.030.02 *Use Preferences*

- (a) In accordance with RCW 90.58.020, the following management and administrative policies are hereby adopted for all Shorelines of Statewide Significance in the County and UGAs, as defined in RCW 90.58.030(2)(e). Consistent with the policy contained in RCW 90.58.020, preference shall be given to the uses in the following order that are consistent with the statewide interest in Benton County's shorelines. These are uses that:
- (1) Recognize and protect the statewide interest over local interest;
 - (2) Preserve the natural character of the shoreline;
 - (3) Result in long term over short term benefit;
 - (4) Protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline;
 - (5) Increase public access to publicly owned areas of the shorelines;
 - (6) Increase recreational opportunities for the public in the shoreline;
 - (7) Provide for any other element as defined in RCW 90.58.100 deemed appropriate or necessary. (WAC 173-26-251(2))
- (b) Uses that are not consistent with these preferences should not be permitted on shorelines of statewide significance.

03.030.03 *Policies*

Consistent with the use preferences for Shorelines of Statewide Significance contained in RCW 90.58.020 and

identified in Section 15.03.030.02, the County will base decisions administering this SMP on the following policies in order of decreasing priority:

- (c) Recognize and protect the state-wide interest over local interest.
 - (1) Solicit comments and opinions from groups and individuals representing state-wide interests by circulating amendments to the Master Program, and any proposed amendments affecting Shorelines of Statewide Significance, to state agencies, affected Tribes, adjacent local governments', citizen's advisory committees and local officials, and state-wide interest groups.
 - (2) Recognize and take into account state agencies' policies, programs and recommendations in developing and administering use regulations and in approving shoreline permits.
 - (3) Solicit comments, opinions and advice from individuals with expertise in ecology and other scientific fields pertinent to shoreline management.
- (d) Preserve the natural character of the shoreline.
 - (1) Designate and administer shoreline environments and use regulations to protect and restore the ecology and environment of the shoreline as a result of human intrusions on shorelines.
 - (2) Restore, enhance, and/or redevelop those areas where intensive development already exists in order to reduce adverse impact on the environment and to accommodate future growth rather than allowing high-intensity uses to extend into low-intensity use or underdeveloped areas.
 - (3) Protect and restore existing diversity of vegetation and habitat values, wetlands, and riparian corridors associated with shoreline areas.
 - (4) Protect and restore habitats for State-listed "priority species."
- (e) Support actions that result in long-term benefits over short-term benefits.
 - (1) Evaluate the short-term economic gain or convenience of developments relative to the long-term and potentially costly impairments to the natural shoreline.
 - (2) Preserve resources and values of Shorelines of Statewide Significance for future generations and restrict or prohibit development that would irretrievably damage shoreline resources.
 - (3) Ensure the long-term protection of ecological resources of statewide importance, such as anadromous fish habitats and unique environments.
- (f) Protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline.
 - (1) All shoreline development should be located, designed, constructed and managed consistent with mitigation sequencing provisions outlined in Section 15.05.020 to minimize adverse impacts to regionally important wildlife resources, including spawning, nesting, rearing and habitat areas, and migratory routes and result in no net loss of shoreline ecosystems and ecosystem-wide processes.
 - (2) Actively promote aesthetic considerations when contemplating new development, redevelopment of existing facilities, or general enhancement of shoreline areas.
- (g) Increase public access to publicly owned areas of the shoreline.
 - (1) Give priority to developing paths and trails to shoreline areas and linear access along the shorelines, especially those trail corridors that would be a regional recreational and transportation resource.
 - (2) Locate development landward of the OHWM so that access is enhanced and opportunities for access are not precluded.
 - (3) Increase public access opportunities for those with disabilities consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act.
 - (4) Provide incentives to landowners that provide shoreline public access, such as development incentives, tax reductions, or other measures.

- (h) Increase recreational opportunities for the public on the shoreline.
 - (1) Plan for and encourage development of facilities for public recreational use of the shoreline, including facilities for boating, swimming, fishing, and other water-oriented activities.
 - (2) Reserve areas for lodging and related facilities on uplands with provisions for appropriate public access to the shoreline.

Section 15.04 Shoreline Environment Designations

04.010 Urban Transition Area

04.010.01 Purpose:

The purpose of assigning an area to an Urban Transition Area environment designation is to:

- (a) Ensure optimum utilization of shorelines occurring within designated Urban Growth Areas by managing development and uses so that they enhance and maintain shorelines for a variety of future urban uses, and
- (b) Protect and restore ecological functions of open space, flood plain and other sensitive lands where they exist in urban and developed settings, while allowing a variety of compatible uses.

The Urban Transition Area designation also reflects Benton County's coordinated planning with its cities.

04.010.02 Designation Criteria:

Assign an Urban Transition Area environment designation to Urban Growth Areas, where high intensity land-uses, including residential, commercial, recreational and industrial development or supporting utilities and transportation exist or are planned for in the future or where there is existing or planned development that is compatible with maintaining or restoring the ecological functions of the area.

04.010-03 Management Policies:

- (c) Recognize the cities' SMP development standards in Urban Transition Area areas. Shoreline regulations should reflect each UGA's unique character. Major Urban Transition Areas are described below:
 - (1) Richland UGA North: Richland intends this shoreline have a natural character. The shoreline in this area has a low level of human disturbance or has been disturbed in the past but either has been isolated from human activity in the near past or is subject to a restoration program designed to restore natural ecological processes and functions. Scientific, historical, cultural, educational research uses, and low-intensity water-oriented recreational access uses may be allowed provided that no significant ecological impact on the area will result.
 - (2) Benton City UGA: UGA lands vary in existing and planned character, allowing a range of residential, suburban agricultural, commercial, recreational, and transportation uses, designed in a manner to achieve no-net-loss of ecological function.
 - (3) Kennewick UGA: UGA lands are suitable for urban development that is compatible with maintaining or restoring of the ecological functions of the area.
 - (4) Prosser UGA: The Prosser UGA shorelines are intended for residential, industrial, and other low intensity development compatible with maintaining or restoring of the ecological functions of the area.
- (d) In regulating uses in the Urban Transition Area environment, first priority should be given to water-dependent uses. Second priority should be given to water-related and water-enjoyment uses. Non water-oriented uses should be allowed in limited situations where they do not conflict with or limit opportunities for water-oriented uses or on sites where there is no direct access to the shoreline.
- (e) When expanding the Urban Transition Area environment, first consider the availability of existing Urban

Transition Area land for water-oriented development.

- (f) Uses that preserve the natural character of the area or promote preservation of open space, floodplain or sensitive lands either directly or over the long term are encouraged. Uses that result in restoration of ecological functions should be allowed if the use is otherwise compatible with the purpose of the environment and the setting.
- (g) Policies and regulations shall assure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions as a result of new development.
- (h) Public access should be required on public lands. Private development that creates a demand for shoreline access should provide visual or physical access unless there are constitutional or legal limitations, safety, security, environment, or other similar factors that limit its feasibility.

04.020 Rural Industrial

04.020.01 Purpose:

The purpose of the Rural Industrial environment designation is to provide for intensive water-oriented commercial, transportation, power production, and industrial uses, while protecting existing ecological functions. This designation will provide the opportunity for the development, redevelopment and infill of existing rural industrial and commercial developments or former industrial or commercial sites consistent with the rural character of Benton County.

04.020.02 Designation Criteria

Assign a Rural Industrial environment designation to shoreline areas in industrial or commercial areas of intensive rural development if they currently support concentrations of commerce, transportation, power production, or navigation; or are suitable and planned for intensive water-oriented uses

04.020.03 Management Policies:

- (a) In regulating uses in the Rural Industrial environment, first priority should be given to water-dependent uses. Second priority should be given to water-related and water-enjoyment uses. Non water-oriented uses should be allowed in limited situations where they do not conflict with or limit opportunities for water-oriented uses or on sites where there is no direct access to the shoreline.
- (b) Policies and regulations shall assure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions as a result of new development. Where applicable, new development shall include environmental cleanup and restoration of the shoreline to comply with any relevant state and federal law.
- (c) Public access should be required on public lands. Private development that creates a demand for shoreline access should provide visual or physical access unless there are constitutional or legal limitations, safety, security, environment, or other similar factors that limit its feasibility.
- (d) Full utilization of existing industrial areas and altered lands should be achieved before further expansion of intensive development is allowed.

04.030 Residential

04.030.01 Purpose:

The purpose of the Residential environment designation is to accommodate residential development and accessory structures that are consistent with this chapter. An additional purpose is to provide appropriate public access and recreational uses.

04.030.02 Designation Criteria:

Assign a Residential environment designation to shoreline areas that are predominantly single-family residential development or are planned and platted for residential development.

04.030.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) Shoreline development standards should ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions, taking into account the environmental limitations and sensitivity of the shoreline area, the level of infrastructure and services available, and other comprehensive planning considerations.
- (b) Subdivisions and recreational developments should provide public or community access.
- (c) Access, utilities, and public services should be available and adequate to serve existing needs and those planned for future development.
- (d) Commercial development, including commercial recreation and agri-tourism, should be consistent with underlying rural zoning and limited to water-oriented uses within shoreline jurisdiction.

04.040 Rural

04.040.01 *Purpose:*

The purpose of assigning an area to a Rural environment designation is to promote agricultural use and activities, including associated irrigation and support facilities, and accommodate low-density rural home sites, function as a separation between urban areas, and maintain an open space character and provide opportunities for recreational uses compatible with agricultural activities.

04.040.02 *Designation Criteria:*

Assign a Rural environment designation to those areas characterized by:

- (a) agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance and low-density rural home sites;
- (b) commercial agricultural potential; or
- (c) parallel roads, railroads, canals, levees or other alterations in shoreline jurisdiction that limit shoreline ecological functions.

04.040.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) Promote agricultural activities on agricultural lands.
- (b) Allow new agricultural activities and expansions of current agricultural activities on previously un-farmed lands consistent with this SMP.
- (c) Non-agricultural uses should be limited to those compatible with agriculture. Shoreline development within or adjacent to designated agricultural resource lands should incorporate measures to reduce compatibility impacts, such as open space landscaped separations or other measures to address impacts to agricultural operations.
- (d) Development standards should seek to conserve soils and water resources suitable for agricultural purposes.
- (e) Activities and uses should be designed for compatibility with the rural character, including the overall density pattern.

04.050 Hanford

04.050.01 *Purpose:*

The purpose of the Hanford environment is to recognize and foster the unique economic, environmental, and recreational values of the area as it transitions over time from federal energy purposes to other land uses and management consistent with the Hanford Reach National Monument designation.

04.050.02 *Designation Criteria:*

Assign a "Hanford" environment designation to shoreline areas located in the U.S. Department of Energy's Hanford site.

04.050.03 *Management Policies:*

To the extent that this SMP is applicable to federal lands, the following policies should guide uses in shoreline jurisdiction:

- (a) Predominant shoreline uses should include preservation of cultural, ecological and natural resources with limited public access where appropriate.
- (b) High intensity uses in shoreline jurisdiction should be limited to heavy and light industry, energy generation and transmission, research and development, and environmental cleanup.
- (c) High-intensity and low-intensity public access and recreation should be accommodated where consistent with local environmental conditions, and safety and security concerns.
- (d) Uses and activities should be consistent with the Benton County Comprehensive Land Use Plan and Benton County zoning regulations.

04.060. Conservancy**04.060.01 *Purpose:***

The purpose of the Conservancy environment is to:

- (a) protect ecological functions of open space, floodplain and other sensitive public or protected lands and conserve existing natural resources and valuable historic and cultural areas while allowing a variety of compatible uses; and
- (b) Ensure appropriate management and development of existing and future public parks and recreation areas.

04.060.02 *Designation Criteria:*

Assign a Conservancy environment designation if any of the following characteristics apply:

- (a) They are within existing or planned public parks or public lands intended to accommodate public access and recreational developments;
- (b) They are suitable for water-related or water-enjoyment uses;
- (c) They are open space, floodplain or other sensitive areas that should not be more intensively developed;
- (d) They have potential for ecological restoration;
- (e) They retain important ecological functions, even though partially developed; or
- (f) They have the potential for development that is compatible with ecological restoration.

04.060.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) Uses in the Conservancy environment should be limited to those which sustain the shoreline area's physical and biological resources and uses of a non-permanent nature that do not substantially degrade ecological functions or the rural or natural character of the shoreline area.
- (b) Except in support of agriculture, aquaculture, and recreation uses, commercial and industrial uses should not be allowed.
- (c) Water-oriented uses should be given priority over Non water-oriented uses. Water-dependent and water- enjoyment recreation facilities and uses that do not deplete the resource over time, such as boating facilities, fishing, hunting, wildlife viewing trails, swimming beaches, and scientific, historical, cultural, and educational research uses, are preferred, provided adverse impacts to the shoreline are mitigated.
- (d) Shoreline development standards should ensure that new development does not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions or further degrade other shoreline values.
- (e) Existing uses and development, including roadways and railroads, may be maintained and expanded consistent with provisions of this SMP.

- (f) Public access and public recreation objectives on public lands should be implemented when appropriate and when adverse ecological impacts can be mitigated.
- (g) Construction of new structural shoreline stabilization and flood control works should only be allowed where there is a documented need to protect an existing structure or ecological functions, and only when mitigation is applied.

04.070. Natural

04.070.01 *Purpose:*

The purpose of the Natural environment is to protect those public shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions intolerant of human use. These systems require that only very low-intensity uses be allowed in order to maintain the ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes.

04.070.02 *Designation Criteria:*

A Natural environment designation should be assigned to shoreline areas if any of the following characteristics apply:

- (a) The shoreline is ecologically intact and therefore currently performing an important, irreplaceable function or ecosystem-wide process;
- (b) The shoreline is considered to represent ecosystems and geologic types that are of particular scientific and educational interest; or
- (c) The shoreline is a publicly managed portion of the Umatilla National Wildlife Refuge

04.070.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) Any use that would substantially degrade the ecological functions or natural character of the shoreline area should not be allowed.
- (b) The following new uses should not be allowed in the Natural environment:
 - (1) Commercial uses.
 - (2) Industrial uses.
 - (3) Non water-oriented recreation with no relationship to the shoreline and waterbody.
 - (4) Roads, utility corridors, and parking areas that can be located outside of "Natural" designated shorelines.
- (c) Single-family residential development may be allowed as a conditional use within the Natural environment if the density and intensity of such use is limited as necessary to protect ecological functions and be consistent with the purpose of the environment.
- (d) Irrigation withdrawals and other agricultural uses of a very low-intensity nature may be consistent with the Natural environment when such use is subject to appropriate limitations or conditions to assure that the use does not expand or alter practices in a manner inconsistent with the purpose of the designation.
- (e) Scientific, historical, cultural, educational research uses, and low-intensity water-oriented recreational access uses, including non-motorized trails, may be allowed provided that no significant ecological impact on the area will result.
- (f) New development or significant vegetation removal that would reduce the capability of vegetation to perform normal ecological functions should not be allowed. Do not allow the subdivision of property in a configuration that, to achieve its intended purpose, will require significant vegetation removal or shoreline modification that adversely impacts ecological functions. That is, each new parcel must be able to support its intended development without significant ecological impacts to the shoreline ecological functions.
- (g) Consistent with the policies of the designation, the County should include planning for restoration of degraded shorelines within this environment.

04.080 Aquatic**04.080.01** *Purpose:*

The purpose of the Aquatic environment is to protect, restore, and manage the unique characteristics and resources of the areas waterward of the ordinary high-water mark.

04.080.02 *Designation Criteria:*

Assign an Aquatic environment designation to lands waterward of the ordinary high-water mark.

04.080.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) Allow new over-water structures only for water-dependent uses, including docks associated with single-family residences; public access; or ecological restoration.
- (b) The size of new over-water structures should be limited to the minimum necessary to support the structure's intended use.
- (c) In order to reduce the impacts of shoreline development and increase effective use of water resources, multiple use of over-water facilities should be encouraged.
- (d) All developments and uses on navigable waters or their beds should be located and designed to minimize interference with surface navigation, to consider impacts to public views, and to allow for the safe, unobstructed passage of fish and wildlife, particularly those species dependent on migration.
- (e) Shoreline uses and modifications should be designed and managed to prevent adverse impacts to ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes, including degradation of water quality and alteration of natural hydrographic conditions. Adverse impacts should not be allowed except where necessary to achieve the objectives of the Shoreline Management Act, and then only when mitigated as necessary to assure no net loss of ecological functions.

04.090 Environment Designation Interpretation

- (a) If disagreement develops as to the exact location of an environment designation boundary line, the Official Shoreline Maps shall prevail consistent with the following rules:
 - (1) Boundaries indicated as approximately following lot, tract, or section lines shall be so construed.
 - (2) In cases where boundary line adjustments or subdivisions occur, the designation applied to the parent parcel prior to the boundary line adjustment or subdivision shall not change as a result. The shoreline designation can be re-designated through an SMP amendment.
 - (3) Boundaries indicated as approximately following roads and railroads shall be respectively construed to follow the nearest right-of-way edge.
 - (4) Boundaries indicated as approximately parallel to or extensions of features indicated in (1), (2), or (3) above shall be so construed.
- (b) In the event of an environment designation mapping error where the SMP update or amendment record, including the public hearing process, is clear in term of the correct environment designation to apply to a property, the Shoreline Administrator shall apply the environment designation approved through the SMP Update or Amendment process and correct the map. Appeals of such interpretations may be filed pursuant to Section 15.09 and the County's appeal procedures referenced in Section 15.09 of this SMP. If the environment designation criteria were misapplied, but the map does not show an unintentional error (e.g. the SMP hearing and adoption record does not indicate another designation was intended), a SMP amendment may be obtained consistent with WAC 173-26-100 and Section 15.09.130.
- (c) All shoreline areas waterward of the OHWM shall be designated Aquatic.
- (d) Upland environment designations shall apply to shorelands.
- (e) Only one environment designation shall apply to a given shoreland area. In the case of parallel designations, designations shall be divided along an identified linear feature and the boundary shall be

clearly noted on the map (for example: “boundary is 100 feet upland from the OHWM”).

04.100 Official Shoreline Maps and Unmapped or Undesignated Shorelines

- (a) The Official Shoreline Maps at the time of SMP adoption, which illustrate the delineation of shoreline jurisdiction and environment designations in the County and UGAs, are available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System. The official map shall include the following language: "We hereby certify that this map constitutes the Official Shoreline Map as approved by Ordinance 2014-440 of the Board of County Commissioners and signed by its chairman dated this 3rd day of June 2014." The Official Shoreline Maps may be updated administratively or through an SMP amendment as indicated in 04.100(b), (c) and (d) below. The Department of Ecology will be provided with electronic files of the Official Shoreline Maps when any updates are made. Minor mapping errors corrected administratively shall not be greater than 1.0 acre in size. If greater than 1.0 acre in size, a SMP amendment shall be completed within three years of finding the mapping error.
- (b) Any areas within shoreline jurisdiction that are not mapped and/or designated due to minor mapping inaccuracies in the lateral extent of shoreline jurisdiction from the shoreline waterbody related to site-specific surveys of OHWM, floodway, and/or floodplain are automatically assigned the category of the contiguous waterward shoreline environment designation. Where the mapping inaccuracy results in inclusion of an unmapped associated wetland, that wetland shall be assigned a Conservancy designation. Correction of these minor mapping inaccuracies may be made and incorporated into the Official Shoreline Maps without an SMP amendment.
- (c) All other areas of shoreline jurisdiction that were neither mapped as jurisdiction nor assigned an environment designation shall be assigned a Conservancy designation until the shoreline can be re-designated through an SMP amendment process conducted consistent with WAC 173-26-100 and SMP Section 15.09.130.
- (d) The actual location of the OHWM, floodplain, floodway, and wetland boundaries must be determined at the time a development is proposed. Wetland boundary and OHWM determinations are valid for five years from the date the determination is made. Floodplain and floodway boundaries should be assessed using FEMA maps or the most current technical information available.
- (e) In addition, any property shown in shoreline jurisdiction that does not meet the criteria for shoreline jurisdiction (e.g., is more than 200 feet from the OHWM or floodway, is no longer in floodplain as documented by a Letter of Map Revision from FEMA, and does not contain associated wetlands) shall not be subject to the requirements of this SMP. Revisions to the Official Shoreline Maps may be made as outlined in this Section 15.04.100(e) without an SMP amendment.

04.110 Use and Modifications Matrix

- (a) Table 04.110-1 indicates which shoreline activities, uses, developments, and modifications may be allowed or are prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction within each shoreline environment designation. Activities, uses, developments, and modifications are classified as follows:
 - (1) Uses allowed by Shoreline Substantial Development Permit are indicated by an “S” on the use matrix.
 - (2) Uses allowed by Shoreline Conditional Use Permit are indicated by a “C” on the use matrix.
 - (3) Prohibited activities, uses, developments, and modifications are not allowed and are shown as an “X” on the use matrix.
 - (4) Uses or activities not applicable to the shoreline environment designation in question are shown as “N/A” on the matrix.
 - (5) Uses in the Urban Transition Area shall be allowed subject to the most restrictive of the City or

County Shoreline Master Program use allowances.

Table 04.110-1 Use and Modification Matrix

Shoreline Use or Modification	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
----- Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable								
Agriculture								
Agricultural Activities, Existing and New	S	S	S	S	S	C	X	N/A
Commercial Dairying, Poultry Raising, Commercial Hog Ranches, Animal Feedlots and Stockyards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	N/A
Agricultural Stands	S	S	S	S	X	X	X	N/A
Agricultural Related Industries	C	S	S	X	X	X	X	N/A
Agri-tourism	C	S	S	X	X	X	X	N/A
Aquaculture								
Commercial	X	C	C	X	X	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Non-commercial	S	C	S	X	C	C	S	see adjacent upland environment
Boating and Private Moorage Facilities								
Boat Launches								
Pier/Dock								

Shoreline Use or Modification	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
----- Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable								
Public	S	X	S	C	C	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Commercial/Industrial	C	S	C	X	C	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Other private	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Shoreline Use or Modification	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
----- Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable								
All other purposes	C	C	C	C	X	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Residential, including community	S	S	S	S	S	S	X	see adjacent upland environment
Commercial, industrial, aquaculture, recreational or public access use	S	S	S	C	C	C	S	see adjacent upland environment
Marinas	C	C	C	X	X	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Breakwaters, Jetties, and Groins								
To protect or restore ecological functions	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
To maintain existing water-dependent uses	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	see adjacent upland environment
Commercial and Service Uses								
Visitor-serving uses	S	S	S	S	X	X	X	C
Recreation concessions	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

Other retail, trade or service	C	C	C	C	X	X	X	C
Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal								
Dredging for water-dependent use and public access	S	S	S	S	C	C	C	see adjacent upland environment
Dredging for existing navigation uses	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	S
Dredging or disposal of dredged material for habitat restoration	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Dredging, other	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	C
Disposal of dredged material inside CMZ	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
Disposal of dredged material outside CMZ	S	S	C	C	X	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Implementation of dredging maintenance plan	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Fill								
Waterward of the OHWM - restoration	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	S
Shoreline Use or Modification	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
----- Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable								
Waterward of the OHWM	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	C
Upland of the OHWM	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	N/A
Flood Hazard Reduction Measures								
Modification of Existing Flood Hazard Facilities (including relocation farther landward)	S	S	S	S	C	C	C	N/A
New Facilities	S	S	C	C	C	C	C	N/A
Forest Practices								
Forest Practices	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	N/A
Industry / Manufacturing / Storage								
Water-Oriented	S	S	S	X	X	X	S	C
Non-Water-Oriented								
General	X	C	X	X	X	X	C	X
Separated from Shoreline ¹	S	S	S	X	X	X	S	N/A
Mixed-use project that includes a Water-Dependent Use	S	S	S	X	X	X	S	C
In-Stream Structures								

BENTON COUNTY SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM

To protect public facilities	S	S	S	S	C	C	S	see adjacent upland environment
To protect, restore, or monitor ecological functions or processes	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
To support agriculture	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	see adjacent upland environment
Other	S	S	S	S	C	C	N/A	see adjacent upland environment

Mining

Mining that creates, restores or enhances habitat for priority species	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Other mining and on-site processing	C	S	C	X	X	X	C	C
All mining in channel migration zone	C	C	C	X	X	X	C	C

Recreational Development

Shoreline Use or Modification	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
----- Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable								
Water-Oriented	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Non-Water-Oriented								
General	C	C	C	C	C	X	C	X
Sites separated from shoreline	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	N/A

Residential Development

Single-Family Dwelling	S	X	S	S	S	C	X	N/A
Accessory Dwelling Unit	S	X	S	S	C	X	X	N/A
Duplex	S	X	S	S	X	X	X	N/A
Houseboats and Over-Water Residential Uses	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	X

Shoreline Habitat and Natural Systems Enhancement Projects

Shoreline Habitat and Natural Systems Enhancement Projects	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Shoreline Stabilization

New Hard Stabilization	S	S	S	S	C	X	S	see adjacent upland environment
New Soft Stabilization	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	see adjacent upland environment

February 16, 2015 _____

Repair and Replacement	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	see adjacent upland environment
Transportation and Parking								
Access Roads Serving Permitted Uses	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	N/A
Highways, Freeways, Arterials & Collectors	S	S	S	S	C	C	S	N/A
Bridges	S	S	S	S	C	C	S	C
Railways	S	S	S	S	C	C	S	C
Airstrips	S	S	C	X	X	X	S	N/A
Trails	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	N/A
Parking for Authorized Use	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	N/A
Park and Ride lots and Similar Stand-Alone Parking	C	C	C	X	X	X	C	N/A
Utilities								
Utility Services Accessory to Individual Shoreline Projects	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	C
Utility Services to Projects outside Shoreline Jurisdiction	S	S	S	C	C	X	S	C
Power Generating Facilities	S	S	C	X	C	X	C	C
Shoreline Use or Modification ----- Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
Wind Turbine and Related Support Structures (Zoning Code)	S	S	C	C	C	X	C	C
Utility Transmission Lines	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	C
Utility Services, General	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	C
Wastewater Treatment Facility	S	S	C	X	C	X	C	C

¹ Applies when a proposed development is physically separated from the shoreline by another property or public right of way.

04.120 Developments Not Required to Obtain Shoreline Permits or Local Reviews

- (a) Requirements to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other review to implement the Shoreline Management Act do not apply to the following:
- Remedial actions. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person conducting a remedial action at a facility pursuant to a consent decree, order, or agreed order issued pursuant to chapter 70.105D RCW, or to the department of ecology when it conducts a remedial action under chapter 70.105D RCW.
 - Boatyard improvements to meet NPDES permit requirements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person installing site improvements for storm water treatment in an existing boatyard facility to meet requirements of a national pollutant discharge elimination system storm water general permit.
 - WSDOT facility maintenance and safety improvements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.356, Washington State Department of Transportation projects and activities meeting the conditions of RCW 90.58.356 are not

[required to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other local review.](#)

(4) [Projects consistent with an environmental excellence program agreement pursuant to RCW 90.58.045.](#)

(5) [Projects authorized through the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council process, pursuant to chapter 80.50 RCW.](#)

04.130 Development Standards

- (a) There shall be a thirty-five (35) foot maximum building height for all structures, except that utility facilities and bridges are not required to meet this standard. To exceed 35 feet, an applicant must apply for a Shoreline Variance, and comply with the following criteria in addition to standard Shoreline Variance criteria:
 - (1) Demonstrate overriding considerations of the public interest will be served, and
 - (2) Demonstrate that the proposal will not obstruct the view of a substantial number of residences on areas adjoining such shorelines or impair views from public lands or impair scenic vistas.
- (b) Minimum shoreline lot frontage shall be consistent with underlying zoning and be no less in width than the following by shoreline environment:
 - (1) Urban Transition Area: 70 feet
 - (2) Rural Industrial: 70 feet
 - (3) Rural: 90 feet
 - (4) Residential: 70 feet
 - (5) Conservancy: 90 feet
 - (6) Natural: 90 feet
 - (7) Hanford: 90 feet
- (c) Shoreline buffers: See Section 15.06.030(a).
- (d) Minimum structure setbacks from side property lines in shoreline jurisdiction shall be consistent with the underlying zoning and no less than 5 feet.

Section 15.05 General Regulations and Performance Standards

05.010 Archaeological and Historic Resources

- (a) The County shall require development applicants to consult with DAHP to access data so that every proposal can be screened, and archaeological sites are not disturbed. Review of data and other consultation may occur directly with DAHP or through a professional archaeologist recognized by the State of Washington. Permits issued in areas documented to contain archaeological resources require a site inspection or evaluation by a professional archaeologist. Auger tests may be required before construction and representatives of the DAHP and affected tribes may be invited to observe any tests and construction work, or the County may send results of the test to affected tribes. If auger or historical data indicate probable presence of cultural resources which may be disturbed by excavation, the County shall inform the shoreline permit applicant and may impose conditions on any shoreline permit to assure that such resources are protected, preserved or collected.
- (b) Developers and property owners shall immediately stop work and notify the County, DAHP, and affected tribes if archaeological resources are uncovered during excavation. Following such notification, the County shall require a developer or property owner follow the provisions of Subsection (c).
- (c) Where a professional archaeologist or historian, recognized by the State of Washington, has identified an area or site as having significant value, or where an area or site is listed in, or determined eligible for listing in, national, state or local historical registers, or where through the development application state data has identified the potential for cultural resources, the County shall, with additional DAHP

consultation, require a development application to provide an evaluation of the resource, and appropriate conditions, which may include preservation and/or retrieval of data, proposal modifications to reduce impacts, or other mitigation authorized through the State Environmental Policy Act, or other local, state, or federal laws.

- (d) Applicants shall be required to follow applicable provisions of federal and state laws, including but not limited to: Chapter 27.44 RCW Indian Graves and Records and Chapter 27.53 RCW Archaeological Sites and Resources.

05.020 Environmental Protection

- (a) Ecological Functions. Uses and developments on Benton County shorelines must be designed, located, sized, constructed and maintained to achieve no net loss of shoreline ecological functions necessary to sustain shoreline natural resources. New uses and developments must not have an unmitigated adverse impact on other shoreline functions fostered by this SMP.
- (b) Protection of Critical Areas and Buffers. Critical areas, critical areas buffers, and shoreline buffers must be protected in accordance with the provisions of SMP Section 15.06, Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction.
- (c) Mitigation Requirement. If a proposed shoreline use or development is entirely addressed by specific, objective standards (such as setback distances, pier dimensions, or materials requirements) contained in this SMP, then the mitigation sequencing analysis described in Section 15.05.020(d) is not required. In the following circumstances, the applicant must provide a mitigation sequencing analysis as described in Section 15.05.020(d):
 - (1) if a proposed shoreline use or development is addressed in any part by discretionary standards (such as standards requiring a particular action "if feasible" or requiring the minimization of development size) contained in this Chapter, then the mitigation sequencing analysis is required for the discretionary standard(s); or
 - (2) when an action requires a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit or Shoreline Variance Permit; or
 - (3) when specifically required by regulations contained in Sections 15.05, 15.06, 15.07 or 15.08 of this SMP.
- (d) Mitigation Sequence. In order to ensure that development activities contribute to meeting the no net loss provisions by avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating for adverse impacts to ecological functions or ecosystem-wide processes, an applicant required to complete a mitigation analysis pursuant to Section 15.05.020(c) must describe how the proposal will follow the sequence of mitigation as defined below:
 - (1) Avoid the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
 - (2) Minimize the impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation by using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;
 - (3) Rectify the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment to the conditions existing at the time of the initiation of the project or activity;
 - (4) Reduce or eliminate the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action;
 - (5) Compensate for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources or environments; and
 - (6) Monitor the impact and the compensation projects and take appropriate corrective measures.
- (e) Adverse Impacts. Example of common actions that may result in adverse ecological impacts include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (1) Removal of native plant communities in shoreline jurisdiction,
 - (2) Removal of native or non-native trees that overhang the water,
 - (3) Removal of native or non-native vegetation on slopes if that vegetation supports maintenance of

- slope stability and prevents surface erosion,
 - (4) Removal or alteration of priority habitats or habitat for priority species,
 - (5) Construction of new or expanded in- and over-water structures,
 - (6) Construction of new or expanded shoreline stabilizations,
 - (7) New discharges of water into the Yakima or Columbia Rivers that may introduce pollutants,
 - (8) Construction of new impervious surfaces whose discharges are not infiltrated and thus may alter hydrologic conditions of shoreline waterbodies,
 - (9) Changes in grading or fill that reduce floodplain capacity.
- (f) Mitigation Plan. All proposed alterations to shoreline jurisdiction that may have adverse effects on ecological functions require mitigation sufficient to provide for and maintain the functions and values of the shoreline area or to prevent risk from a critical areas hazard. The applicant must develop and implement a mitigation plan prepared by a qualified professional. Mitigation in excess of that necessary to ensure that development will result in no net loss of ecological functions will not be required by Benton County, but may be voluntarily performed by an applicant. In addition to any requirements found in Section 15.07, Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction, a mitigation plan must include:
- (1) An inventory and assessment of the existing shoreline environment including relevant physical, chemical and biological elements;
 - (2) A discussion of any federal, state, or local management recommendations which have been developed for critical areas or other species or habitats located on the site;
 - (3) A discussion of proposed measures which mitigate the adverse impacts of the project to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions;
 - (4) A discussion of proposed management practices which will protect fish and wildlife habitat both during construction, and after the project site has been fully developed;
 - (5) Scaled drawings of existing and proposed conditions, materials specifications, and a minimum three-year maintenance and monitoring plan, including performance standards;
 - (6) A contingency plan if mitigation fails to meet established success criteria; and
 - (7) Any additional information necessary to determine the adverse impacts of a proposal and mitigation of the impacts.
- (g) Alternative Mitigation. When compensatory measures are appropriate pursuant to the mitigation priority sequence above, preferential consideration shall be given to measures that replace the impacted functions on site and in kind. To provide for flexibility in the administration of the ecological protection provisions of this SMP, alternative mitigation approaches may be approved within shoreline jurisdiction where such approaches provide increased protection of shoreline ecological functions and processes over the standard provisions of this SMP and are scientifically supported, or are consistent with the Shoreline Restoration Plan or watershed-level management plans. Potential alternative mitigation tools include in-lieu-fee, advance mitigation, and mitigation banking. Authorization of alternative compensatory mitigation measures may require appropriate safeguards, terms or conditions as necessary to ensure no net loss of ecological functions and may require approval by other state or federal agencies.

05.030 Shoreline Vegetation Conservation

- (a) Vegetation conservation standards do not apply retroactively to existing legally established uses and developments. Vegetation associated with existing structures, uses and developments may be maintained within shoreline jurisdiction.
- (b) Vegetation within shoreline buffers, other stream buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers, WDFW-mapped priority habitats and species areas, and other critical areas must be managed consistent with Section

15.06, Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction. Regulations specifying establishment and management of shoreline buffers (buffers associated with the Yakima and Columbia Rivers) are located in Section 15.06.030, Rivers and Creeks.

- (c) Other vegetation within shoreline jurisdiction, but outside of shoreline buffers, creek buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers, and other WDFW-mapped priority habitats and species areas must be managed according to Section 15.05.020, Environmental Protection, and any other regulations specific to vegetation management contained in this SMP and Benton County Code.
- (d) Vegetation clearing must be limited to the minimum necessary to accommodate approved shoreline development that is consistent with all other provisions of this SMP and Benton County Code. Mitigation sequencing per Section 15.05.020(d), Environmental Protection, must be applied unless specifically excluded by this SMP, so that the design and location of the structure or development, including septic drainfields, minimizes short- and long-term vegetation removal. The County may approve modifications or require minor site plan alterations to achieve maximum tree retention.
- (e) Where vegetation removal conducted consistent with this Section results in adverse impacts to shoreline ecological function, new developments or site alterations are required to develop and implement a supplemental mitigation plan. Examples of actions that may result in adverse impacts include:
 - (1) Removal of native trees, shrubs or groundcovers;
 - (2) Removal of non-native trees or shrubs that overhang aquatic areas or stabilize slopes; or
 - (3) Removal of native or non-native trees or shrubs that disrupts an existing vegetation corridor connecting the property to other critical areas or buffers.

Mitigation plans must be prepared by a qualified professional and must contain information required in Section 15.05.020(e). Performance standards shall require 100 percent survival in Year 1, with 100 percent tree survival and 80 percent shrub and groundcover survival at the end of the monitoring period. Mitigation measures must be maintained over the life of the use or development.

- (f) Shoreline vegetation may be removed to accommodate a temporary staging area when necessary to implement an allowed use or modification, but mitigation sequencing must be utilized and the area must be immediately stabilized and restored with native vegetation once its use as a staging area is complete.
- (g) Native tree removal in shoreline jurisdiction must be mitigated by installation of a similar native tree at a 1:1 impact to mitigation ratio. Non-native tree removal in shoreline buffers must be mitigated by installation of a native or suitable non-native tree at a 1:1 impact to mitigation ratio. All mitigation trees shall be preferentially placed in the shoreline buffer, unless the trees provide connectivity to upland habitats or other critical areas and shall be held to a 100% survival standard at the end of three years.
- (h) Where a tree poses a safety hazard, it may be removed or converted to a wildlife snag if the hazard cannot be eliminated by pruning, crown thinning, or other technique that maintains some habitat function. If a safety hazard cannot be easily determined by the County, a written report by a certified arborist or other qualified professional is required to evaluate potential safety hazards.
- (i) Selective pruning of trees for views is allowed. Selective pruning of trees for views does not include removal of understory vegetation and must not compromise the health of the tree.
- (j) Hand removal or spot-spraying of invasive species (such as Russian olive) or noxious weeds included on the Washington State Noxious Weed List as a Class A, B or C weed on shorelands outside of steep or unstable slope areas is encouraged. [Replant treated area with native riparian vegetation.](#)
- (k) Mechanical removal or large-scale chemical treatment of invasive species.
 - (1) Mechanical removal or large-scale chemical treatment of invasive species (such as Russian olive) or noxious weeds included on the Washington State Noxious Weed List as a Class A, B or C weed on shorelands outside of steep or unstable slope areas is encouraged.
 - (2) Coordination with Benton Conservation District is encouraged prior to undertaking invasive or

- noxious weed removal projects to ensure that the control and disposal technique is appropriate.
- (3) Where noxious weeds and invasive species removal results in bare soils that may be subject to erosion or recolonization by invasive or noxious species, the area must be stabilized using best management practices and replanted with native plants (in or outside of shoreline or critical area buffers) or suitable non-native plants (outside of shoreline or critical area buffers). The replanted vegetation must be similar in size and structure at maturity to the removed vegetation.
 - (4) Invasive species removal efforts that exceed one-quarter acre should be phased if feasible to minimize potential erosion and sedimentation impacts.
- (l) Aquatic weed control must only be permitted where the presence of aquatic weeds will adversely affect native plant communities, fish and wildlife habitats, or an existing water-dependent recreational use. Aquatic weed control efforts must comply with all applicable laws and standards.

05.040 Water Quality, Stormwater, and Nonpoint Pollution

- (a) Do not degrade ecological functions. Design, construction and operation of shoreline uses and developments shall incorporate measures to protect and maintain surface and groundwater quantity and quality in accordance with all applicable laws, so that there is no net loss of ecological functions.
- (b) Do not degrade views and recreation opportunities. Design, construction and operation of shoreline uses and developments shall incorporate measures to protect and maintain surface and groundwater quantity and quality in accordance with all applicable laws, so that significant impacts to aesthetic qualities or recreational opportunities do not occur. A significant impact to aesthetics or recreation would occur if a stormwater facility and appurtenant structures such as fences or other features have the potential to block or impair a view of shoreline waters from public land or from a substantial number of residences per RCW 90.58.320, or if water quality were visibly degraded such that the color and character were unattractive and discouraged normal uses such as swimming, fishing, boating, or viewing.
- (c) Requirements for new development.
 - (1) New development and re-development shall manage short-term and long-term stormwater runoff to avoid and minimize potential adverse effects on shoreline ecological functions through compliance with the latest edition of the Benton County Hydrology Manual or approved equivalent. If certain thresholds are not met by a development that trigger compliance with the Benton County Hydrology Manual or approved equivalent, best management practices (BMPs) must still be employed to avoid and minimize potential adverse effects.
 - (2) When the Benton County Hydrology Manual applies, deviations from the standards may be approved where it can be demonstrated that off-site facilities would provide better treatment, or where common retention, detention and/or water quality facilities meeting such standards have been approved as part of a comprehensive stormwater management plan.
- (d) Sewage management. To avoid water quality degradation, sewer service is subject to the requirements outlined below.
 - (1) Any existing septic system or other on-site system that fails or malfunctions will be required to connect to an existing municipal sewer service system if feasible or make system corrections approved by Benton-Franklin Health District.
 - (2) Any new development, business, single-family or multi-family unit in an Urban Growth Area will be required to connect to an existing municipal sewer service system if feasible, or install an on-site septic system approved by Benton-Franklin Health District.
- (e) Materials requirements. All materials that may come in contact with water shall be untreated or approved treated wood, concrete, approved plastic composites or steel, that will not adversely affect water quality or aquatic plants or animals.

05.050 Public Access

- (a) Efforts to implement the public access provisions of this Section shall be consistent with all relevant constitutional and other legal limitations on regulation of private property and the principles of nexus and proportionality.
- (b) Public access does not include the right to enter upon or cross private property, except on dedicated public rights-of-way or easements or where development is specifically designed to accommodate public access.
- (c) The County adopts the following policies and plans as collectively constituting a shoreline public access plan for Benton County shorelines:
 - (1) Benton County Comprehensive Plan Parks and Recreation Element
 - (2) Benton County Comprehensive Parks Plan
 - (3) Hanford Site Comprehensive Land Use Plan Map
- (d) The Shoreline Administrator may approve a public access plan not otherwise listed in Subsection (c) if it:
 - (1) Meets the requirements of WAC 173-26-221(4); and
 - (2) Is developed through an open public process as provided in WAC 173-26-201(3)(b)(i).
- (e) Shoreline development shall not interfere with public access and enjoyment of any nearby publicly owned land areas.
- (f) The County shall not vacate any road, street, or alley abutting a body of water except as provided under RCW 36.87.130 County Roads.
- (g) Shoreline public access shall be required for the following shoreline uses and activities, unless excepted by Subsection (h):
 - (1) Shoreline recreation pursuant to Section 15.07.110;
 - (2) New structural public flood hazard reduction measures, such as dikes and levees;
 - (3) Shoreline development proposed or financed by public entities, including local governments, port districts, state agencies, and public utility districts;
 - (4) New marinas when water-enjoyment uses are associated with the marina;
 - (5) Where commercial use is proposed on land in public ownership;
 - (6) Where the nature of the proposed use, activity or development will likely generate a public demand for one or more forms of physical or visual access to the shoreline;
 - (7) When the proposed use, activity or development is not a water-oriented or other preferred shoreline use, activity or development under the SMA, such as a Non water-oriented commercial or industrial use; or
 - (8) When the proposed use, activity or development will interfere with the public use, activity and enjoyment of shoreline areas or waterbodies subject to the public trust doctrine (see Section 15.02).
- (h) Notwithstanding the applicability of Subsection (g), an applicant shall not be required to provide public access where the County determines that one or more of the following conditions apply:
 - (1) Reasonable, safe and convenient public access to the shoreline is accessible within one-quarter mile (1,320 feet) of the site;
 - (2) The County's shoreline public access plan defined in Subsection (c) does not indicate a need for public access at the property;
 - (3) The site is within or part of an overall development which has previously provided public access through other application processes;
 - (4) The economic cost of providing for public access upon the site is unreasonably disproportionate to the total long-term economic value of the proposed use, activity or development;

- (5) The proposed use, activity or development only involves the construction of four or fewer single-family or multifamily dwellings;
 - (6) The proposed use, activity or development only involves agricultural activities;
 - (7) The proposal consists of a new or expanded road or utility crossing through shoreline jurisdiction serving development located outside of shoreline jurisdiction;
 - (8) The nature of the use, activity or development or the characteristics of the site make public access requirements inappropriate due to health, safety or environmental hazards based on evidence provided in the proposed application;
 - (9) The proposed use, activity or development has security requirements that are not feasible to address through the application of alternative design features or other measures;
 - (10) Significant and unmitigable harm to the shoreline environment would be likely to result from an increase, expansion or extension of public access upon the site; or
 - (11) Public access is deemed detrimental to threatened and/or endangered species under the Endangered Species Act.
- (i) Public Access Standards. When public access is provided, the following standards shall apply.
- (1) Physical public access is preferred to solely visual access. Where physical public access is not feasible, the applicant shall incorporate visual public access. Visual public access may consist of view corridors, viewpoints, or other means of visual approach to public waters. Physical public access may consist of a dedication of land or easement and a physical improvement in the form of a trail, park, or other area serving as a means of physical approach to public waters.
 - (2) Physical public access shall be designed to connect to existing or future public access features on adjacent or abutting properties or shall connect to existing public rights-of-way or access easements, consistent with design and safety standards.
 - (3) Public access proposals shall be designed consistent with parks and recreation standards or plans contained in applicable County, State, or Federal codes or approved plans.
- (j) Shared community access may be allowed if there is no existing or planned public access along the shoreline as determined by a review of adopted parks and recreation plans. Where provided, community access is subject to all applicable development standards of this Section. Preference shall be given for consolidated community access over individual lot by lot access in new multi-lot or multi-unit development.
- (k) Where public access is required pursuant to Subsection (g) and not exempt through Subsection (h), an applicant may request that the public access requirement be fulfilled through developing public access on another site – otherwise called off-site public access or by payment of a fee in lieu.
- (1) Off-site public access, either physical or visual, may be permitted by the County where it results in an equal or greater public benefit than on-site public access, or when on-site limitations of security, environment, or feasibility are present. Off-site public access is preferred where it implements adopted County shoreline public access plans defined in Subsection (c). Off-site public access may include, but is not limited to, enhancing a nearby public property (e.g. existing public recreation site; existing public access; road, street or alley abutting a body of water; or similar) in accordance with County standards; providing, improving or enhancing public access on another property under the control of the applicant/proponent; or another equivalent measure.
 - (2) Instead of on-site or off-site public access improvements, the County may require, or an applicant may propose a fee-in-lieu. A fee-in-lieu may be assessed where the off-site improvement is best accomplished by the County or another agency at a later date or better implements the County Public Access Plans listed in Section 15.05.050. The cost of providing the off-site public access shall be proportionate to the total long-term cost of the proposed development or use. The fee-in-lieu

agreements, conditions of approval, or mitigation measures shall address the responsibility and cost for operation and maintenance.

- (l) The County may condition public access proposals to ensure compatibility with existing public access or transportation facilities, address environmental conditions or environmental impacts, and/or address compatibility with adjacent properties. Public access facilities shall be made compatible with adjacent private properties through the use of techniques to define the separation between public and private space, including but not limited to, fencing, vegetation, and elevation separations.

05.060 Flood Hazard Reduction

- (a) Development in floodplains shall avoid significantly or cumulatively increasing flood hazards. Development shall be consistent with this SMP, including Section 15.06.050, as well as applicable guidelines of the Federal Emergency Management Agency and an approved flood hazard management plan.
- (b) The channel migration zone (CMZ) is considered to be that area of a stream channel which may erode as a result of normal and naturally occurring processes and has been mapped consistent with WAC 173-26-221(3)(b). The Channel Migration Zone Maps are available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System. Applicants for shoreline development or modification may submit a site-specific CMZ study if they believe these conditions do not exist on the subject property and the map is in error. The CMZ study must be prepared consistent with WAC 173-26-221(3)(b), and may include, but is not limited to, historic aerial photographs, topographic mapping, flooding records, and field verification. The CMZ study must be prepared by a licensed geologist or engineer with at least five years of applied experience in assessing fluvial geomorphic processes and channel response.
- (c) The following uses and activities may be authorized within the CMZ or floodway, provided they are also consistent with Section 15.06.050:
 - (1) Actions that protect or restore the ecosystem-wide processes or ecological functions or development with a primary purpose of protecting or restoring ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes.
 - (2) New development or redevelopment landward of existing legal structures, such as levees, that prevent active channel movement and flooding.
 - (3) Existing and ongoing agricultural activities provided that no new restrictions to channel movement are proposed.
 - (4) Development of new or expansion or redevelopment of existing bridges, utility lines, public stormwater facilities and outfalls, and other public utility and transportation structures, including trails, where no other feasible (see definition in Section 15.02) alternative exists or the alternative would result in unreasonable and disproportionate costs¹. Where such structures are allowed, mitigation shall address adversely impacted functions and processes in the affected shoreline.
 - (5) New or redeveloped measures to reduce shoreline erosion, provided that it is demonstrated that the erosion rate exceeds that which would normally occur in a natural condition, that the measures do not interfere with fluvial hydrological and geo-morphological processes normally acting in natural conditions, and that the measures include appropriate mitigation of adverse impacts on ecological functions associated with the river or stream.
 - (6) Water-dependent installations which by their very nature must be in the floodway.
 - (7) Modifications or additions to an existing nonagricultural legal use, provided that channel migration is not further limited and that the modified or expanded development includes appropriate protection of ecological functions.

- (8) Repair and maintenance of existing legally established use and developments, provided that channel migration is not further limited, flood hazards to other uses are not increased, and significant adverse ecological impacts are avoided.
- (9) Uses and developments allowed in the floodway under BCC 3.26, provided they are otherwise consistent with all provisions of this SMP.
- (d) Flood hazard reduction measures shall not result in channelization of normal stream flows, interfere with natural hydraulic processes such as channel migration, or undermine existing structures or downstream banks.
- (e) New development in shoreline jurisdiction, including the subdivision of land, shall not be permitted if it is reasonably foreseeable that the development or use would require structural flood hazard reduction measures within the channel migration zone or floodway.
- (f) New public and private structural flood hazard reduction measures:
 - (1) shall not be approved, unless a scientific and engineering analysis demonstrates the following:
 - a. that they are necessary to protect existing development;
 - b. that nonstructural measures, such as buffers and setbacks, land use controls, wetland restoration, dike removal, use or structure removal or relocation, biotechnical measures, and stormwater management programs are not feasible;
 - c. that adverse effects upon adjacent properties will not result relative to increased floodwater depths and velocities during the base flood or other more frequent flood occurrences;
 - d. that the ability of natural drainage ways to adequately drain floodwaters after a flooding event is not impaired;
 - e. that the proposal has been coordinated through the appropriate diking district where applicable, and that potential adverse effects upon other affected diking districts have been documented; and,
 - f. that adverse impacts on ecological functions and priority species and habitats can be successfully mitigated so as to assure no net loss.
 - (2) shall be consistent with an approved comprehensive flood hazard management plan.
 - (3) shall be placed landward of associated wetlands and designated shoreline buffers, except for actions that increase ecological functions, such as wetland restoration, or when no other alternative location to reduce flood hazard to existing development is feasible as determined by the Shoreline Administrator.
- (g) New public structural flood hazard reduction measures, such as levees, shall dedicate and improve public access pathways unless public access improvements would cause unavoidable health or safety hazards to the public, inherent and unavoidable security problems, unacceptable and unmitigable significant adverse ecological impacts, unavoidable conflict with the proposed use, or a cost that is disproportionate and unreasonable to the total long-term cost of the development.

¹ For the purposes of this Section “unreasonable and disproportionate” means that locations outside of the floodway or CMZ would add more than 20% to the total project cost. Other methods to determine unreasonable and disproportionate cost may be used on a case-by-case basis with approval of the Shoreline Administrator. [20% has been used as a threshold by WSDOT and the Federal Department of Justice for ADA standards]

- (h) In those instances where management of vegetation as required by this SMP conflicts with vegetation provisions included in state, federal or other flood hazard agency documents governing County-authorized, legal flood hazard reduction measures, the vegetation requirements of this SMP will not apply. However, the applicant shall submit documentation of these conflicting provisions with any shoreline permit applications and shall comply with all other provisions of this Section and this SMP that are not strictly prohibited by the approving flood hazard agency.
- (i) The removal of gravel or other riverbed material for flood management purposes shall be consistent with Section 15.07.060, Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal, and be allowed only after a biological and geomorphological study shows that extraction has a long-term benefit to flood hazard reduction, does not result in a net loss of ecological functions, and is part of a comprehensive flood management solution.

Section 15.06 Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction

06.010 General

- (a) Applicability. This chapter applies to any real property located within the shoreline jurisdiction of unincorporated Benton County.
- (b) Purpose. The purpose of this chapter is to meet the minimum requirements of the Washington State Growth Management Act, Chapter 36.70A RCW, and the Shoreline Management Act, Chapter 90.58 RCW, by designating the Critical Areas located in unincorporated Benton County and providing, through the use of the best science available, for the protection of the functions and values of those resources from incompatible and injurious use, encouraging the development of strategies to conserve and protect such resources, and preventing cumulative adverse environmental impacts to ground and surface water availability, to water quality, and to wetlands and streams, thereby ensuring the public health, safety, and general welfare while attempting to minimize public expenditures and efforts in response to floods, geological activity, and other natural disasters.
- (c) Identification of Critical Areas - Maps.
 - (1) The Critical Areas Overlay Maps for critical areas are used as a general guide to the location, type and extent of critical areas. If present, whether mapped or not, critical areas are protected under all the provisions of this title and all related titles.
 - (2) The Critical Areas Overlay Maps are available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System. The maps will be amended over time to accurately reflect improvements in the accuracy of the data base.
 - (3) The Critical Areas Overlay Maps are also intended to alert the development community, appraisers, and current and prospective property owners of the potential encounter with natural site constraints due to critical areas, which may limit or cause alterations of development plans.
 - (4) If the SMP Administrator has reason to believe that the property proposed for development contains a critical resource based on other map or data sources or review of aerial photographs, then the SMP Administrator may require that additional information be provided prior to the County's acceptance of a development application as complete and ready for processing under current Benton County Codes.
 - (5) When any other title of the Benton County Code conflicts with this chapter, the more restrictive provision will apply.
- (d) Initial Review. The SMP Administrator shall perform an initial Critical Areas Review of any application for development or use. The initial review shall accomplish the following:
 - (1) identify which critical areas or their buffers are present on the site;
 - (2) determine whether or not the development falls within the potential critical area(s) and potential

- buffer(s);
 - (3) in the case of a wetland, determine if it is subject to review under this chapter and if a delineation and wetland rating are necessary to establish whether a development may affect the wetland or its buffer;
 - (4) determine if the development is likely to have an adverse impact on the functions and values of the critical area(s). Development consisting of new construction or a related activity connected with an existing single-family residence shall not be considered an adverse impact to, or displacement of, the functions and values of a critical area if ground coverage is not increased by more than twenty (20) percent, native vegetation is not altered, and no portion of any new construction is located closer to a critical area than the existing principal structure;
 - (5) refer the applicant to such mitigating measures sufficient to protect the functions and values of the critical area and shall assist the applicant in the modification of the development to include specific measures, and appropriate monitoring strategy (where necessary), which meet the title's standards for the protection of the resource(s); and
 - (6) determine if a Critical Areas Special Study is required.
- (e) Critical Areas Special Study - Requirements.
- (1) The SMP Administrator may require an applicant to conduct a "Critical Areas Special Study" if the Administrator determines that the development could have adverse impacts on a critical area. The purpose of a Critical Areas Special Study is to adequately evaluate the proposal and all potential adverse impacts on a critical area. The study may be included as part of the environmental review process under SEPA as administrated by the County, in accordance with the provisions of this title.
 - (2) The study shall be performed by a professional who is licensed or qualified as an expert in the critical areas at issue. The study shall include the following where applicable:
 - a. the resume of the principal author(s) which disclose(s) their technical training and experience and demonstrates their stature as a qualified professional;
 - b. identification and characterization ~~of the critical area~~ of all critical areas, wetlands, water bodies, and buffers adjacent to the proposed project area, where 'adjacent' is defined as "immediately adjoining or within a distance that is less than that needed to separate activities from critical areas to ensure protection of the functions and values of the critical areas;
 - c. an assessment of any potential hazards associated with the proposed development;
 - d. an assessment of the impacts of the development proposal on any critical area; and
 - e. a mitigation plan which specifies maintenance, monitoring and bonding measures (where necessary).
- (f) Buffer Requirements.
- (1) For any development or use subject to the requirements to provide a buffer around critical areas, the SMP Administrator may allow buffer width averaging when the project proponent can demonstrate application of mitigation sequencing and that project elements would provide an equal or greater contribution to permanent critical resource protection than would the application of the standard buffer. The maximum reduction allowed in any location is 25 percent.
 - (2) The SMP Administrator may require a wider than standard buffer when analysis of impacts by qualified individuals indicates that the standard requirement will not protect a critical area's functions and values.
 - (3) Where a legally established road or railway crosses a shoreline or critical area buffer, the SMP Administrator may approve a modification of the minimum required buffer width to the waterward edge of the improved road if a study submitted by the applicant and prepared by a qualified professional demonstrates that the part of the buffer on the upland side of the road sought to be reduced:

- a. does not provide additional protection of the shoreline waterbody; and
- b. provides insignificant biological, geological or hydrological buffer functions relating to the waterward portion of the buffer adjacent to the shoreline waterbody.

If the improved roadway corridor is wider than 20 feet, a study is not required.

- (g) Critical Areas Resource Mitigation Fund. There is hereby created a Critical Areas Resource Mitigation Fund which shall be administered by the Benton County Treasurer's Office. All funds derived from payments received in-lieu of on/off-site mitigation shall be deposited in the fund which shall be used for off-site critical area enhancement or critical area lands acquisition. Monies in said fund not needed for immediate expenditure shall be invested for the benefit of the Critical Areas Resource Mitigation Fund pursuant to RCW 36.29.020. For investment purposes, the Benton County Treasurer is hereby designated the fund manager.
- (h) Permit Issuance.
 - (1) The SMP Administrator may issue, issue with conditions, or deny the issuance of a permit, or its extension, in order to comply with and carry out the goals, purposes, objectives and requirements of this chapter. The permit shall include the findings listed in Section 15.06.010(h)(2).
 - (2) A permit may be issued if:
 - a. after consideration of all feasible Best Management Practices, including alternative designs, scale (size), locations, and management plans, the proposed development meets the standards of this title, protects the functions and values of critical areas, and that required mitigation reduces impacts to insignificant levels on an individual and/or cumulative project basis; or,
 - b. adverse impacts to critical area functions and values are both unavoidable and necessary because of public health and safety, or if specific local or regional economic considerations override the public interest in the protection of the critical areas, or because all reasonable economic uses for the property would be denied as a result of circumstances peculiar to the subject property; and all unavoidable adverse impacts are offset by enhancement of other critical areas on or off-site.

06.020 Wetlands

- (a) Applicability. This chapter applies to wetlands and all development activities within or adjacent to such wetlands located within the shoreline jurisdiction of unincorporated Benton County. The following activities are subject to permitting if they occur in a regulated wetland or its buffer:
 - (1) The removal, excavation, grading, or dredging of soil, sand, gravel, minerals, organic matter, or material of any kind.
 - (2) The dumping of, discharging of, or filling with any material.
 - (3) The draining, flooding, or disturbing the water level or water table.
 - (4) Pile driving.
 - (5) The placing of obstructions.
 - (6) The construction, reconstruction, demolition, or expansion of any structure.
 - (7) The destruction or alteration of wetland vegetation through clearing, harvesting, shading, intentional burning, or planting of vegetation that would alter the character of a regulated wetland.
 - (8) Activities that result in:
 - a. A significant change of water temperature.
 - b. A significant change of physical or chemical characteristics of the sources of water to the wetland
 - c. A significant change in the quantity, timing or duration of the water entering the wetland.
 - d. The introduction of pollutants.
- (b) Developments Permitted. Developments within wetlands or their buffers as set forth in this chapter are

permitted when sited, designed, and operated in a manner which protects the functions and values of the wetland when such developments meet the requirements of this title.

- (c) Identification and Delineation. Wetlands shall be identified and delineated by a qualified professional in accordance with WAC 173-22-035 and designated based on the definitions, methods, and standards set forth in the currently approved Federal Wetland Delineation Manual and applicable regional supplement.
- (d) Categories. Criteria for categorizing a wetland are those specified in the Washington State Department of Ecology's Washington State Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington, or as revised (Publication #14-06-030).

~~(1) Category I Wetlands are: 1) alkali wetlands; 2) wetlands that are identified by scientists of the Washington Natural Heritage Program/DNR as wetlands of high conservation value; 3) bogs; 4) mature and old-growth forested wetlands over ¼ acre with slow-growing trees; 5) forests with stands of aspen; and 6) wetlands that perform many functions very well. These wetlands are those that 1) represent a unique or rare wetland type; or 2) are more sensitive to disturbance than most wetlands; or 3) are relatively undisturbed and contain ecological attributes that are impossible to replace within a human lifetime; or 4) provide a high level of function.~~

~~(2) Category II Wetlands are: 1) forested wetlands in the floodplains of rivers; 2) mature and old-growth forested wetlands over ¼ acre with fast-growing trees; 3) vernal pools; and 4) wetlands that perform functions well.~~

~~(3) Category III Wetlands are: 1) vernal pools that are isolated and 2) wetlands with a moderate level of functions. These wetlands generally have been disturbed in some ways and are often less diverse or more isolated from other natural resources in the landscape than Category II wetlands.~~

~~(4) Category IV Wetlands are wetlands that should be able to be replaced, and in some cases improved. However, experience has shown that replacement cannot be guaranteed in any specific case. These wetlands may provide some important functions and also need to be protected.~~

- (e) Buffer Requirements for Designated Wetlands.

- (1) Vegetative buffers shall be measured from the wetland edge. The width of the buffer shall be determined according to the wetland type. The standard buffer widths are provided in Table 06.020-1 below.
- (2) The use of the standard buffer widths requires the implementation of the measures in Table 06.020-2, where applicable, to minimize the impacts of the adjacent land uses.
- (3) If an applicant chooses not to apply the minimization measures in Table 06.020-2, then a 33% increase in the width of all buffers is required. For example, a 75-foot standard buffer would become a 100-foot buffer if the minimization measures are not implemented.
- (4) The standard buffer widths assume that the buffer is vegetated with a native plant community appropriate for the ecoregion. If the buffer is unvegetated, sparsely vegetated, or vegetated with invasive species that do not perform needed functions, the buffer should either be planted to create the appropriate plant community or the buffer should be widened to ensure that adequate functions of the buffer are provided.

Table 06.020 – 1. Wetland Buffers

Wetland Category	Standard Buffer Width Scores 3-4 habitat points	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 5 habitat points*	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 6-7 habitat points*	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 8-9 habitat points*
Category I: Based on total score	75 ft	Add 15 ft	Add 45 ft	Add 75 ft

February 16, 2015 _____

Category I: Forested	75 ft	Add 15 ft	Add 45 ft	Add 75 ft
Category I: Bogs	190 ft	NA	NA	NA
Category I: Alkali	150 ft	N/A	NA	NA
Category I: Natural Heritage Wetlands	190 ft	N/A	NA	NA
Category II: Based on total score	75 ft	Add 15 ft	Add 45 ft	Add 75 ft
Category II: Vernal pool	150	NA	NA	<u>NA</u>
Category II: Forested	75 ft	Add 15 ft	Add 45 ft	Add 75 ft
Wetland Category	Standard Buffer Width Scores 3-4 habitat points	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 5 habitat points*	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 6-7 habitat points*	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 8-9 habitat points*
Category III (all)	60 ft	Add 30 ft	Add 60 ft	NA
Category IV (all)	40 ft	NA	NA	NA

* When the Department of Ecology updates its Wetland Rating Forms, these point ranges should be modified using Ecology's conversion table once developed.

Table 06.020-2. Required measures to minimize impacts to wetlands

(Measures are required, where applicable to a specific proposal)

Disturbance	Required Measures to Minimize Impacts
Lights	Direct lights away from wetland
Noise	■ Locate activity that generates noise away from wetland ■ If warranted, enhance existing buffer with native vegetation plantings adjacent to noiseforce ■ For activities that generate relatively continuous, potentially disruptive noise, such as certain heavy industry or mining, establish an additional 10' heavily vegetated buffer strip immediately adjacent to the outer wetland buffer
Toxic runoff	■ Route all new, untreated runoff away from wetland while ensuring wetland is not dewatered ■ Establish covenants limiting use of pesticides within 150 ft of wetland ■ Apply integrated pest management
Stormwater runoff	■ Retrofit stormwater detention and treatment for roads and existing adjacent development ■ Prevent channelized flow from lawns that directly enters the buffer ■ Use Low Intensity Development techniques (per PSAT publication on LID techniques)
Change in water regime	Infiltrate or treat, detain, and disperse into buffer new runoff from impervious surfaces and new lawns
Pets and human disturbance	■ Use privacy fencing OR plant dense vegetation to delineate buffer edge and to discourage disturbance using vegetation appropriate for the ecoregion. ■ Place wetland and its buffer in a separate tract or protect with a conservation easement
Dust	Use best management practices to control dust
Disruption of corridors or connections	■ Maintain connections to offsite areas that are undisturbed ■ Restore corridors or connections to offsite habitats by replanting

- (5) Increased Wetland Buffer Width. Buffer widths shall be increased on a case-by-case basis as determined by the SMP Administrator when a larger buffer is necessary to protect wetland functions and values. This determination shall be supported by appropriate documentation showing that it is reasonably related to protection of the functions and values of the wetland. The documentation must include but not be limited to the following criteria:
 - a. The wetland is used by a plant or animal species listed by the federal government or the state as endangered, threatened, candidate, sensitive, monitored or documented priority species or habitats, or essential or outstanding habitat for those species or has unusual nesting or resting sites such as heron rookeries or raptor nesting trees; or
 - b. The adjacent land is susceptible to severe erosion, and erosion-control measures will not effectively prevent adverse wetland impacts; or
 - c. The adjacent land has minimal vegetative cover or slopes greater than 30 percent.
- (6) Buffer averaging to *improve wetland protection* may be permitted when all of the following conditions are met:
 - a. The wetland has significant differences in characteristics that affect its habitat functions, such as a wetland with a forested component adjacent to a degraded emergent component or a “dual-rated” wetland with a Category I area adjacent to a lower-rated area.
 - b. The buffer is increased adjacent to the higher-functioning area of habitat or more-sensitive portion of the wetland and decreased adjacent to the lower-functioning or less-sensitive portion as demonstrated by a critical areas special study from a qualified wetland professional.
 - c. The total area of the buffer after averaging is equal to the area required without averaging.
 - d. The buffer at its narrowest point is never less than either $\frac{3}{4}$ of the required width or 75 feet for Category I and II, 50 feet for Category III and 25 feet for Category IV, whichever is greater.
- (7) All other proposals to reduce a wetland buffer may only be approved through the Shoreline Variance process.
- (f) Protection of Water Quality. The following provisions shall be followed to ensure the protection of the quality of water.
 - (1) New surface water discharged to wetlands from developments, including retention/detention facilities, pre-settlement ponds, or other surface water management structures may be allowed provided that the discharge does not decrease the water quality of the wetland;
 - (2) Category I and II wetlands may be used for regional retention/detention facilities only when the use will employ the use of pre-settlement ponds and the use will not lower the wetland's level of function and value, or its category;
 - a. Use of wetland buffers for surface water management activities other than retention/detention facilities, such as energy dissipators and associated pipes, may be allowed only if:
 - b. no practicable alternative exists; and,
 - c. the functions of the buffer or the wetland are not adversely impacted.
- (g) Subdivisions. The subdivision and/or short subdivision of land in wetlands and associated buffers are subject to the following:
 - (1) Land that is located wholly within a wetland or its buffer may not be subdivided.
 - (2) Land that is located partially within a wetland or its buffer may be subdivided provided that an accessible and contiguous portion of each new lot is:
 - a. Located outside of the wetland and its buffer; and

- b. Meets the minimum lot size requirements of the underlying zoning district.
- (h) Allowed Uses in Wetlands and Buffers
 - (1) Buffers and application of the normal mitigation sequencing process in Section 05.020, Environmental Protection, is not required of isolated Category III and IV wetlands less than 1,000 square feet that are not associated with a riparian area or buffer, are not part of a wetland mosaic, do not contain habitat identified as essential for local populations of priority species, and are not a vernal pool or alkali wetland. They may be filled if impacts are fully mitigated based on provisions in Section 15.06.020(i). If available, impacts should be mitigated through the purchase of credits from an in-lieu fee program or mitigation bank, consistent with the terms and conditions of the program or bank. In order to verify the following conditions, a critical area special study for wetlands meeting the requirements in Section 15.06.010(e) must be submitted.
 - (2) Activities Allowed in Wetlands and Buffers. The activities listed below are allowed in wetlands and buffers without submission of a Critical Areas Special Study, except where such activities result in a loss of the functions and values of a wetland or wetland buffer. These activities include:
 - a. Conservation or preservation of soil, water, vegetation, fish, shellfish, and/or other wildlife that does not entail changing the structure or functions of the existing wetland or buffer.
 - b. The harvesting of wild crops in a manner that is not injurious to natural reproduction of such crops and provided the harvesting does not require tilling of soil, planting of crops, chemical applications, or alteration of the wetland or buffer by changing existing topography, water conditions, or water sources.
 - c. Passive recreation. Passive recreation facilities, including:
 - 1. Walkways and trails, provided that those pathways are limited to minor crossings having no adverse impact on water quality. They should be generally parallel to the perimeter of the wetland, located only in the outer twenty-five percent (25%) of the wetland buffer area except for crossings and infrequent viewpoints, and located to avoid removal of significant trees. They should be limited to pervious surfaces no more than five (5) feet in width for pedestrian use only. In wetlands, raised boardwalks utilizing non-treated pilings may be acceptable.
 - 2. Wildlife-viewing structures.
 - d. Drilling for utilities/utility corridors under a wetland or wetland buffer, with entrance/exit portals located completely outside of the wetland buffer, provided that the drilling does not interrupt the groundwater connection to the wetland or percolation of surface water down through the soil column. Specific studies by a hydrologist are necessary to determine whether the groundwater connection to the wetland or percolation of surface water down through the soil column will be disturbed.
 - e. Enhancement of a wetland or wetland buffer through the removal of non-native invasive plant species. Removal of invasive plant species shall be restricted to hand removal unless permits from the appropriate regulatory agencies have been obtained for approved biological or chemical treatments. All removed plant material shall be taken away from the site and appropriately disposed of. Plants that appear on the Washington State Noxious Weed Control Board list of noxious weeds must be handled and disposed of according to a noxious weed control plan appropriate to that species. Re-vegetation with appropriate native species at natural densities is allowed in conjunction with removal of invasive plant species.
 - f. Educational and scientific research activities.
 - g. Normal and routine maintenance and repair of any existing public or private facilities within an existing right-of-way, provided that the maintenance or repair does not expand the footprint or

use of the facility or right-of-way.

- (3) Stormwater management facilities. Stormwater management facilities are limited to stormwater dispersion outfalls and bioswales. They may be allowed within the outer twenty-five percent (25%) of the wetland buffer of Category III or IV wetlands only, provided that:

- a. No other location is feasible; and
- b. The location of such facilities will not degrade the functions or values of the wetland; and
- c. Stormwater management facilities are not allowed in buffers of Category I or II wetlands.

(i) Compensatory Mitigation.

- (1) Projects that propose compensation for wetland acreage and/or functions are subject to State and Federal regulations. Compensatory mitigation for alterations to wetlands shall provide for no net loss of wetland functions and values and must be consistent with the mitigation plan requirements of Section 15.05.020(f). The following documents were developed to assist applicants in meeting the above requirements.

- a. Compensatory mitigation for alterations to wetlands shall be used only for impacts that cannot be avoided or minimized and shall achieve equivalent or greater biologic functions. Compensatory mitigation plans shall be consistent with Wetland Mitigation in Washington State – Part 2: Developing Mitigation Plans--Version 1, (Ecology Publication #06-06-011b, Olympia, WA, March 2006 or as revised), and Selecting Wetland Mitigation Sites Using a Watershed Approach (Eastern Washington) (Publication #10-06-07, November 2010).
- b. Wetland mitigation ratios shall be consistent with Table 06.020-3.

Table 06.020-3. Wetland Mitigation Ratios

Category and Type of Wetland	Creation or Re-establishment	Rehabilitation	Enhancement
Category I: Bog, Natural Heritage site	Not considered possible	Case by case	Case by case
Category I: Mature Forested	6:1	12:1	24:1
Category I: Based on functions	4:1	8:1	16:1
Category II	3:1	6:1	12:1
Category III	2:1	4:1	8:1
Category IV	1.5:1	3:1	6:1

- c. To more fully protect functions and values, and as an alternative to the mitigation ratios in Table 06.020-3, the SMP Administrator may allow mitigation based on the “credit/debit” method developed by the Department of Ecology in “Calculating Credits and Debits for Compensatory Mitigation in Wetlands of Eastern Washington: Final Report” (Ecology Publication #11-06-015, August 2012, or as revised).
- d. Impacts to wetland buffers shall be mitigated at a 1:1 ratio. Compensatory buffer mitigation shall replace those buffer functions lost from development.

(2) Wetland Mitigation Banks.

- a. Credits from a wetland mitigation bank may be approved for use as compensation for

unavoidable impacts to wetlands when:

1. The bank is certified under RCW Ch. 90.84 or WAC Ch. 173-700,
 2. The SMP Administrator determines that the wetland mitigation bank can provide appropriate compensation for the authorized impacts, and
 3. The proposed use of credits is consistent with the terms and conditions of the bank's certification.
- b. Replacement ratios for projects using bank credits shall be consistent with replacement ratios specified in the bank's certification.
- c. Credits from a certified wetland mitigation bank may be used to compensate for impacts located within the service area specified in the bank's certification. In some cases, bank service areas may include portions of more than one adjacent drainage basin for specific wetland functions.
- (3) Advance Mitigation. Mitigation for projects with pre-identified impacts to wetlands may be constructed in advance of the impacts if the mitigation is implemented according to federal rules, State policy on advance mitigation, and State water quality regulations. If the project with impacts would take place in shoreline jurisdiction, it must also be evaluated via the appropriate shoreline permit process.
- (4) Monitoring. Mitigation monitoring shall be required for a period necessary to establish that performance standards have been met, but not for a period less than five years. If a scrub-shrub or forested vegetation community is proposed, monitoring may be required for ten years or more. The project mitigation plan shall include monitoring elements that ensure certainty of success for the project's natural resource values and functions. If the mitigation goals are not obtained within the initial five-year period, the applicant remains responsible for restoration of the natural resource values and functions until the mitigation goals agreed to in the mitigation plan are achieved.

06.030 Rivers and Creeks

- (a) Buffer and building setback requirements. The minimum buffers for above ground development located in the vicinity of rivers and creeks are as follows:

Table 06.030 – 1. River and Creek Buffers

Environment Designation	Columbia River	Yakima River	Other Creeks
Urban Transition Area	Consistent with interlocal agreement to apply city SMP. If no agreement is in place: • Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 50 • Other: 50	Consistent with interlocal agreement to apply city SMP. If no agreement is in place: • Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 50 • Other: 50	Consistent with interlocal agreement to apply city SMP. If no agreement is in place: • Fish-bearing: 100 • Non-fish-bearing: 50
Rural Industrial	• Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 50 • Non water-oriented: 100	NA	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50
Residential	50 buffer + 50 building setback	75 buffer + 25 building setback	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50
Rural	• Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 50 • Other: 100	• Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 75 • Other: 100	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50

Hanford	200	NA	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50
Conservancy	• Water-dependent: 0 • Other water-oriented: see Section 15.06.030(e)(4) • Non water-oriented: 200	• Water-dependent: 0 • Other water-oriented: see Section 15.06.030(e)(4) • Non water-oriented: 150	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50
Natural	200	NA	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50

*All dimensions measured in feet horizontally upland of the ordinary high water mark.

- (b) The introduction of any vegetation or wildlife which is not indigenous to the Central Basin region into any river or creek or its nearshore riparian area is prohibited unless authorized by a State of Washington or a federal license or permit. This provision does not apply to vegetation alterations to existing landscaped or agricultural areas.
- (c) Alterations to buffers that occur incidental to construction of an approved use or structure upland of the buffer must be restored to the condition prior to the construction activity once construction is concluded.
- (d) Use of river and creek buffers for surface water management activities other than retention/detention facilities, such as energy dissipators and associated pipes, may be allowed only if the applicant demonstrates that no practicable alternative exists.
- (e) The following uses are allowed in river and creek buffers and building setbacks provided that mitigation sequencing (see Section 15.05.020(d)) is demonstrated and any adverse impacts to ecological functions are mitigated.
 - (1) Water-dependent uses. Consistent with the use allowances for each environment designation, water-dependent uses, modifications and activities may be located in shoreline buffers at the water's edge.
 - (2) Accessories to water-dependent uses. Uses, developments and activities accessory to water-dependent uses should be located outside any applicable standard or reduced shoreline buffer unless at least one of the following is met:
 - a. proximity to the water-dependent project elements is critical to the successful implementation of the facility's purpose and the elements are supportive of the water-dependent use and have no other utility (e.g., a road to a boat launch facility, facilities that support non-commercial aquaculture);
 - b. in parks or on other public lands where high-intensity recreational development is already legally established and whose use is primarily related to access to, enjoyment and use of the water, they do not conflict with or limit opportunities for other water-oriented uses; or
 - c. the applicant's lot/site has topographical constraints where no other location of the development is feasible (e.g., the water-dependent use or activity is located on a parcel entirely or substantially encumbered by the required buffer).

In these circumstances, uses and modifications accessory to water-dependent uses must be designed and located to minimize intrusion into the buffer. All other accessory uses, developments and activities proposed to be located in a shoreline buffer must obtain a Shoreline Variance unless otherwise allowed by other regulations in this Section or in this SMP.

- (3) In the Residential environment, the shoreline building setback may contain lawn, landscaping, decks, patios and other alterations that are no taller than 36 inches in height. Minor non-permanent structures taller than 36 inches that are normal residential accessories, such as play structures, picnic tables and benches, or trellises, may also be located in the shoreline building setback. All alterations in shoreline jurisdiction, including the shoreline buffer and the building setback, must also comply

with requirements of Section 15.05.030 (Shoreline Vegetation Conservation).

(4) Water-oriented public access and recreation facilities.

- a. In recognition of the existing condition of current and planned public shoreline parks and recreation facilities located in the Conservancy environment designation, the following standards shall guide new development and redevelopment of water-oriented public access and recreation facilities in lieu of shoreline buffers. Applicants shall submit a management plan that addresses compliance with each of the following applicable standards and principles, and contains additional information listed in Subsection (4)b below. The County may review and condition the project to more fully implement the principles below.

**Table 06.030 – 2. Water-Oriented Public Access and Recreation Facilities:
Design and Management Standards in Lieu of Shoreline Buffers**

Design Element	Design and Management Standards
i. Category of Use	The following use preferences apply in priority order: • Water-dependent uses located waterward, at or immediately upland of the OHWM. • Water-related and/or water-enjoyment uses located upland of water-dependent uses. Water-related and water-enjoyment uses shall not displace existing or planned water-dependent uses. If water-dependent uses are not feasible, then water-related or water-enjoyment uses are allowed consistent with applicable performance standards. • Non water-oriented recreation uses located upland of water-oriented recreation uses. The preference is that Non water-oriented uses occupy existing structures upland of water-oriented recreation uses rather than be established in new structures. Where new Non water-oriented uses are proposed upland of water-oriented uses, but will not occupy existing structures, they shall avoid native and riparian vegetation consistent with Subsection iv below. • Accessory, Non water-oriented uses located upland of water-oriented uses. However, parking for those with disabilities, when no other location is feasible, may be located per “iii” below. • Existing primary Non water-oriented uses may only expand if they are located upland of water-oriented uses and if the expansion does not displace water-oriented uses. • Water-enjoyment recreational uses may be expanded. • Existing water-oriented uses may not be converted to a Non water-oriented use except when the existing water-oriented use is separated from the OHWM by another property.

ii. Impervious Surface and Stormwater Management	• New and expanded pollution-generating impervious surfaces (e.g., surfaces used predominantly by vehicles, such as parking areas, roads) must provide water quality treatment before discharging stormwater through use of oil-water separators, bioswales, or other approved technique. This provision does not apply to boat launches. • Treated runoff from pollution-generating impervious surfaces and runoff from non-pollution-generating impervious surfaces shall be infiltrated if feasible. • New or expanded pollution-generating impervious surfaces within 50 feet of the OHWM or within already disturbed areas shall be limited to those necessary to provide vehicle access to boat launches, to improve existing informal parking areas, to expand existing parking, or to provide ADA parking as outlined below under iii. Parking. • New or expanded trail systems shall avoid existing riparian areas and comply with vegetation management requirements below. Existing trail systems may only be expanded in response to increased demand and shall be expanded landward of existing trail where feasible. Parallel trails shall be placed at least 50 feet upland of the OHWM in the Conservancy environment, when feasible. Parallel portions of trails may be constructed closer to the aquatic area if the trail is located on or upland of previously disturbed rights-of-way, access and/or utility easements, and legally altered sites. Viewing platforms and crossings are allowed in buffers, provided they are also located to avoid significant vegetation removal.
Design Element	Design and Management Standards
iii. Parking	• New parking accessory to shoreline parks shall be at least 100 feet upland of the OHWM, except where a minimum number of parking spaces are provided closer than 100 feet to accommodate those with disabilities or where parking is provided on existing impervious surfaces. • Existing parking closer than 100 feet upland of the OHWM may only be expanded in response to increased demand. Expanded parking shall be expanded in the following order of preference, with 1) being the most preferred: 1) landward of existing parking and 2) laterally of the existing parking, if it is serving a previously existing authorized use and is located on existing impervious surface. Parking shall not be located closer than 50 feet upland of the OHWM unless the proposed expansion area is already an impervious surface or is necessary to accommodate those with disabilities.
iv. Vegetation Management	• New and expanded uses in shoreline jurisdiction shall be located to avoid and minimize intrusion into riparian areas, as well as avoid tree and shrub removal. • New and expanded uses in shoreline jurisdiction shall comply with Section 15.05.030, Shoreline Vegetation Conservation. • Landscape designs for new and modified recreation facilities in shoreline jurisdiction shall incorporate the following. ▪ Select species that are suitable to the local climate, having minimal demands for water, minimal vulnerability to pests, and minimal demands for fertilizers. Native species shall comprise 50 percent of the landscaped area, not counting lawn area. Redevelopment of lawn areas shall be no closer than 20 feet from the OHWM. Native grasses may be used within the first 20 feet landward of the OHWM. If lawn areas are not currently established within 50 feet of the OHWM, the existing riparian vegetation within 50 feet of the OHWM shall be maintained, unless a mitigation plan demonstrates improved ecological function.

	▪ Preserve existing soil and vegetation (especially trees) where possible. Amend disturbed soils with compost. Mulch existing and proposed landscapes regularly with wood chips, coarse bark, leaves or compost. ▪ Group plants by water need, use more efficient irrigation methods like drip and soakers under mulch, and design and maintain irrigation systems to reduce waste. ▪ Place vegetation to maximize the following benefits: – development or supplementation of a native vegetated wildlife corridor, – development or supplementation of riparian vegetation adjacent to the water's edge, – screening parking areas from views from the water or the park, and/or – discouragement of wildlife that may directly or indirectly interfere with park use or human health (e.g., geese),
	• While a specified buffer is not required for certain water-oriented recreational uses and developments in public access and recreation areas, recreational improvement projects shall place an emphasis on shoreline restoration/enhancement within 50 feet of the OHWM. This emphasis shall not require the removal of existing lawn areas, but should place an emphasis on incorporation of riparian plantings if the public access area is underutilized or public access would not be impaired by the plantings.
v. Chemical Applications	A lawn and landscape management strategy for any allowed uses in shoreline jurisdiction shall be developed that incorporates the following: • A site-specific plan for use of integrated pest management technique, if applicable. • A detailed plan identifying anticipated use of fertilizers, herbicides and pesticides, to include method of application that ensures these materials will not enter the water. Phosphorus-containing fertilizer treatments shall not be applied to turf or landscaping within 50 feet of the OHWM. Natural applications and hand removal are preferred over synthetic applications.
vi. Lighting	• Outdoor lighting fixtures and accent lighting must be shielded and aimed downward and shall be installed at the minimum height necessary. The shield must mask the direct horizontal surface of the light source. The light must be aimed to ensure that the illumination is only pointing downward onto the ground surface, with no escaping direct light permitted to contribute to light pollution by shining upward into the sky. • Outdoor lighting fixtures and accent lighting shall not directly illuminate the shoreline waterbody, unless it is a navigational light subject to state or federal regulations.
vii. Campgrounds	Proposed new campgrounds and their associated parking areas shall be a minimum of 50 feet from the OHWM, unless buffer averaging or reduction is applied.

b. Application requirements:

1. Drawings of existing park facilities, including a narrative that identifies area (sq. feet) and description of trails, parking, riparian vegetation, campsites, recreational facilities (ball parks, picnic table, grilling areas), upland vegetation and lawn areas.
2. Drawings of proposed park facilities, including a narrative that identifies area (sq. feet) and description of trails, parking, riparian vegetation, campsites, recreational facilities (ball

- parks, picnic table, grilling areas), upland vegetation and lawn areas.
3. Any increases in impervious surfaces (trail size, parking facilities, recreational facilities, etc.) shall include an explanation as part of the application that addresses the requirement for increased public facilities, what size facilities are needed by existing and projected park users, and the nearest locations of similar facilities.
 4. Expansion of public/park facilities shall be accompanied by a mitigation plan that addresses the design elements and the design and management standards above, addresses any critical area impacts, addresses mitigation sequencing, and demonstrates no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
- (5) Shoreline residential access.
- (a) A private access pathway constructed of pervious materials may be installed, a maximum of four (4) feet wide, through the shoreline buffer to the OHWM. Impervious materials may be used only as needed to comply with ADA requirements to construct a safe, tiered pathway down a slope. A railing may be installed on one edge of the pathway, a maximum of 36 inches tall and of open construction. Pathways to the shoreline should take the most direct route feasible consistent with any applicable ADA standards.

06.040 Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas

- (a) Permitted Development. Developments are permitted when sited, designed, and operated in a manner which protects the functions and values of critical aquifer recharge/interchange areas and when such developments meet the requirements of this title.
- (b) Site Analysis Required. An additional site analysis is required for the following types of activities if such activities have the potential to impact recharge/interchange areas:
 - (1) divisions of land;
 - (2) commercial, industrial, manufacturing, and multiple residential projects in excess of four (4) units; or
 - (3) projects or land use activities which process, stockpile, store, receive, transport, discharge, or produce any chemical or organic product or by-product which may contaminate ground or surface water, except where those projects have the primary purpose of water conservation.
- (c) General Information Requirements for Unconfined Aquifers. The SMP Administrator may require some or all of the following information relative to any unconfined aquifer in order to conduct the site analysis:
 - (1) depth to groundwater;
 - (2) hydro-geological susceptibility to contamination and contamination loading potential;
 - (3) hydraulic conductivity and gradient on-site and for relevant adjacent land;
 - (4) soil permeability and contamination attenuation;
 - (5) a vadose zone analysis including permeability and attenuation properties;
 - (6) existing aquifer water quality analysis; and
 - (7) a summary of the proposed activity's potential effect on the water quality of any unconfined aquifer.
- (d) General Information Requirements for Regulated Substances. The SMP Administrator may require any of the following where regulated substances are associated with a development which has potential to impact an aquifer:
 - (1) a description of operations and an identification of regulated substances associated with the project;
 - (2) a list of names and volumes of toxic or concentrated organic substances which will be used on the property;
 - (3) a list of all substances to be monitored;
 - (4) a detailed description of how substances are to be handled at the site;

- (5) a description of the containment devices to be used to comply with the requirements of this chapter and other applicable state and federal requirements;
 - (6) a proposed "Regulated Substance Management Plan" or a "Site Management Plan";
 - (7) a description of the procedures for inspection and maintenance to assure the proper functioning of containment devices and systems;
 - (8) a site map showing the location of the facility and property boundaries and the locations within the facility where regulated substances in containers larger than five (5) gallons or forty (40) pounds are stored, unloaded, tested, used, and/or produced. The location of each containment device (system if there is one) shall also be shown.
- (e) Protection of Water Quality.
- (1) The contamination of groundwater by surface water use, discharge, or runoff shall be prevented.
 - (2) New developments, during both construction and operational phases, which generate surface drainage or runoff to ground or surface water shall:
 - a. assure that the use, handling, discharge, or disposal of regulated substances be accomplished in a manner which prevents their entry into ground or surface waters;
 - b. retain and clean, to current state discharge standards, runoff prior to its discharge into ground or surface water; and
 - c. ensure that runoff or stormwater drainage will not result in soil erosion or water quality degradation.
 - (3) Water quality standards for critical aquifer re-charge/interchange areas shall correspond with appropriate State and Federal standards.

06.050 Frequently Flooded Areas

Benton County Code 3.26 BCC (Flood Hazard Prevention, adopted 1987, revised 2010) and 15.~~30~~30 BCC (Frequently Flooded Areas, adopted 1994, revised 1997) are adopted by reference.

06.060 Geologically Hazardous Areas

- (a) Applicability. This chapter applies to development activities within or adjacent to geologically hazardous areas in shoreline jurisdiction, including steep slopes, channel migration zones, or hillsides located in unincorporated Benton County. A steep slope is defined as one with a slope of fifteen (15) percent or more or where Critical Areas Overlay Maps indicate potentially hazardous conditions.
- (b) Permitted Development. Development as set forth in this chapter is permitted when sited, designed, and operated in a manner which protects life, property, and the public welfare and when such development meets the requirements of this title.
- (c) Prohibited Development. New development and creation of new lots that would cause foreseeable risk from geological conditions during the life of the development or would require structural shoreline stabilization over the life of the development (except as allowed under ~~BCC~~ [Section 15.07.140](#)) is prohibited.
- (d) Minimum hazard setback requirements. For the purposes of this chapter, a minimum hazard setback for development within or adjacent to a Geologically Hazardous Area shall be the hazard setback recommended in the Site Analysis and/or by the Building Department.
- (e) Site Analysis – General Requirements. A site analysis is required within geologically hazardous areas and within 200 feet of geologically hazardous areas. In order to complete an analysis, the SMP Administrator may require any of the following:
 - (1) the physical features of the site, including identification of surface and subsurface soil types, vegetation, streams, canyons, alluvial fans, and drainage ways. Topography shall be shown in five (5)

- foot contours unless prior approval is received for contours greater than five (5) feet;
 - (2) lot and parcel sizes, proposed lot coverage, type of dwelling units, square footage, dimensions, general type of construction and location of all structures, the existing and proposed utility systems including wells, sanitary sewers, electric, gas, and telephone, and other pertinent information requested by the SMP Administrator;
 - (3) the general location and different circumstances that might be expected to precipitate a geological event;
 - (4) the geologic, topographic, and hydrologic factors that might contribute to slope instability and the location of the site susceptible to instability;
 - (5) suitable buildable areas taking into consideration the long-term stability and maintenance of access roads and all other permanent infrastructure needs that would be affected by both the underlying geology and soils;
 - (6) recommended hazard setbacks to protect the geologic and topographic features;
 - (7) relying on existing data, areas with known or potential for seismic hazard;
 - (8) the rate and extent of any potential hazards such as erosion, sliding, slumping etc., must be analyzed in light of the potential to impact the public health, safety and welfare;
 - (9) the potential impact of residential landscape irrigation, drain-fields, upslope and off-site irrigation activities, storm water generation from upslope properties and proposed impervious surfaces on-site, and the influence of street conveyance on slope stability;
 - (10) proposed access, parking, and basic internal vehicle/pedestrian circulation system;
 - (11) the proposed system for retention and release (detention) of storm and surface water runoff generated from the site;
 - (12) general landscaping plan indicating the type and placement of materials used around all structures, parking areas and other cleared portions of the site;
 - (13) the relationship between the proposed development and existing and proposed adjacent areas;
 - (14) where development is proposed downslope of lands in, or with the potential for agriculture, analysis of the impact of surface and subsurface movement of waste irrigation water on the proposed development site shall be provided. The analysis shall include descriptions of the relevant soils, geologic, and hydrologic conditions of the project site and the upslope lands;
 - (15) for public buildings and facilities: identification of minimum design standards where seismic activity has the potential to occur.
- (f) Required Plans.
- (1) A site development and grading plan which meets the requirements of ~~BCC~~ [Section](#) 06.060(e) and accomplishes the following objectives shall be developed and submitted to the SMP Administrator for projects within 200 feet of geologically hazardous areas:
 - a. assure long term structural integrity of all development;
 - b. protect the public health, safety, and welfare by minimizing the potential for public expenditures for post-project geologic, soils, and hydrology hazards remediation;
 - c. avoid documented seismic and landslide hazard areas as locations for building construction, roads or utility systems where mitigation is not feasible;
 - d. eliminate as completely as practicable, any public or private exposure to landslide hazards or to abnormal maintenance or repair costs through the application of post construction slope stabilization and appropriately upgraded road construction specifications where appropriate;
 - e. minimize storm water runoff and soil erosion impacts;
 - f. control dust during all construction phases;
 - g. achieve maximum feasible retention, in their natural condition, of existing topographic features such as drainage swales, streams, slopes, structurally important ridge lines and rock

- outcroppings; and
 - h. minimize grading where it will adversely impact slope stability.
- (2) All development and grading plans shall be approved by the appropriate County departments in order to ensure compliance with the current application of the County's Side Hill Development Standards.
 - (3) All development and grading plans shall adhere to the requirements of the Benton-Franklin Health District.
 - (4) In areas of steep slopes and natural drainages, when construction will extend into the rainy season and potentially cause eroded sediments to move offsite, the storm and surface water runoff retention and detention system must be completed before other phases of site development are begun so that it can serve as a sediment trap during the remainder of the construction.

06.070 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas

- (a) **Applicability.** The provisions of this chapter shall apply within unincorporated Benton County to upland Priority Species and Priority Habitats of Priority Species. While wetlands, rivers and creeks, and their buffers may also be considered Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas, other provisions of this SMP and Section 15.06 provide specific standards for study, protection and application of mitigation sequencing to those types of Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas.
- (b) **Permitted Development.** Developments adjacent to upland Priority Species or adjacent to or within Priority Habitats of Priority Species and their buffers are permitted when sited, designed, and operated in a manner which protects the functions and values of upland Priority Species and their Priority Habitats, and when such development meets the requirements of this title.
- (c) **Minimum Buffer Requirements.** Buffers for upland Priority Species and Priority Habitats shall be determined by the SMP Administrator based upon Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife recommendations after consultation with the applicant, state, and where appropriate, federal agencies and the Yakama Nation.
- (d) **Site Analysis Required.**
 - (1) Where a regulated development or use is proposed on a parcel containing a mapped upland Priority Species or wholly or partially within a mapped upland Priority Habitat, the parcel shall be surveyed to determine if the following are associated with the parcel:
 - a. federal and state listed endangered, threatened, sensitive, or candidate species; and
 - b. any listed plant or animal species on the Washington Department of Natural Resources Natural Heritage Program lists.
 - (2) A Critical Area Special Study shall be performed if the resources identified in ~~BCC~~ [Section 15.06.070\(d\)\(1\)](#) are found to be associated with the parcel. The following shall be identified:
 - a. the nature and extent of the species' primary association with the habitat area;
 - b. the relative density and species richness, breeding, habitat, seasonal range dynamics and movement corridors;
 - c. the relative tolerance of species to human activities;
 - d. the influence of the project, individually and cumulatively, on the wildlife and associated habitats;
 - e. mitigative measures for any project element that would potentially threaten baseline populations and reproduction rates over the long term; and
 - f. information about the presence of migratory species and any migratory patterns.
- (e) **General Standards for Habitat Management.** The maintenance of sufficient habitat to support baseline populations for all species identified in BCC 15.06.070(d)(1) shall be the objective pursued through the

application of flexible site planning and timing of construction, Best Management Practices, and habitat management programs.

Section 15.07 Use-Specific and Modification Regulations and Performance Standards

07.010 Agriculture

- (a) For Shoreline purposes, Section 15.02 (Definitions), WAC 173-26-020 (Definitions), and WAC 173-26-241(3)(a)(ii) (Agriculture) shall determine the need for shoreline review for agricultural activities.
- (b) The provisions of this SMP do not limit or require modification of agricultural activities on agricultural lands as of the date of adoption of the SMP. In determining whether lands meet the definition of agricultural activities, the Shoreline Administrator shall consider laws and rules included in Subsection (a) and information regarding typical agricultural practices for the subject agricultural use, current use taxation records, conservation easements, farm plans, and other relevant information. Examples of agricultural practices that could vary by the type of agriculture include but are not limited to: rotations of fields for grazing, cultivation, production, and harvests; animal breeding, feeding, or forage activities; type and frequency of maintenance, repair and replacement of agricultural facilities; and other typical practices.
- (c) SMP provisions shall apply in the following cases:
 - (1) new agricultural activities on land not meeting the definition of agricultural land;
 - (2) expansion of agricultural activities on non-agricultural lands, or conversion of non-agricultural lands to agricultural activities;
 - (3) conversion of agricultural lands to other uses;
 - (4) other development on agricultural land that does not meet the definition of agricultural activities; and
 - (5) agricultural development and uses not specifically excluded by the SMA and WAC 173-26-020 (Definitions), and WAC 173-26-241(3)(a)(ii).
- (d) Feed lots and stockyards are prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction.
- (e) New agricultural activities and facilities subject to the SMP in Section 15.07.010(c) shall comply with water quality provisions of Section 15.05.040 and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation provisions in Section 15.05.030.
- (f) Vegetative buffers consistent with Section 15.06.030 shall be maintained between the ordinary high-water mark and cultivated ground for purposes of erosion control and riparian vegetation protection, and shall apply to uses and activities subject to the SMP in Section 15.07.010(c).
- (g) Diversion of water for agricultural purposes shall be consistent with federal and state water rights laws and rules.
- (h) No equipment or material shall be abandoned or disposed of in shoreline jurisdiction.
- (i) Development in support of agricultural uses shall be consistent with the environment designation intent and management policies, located and designed to assure no net loss of ecological functions, and shall not have a significant adverse impact on other shoreline resources and values.

07.020 Aquaculture

- (a) Aquacultural facilities must be designed and located to avoid:
 - (1) The spreading of disease, especially to native aquatic life;
 - (2) Introducing new non-native species which cause significant ecological impacts;
 - (3) Significantly conflicting with navigation and other water-dependent uses;

- (4) A net loss of ecological functions; or
 - (5) Significantly impacting the aesthetic qualities of the shoreline.
- (b) Potential locations for aquaculture are relatively restricted due to specific requirements for water quality, temperature, flows, oxygen content, adjacent land uses, wind protection, and commercial navigation. The technology associated with some forms of present-day aquaculture is still in its formative stages and experimental. Therefore, some latitude in the development of this use shall be given, while the potential impacts on existing uses and natural systems are recognized.
- (c) Aquaculture structures and activities that do not require a waterside location must be located landward of the shoreline buffers required by this SMP.

07.030 Boating Facilities and Private Moorage Structures

- (a) Applicability.
- (1) This Section applies to all over- and in-water structures and uses that facilitate as their primary purpose the launching or mooring of vessels or serve some other water-dependent purpose.
 - (2) Uses and modifications covered in this Section include private residential docks (including community docks); docks for commercial, industrial, aquaculture, recreational or public access use; marinas; and boat launches.
- (b) General regulations.
- (1) New docks shall be allowed only for water-dependent uses or public access. As used here, a dock associated with a single-family residence is a water-dependent use provided that it is designed and intended as a facility for access to watercraft and otherwise complies with the provisions of this SMP.
 - (2) No single-use residential docks may be authorized unless the applicant can demonstrate that reasonable community dock options have been investigated and found infeasible.
 - (3) For all new residential development of two or more waterfront dwelling units or subdivisions or other divisions of land occurring after the effective date of this SMP, only community docks may be allowed.
 - (4) No more than one private, noncommercial dock is permitted per platted or subdivided shoreline lot or unplatted shoreline tract owned for residential or recreational purposes.
 - (5) Floating and other over-water homes, including liveaboards, are prohibited.
 - (6) Extended moorage on waters of the state without a lease or permission is prohibited except as allowed by applicable state regulations. When allowed per state regulations and this SMP, mitigation of any adverse impacts to navigation and public access is required.
 - (7) Overwater structure design, construction, and use must:
 - a. Minimize degradation of aquatic habitats.
 - b. Not impede any juvenile or adult salmonid life stage, including migration, rearing, and spawning.
 - c. Not enhance habitats used by potential salmonid predators (especially fishes and birds).
 - d. Be engineered or use proven methods to maximize human safety and minimize potential for flood-related detachment of the facility from shore.
 - (8) Consistent with requirements for mitigation sequencing, all boating facilities and private moorage structures must be the minimum size necessary and designed to avoid and then minimize potential adverse impacts. All unavoidable adverse impacts must be mitigated, and a mitigation plan submitted.
- (c) General location regulations. New and expanded boating facilities and private moorage structures must be located to:
- (1) Minimize hazards and obstructions to public navigation rights.

- (2) Avoid blocking or obstructing lawfully existing or planned public shoreline access.
 - (3) Minimize the need for new or maintenance dredging.
 - (4) Eliminate the need for new shoreline stabilization, if feasible. Where the need for stabilization is unavoidable, only the minimum necessary shoreline stabilization to adequately protect facilities, users, and watercraft may be allowed.
- (d) General materials regulations.
- (1) Boating facilities and private moorage structures shall be built with materials that do not leach preservatives or other chemicals.
 - (2) No treated wood of any kind shall be used on any boating facilities and private moorage structures.
 - (3) No paint, stain, or preservative shall be applied to boating facilities and private moorage structures.
- (e) General design and operation regulations.
- (1) Piers and ramps.
 - a. To prevent damage to shallow-water habitat, piers and/or ramps shall extend at least 40 feet perpendicular from the OHWM on the Columbia River and as needed to reach acceptable float conditions on the Yakima River, unless determined to be impractical due to specific site considerations.
 - b. Piers and ramps shall be the minimum size necessary to achieve their intended purpose.
 - c. The bottom of both the pier or landward edge of the ramp shall be elevated at least 2 feet above the plane of OHWM.
 - d. Grating shall cover the entire pier and ramp for residential structures, and as much area as practicable for other structures. Open areas of grating shall be at least 50 percent, as rated by the manufacturer, unless determined to be infeasible due to specific site or project considerations.
 - (2) Floats.
 - a. Floats shall not be located in shallow-water habitat where they could ground or impede the passage or rearing of any salmonid life stage.
 - b. To prevent damage to shallow-water habitat, floats on the Columbia River shall be positioned at least 40 feet horizontally from the OHWM but no more than 100 feet from the OHWM, as measured from the landward-most edge of the float, unless determined to be impractical due to specific site considerations. Floats on the Yakima River must be located to maintain clearance of at least 18 inches between the riverbed and the bottom of the float between April 15 and July 15 in all years.
 - c. Grating shall cover the entire surface area of the float(s) not underlain by float tubs or other material that provides buoyancy. The open area of the grating shall be a minimum of 50 percent, as rated by the manufacturer, or as otherwise required by state or federal agencies during permit review unless determined to be infeasible due to specific site or project considerations.
 - d. Functional grating will cover no less than 50 percent of the float, or as otherwise required by state or federal agencies during permit review, unless determined to be infeasible due to specific site or project considerations.
 - e. Floating docks shall be designed or seasonally removed to prevent the dock from resting on the riverbed during periods of lower flow.
 - f. Flotation materials shall be permanently encapsulated to prevent breakup into small pieces and dispersal in water.
 - (3) No new skirting is allowed on any structure.
 - (4) Protective bumper material will be allowed along the outside edge of the float as long as the material

does not extend below the bottom edge of the float frame or impede light penetration.

- (5) Safety railings, if proposed, must meet International Building Code requirements and must be an open framework that does not unreasonably interfere with shoreline views.
 - (6) Boating facilities and private moorage structures must be marked with reflectors, or otherwise identified to prevent unnecessarily hazardous conditions for water surface users during the day or night.
 - (7) Exterior finish of all structures must be generally non-reflective, to reduce glare.
 - (8) New covered moorage is prohibited, except when necessary for operation of a water-dependent use at commercial, industrial, or transportation-related facilities.
 - (9) Shoreline armoring (i.e. bulkheads, riprap, and retaining walls) shall not occur in association with installation of the overwater structure, if feasible.
 - (10) Nothing shall be placed long term on the overwater structure that will reduce natural light penetration through the structure.
 - (11) Pilings.
 - a. New piling for residential docks shall not exceed 8 inches in diameter, except where larger pilings are required for safety or site-specific engineering reasons. New piling for other docks must be the smallest diameter necessary.
 - b. All pilings shall be fitted with devices to prevent perching by piscivorous (fish-eating) birds.
- (f) General construction regulations.
- (1) Construction of overwater structures shall be completed during allowed in-water work windows.
 - (2) Construction impacts shall be confined to the minimum area needed to complete the project.
 - (3) The boundaries of clearing limits associated with site access and construction shall be flagged to prevent ground disturbance of riparian vegetation, wetlands, and other sensitive sites. This action shall be completed before any significant alteration of the project area.
 - (4) All temporary erosion controls shall be in place and appropriately installed downslope of project activities until site restoration is complete.
 - (5) Any large wood, native vegetation, topsoil, and/or native channel material displaced by construction shall be stockpiled for use during site restoration.
 - (6) No existing habitat features (i.e., wood, substrate materials) shall be removed from the shoreland or aquatic environment without approval.
 - (7) If native vegetation is moved, damaged, or destroyed, it shall be replaced with a functionally equivalent native species during site restoration.
 - (8) Project construction shall cease under high flow conditions that could result in inundation of the project area, except for efforts to avoid or minimize resource damage.
 - (9) Temporary moorages are allowed for vessels used in the construction of boating facilities provided:
 - a. Upon termination of the project, the aquatic habitat in the affected area is returned to its pre-construction condition within one year.
 - b. Construction vessels may not ground or otherwise disturb substrates.
 - c. Temporary moorage is located to minimize shading of aquatic vegetation.
- (g) Private residential dock (including community dock) regulations.
- (1) No boat lifts or watercraft lifts of any type will be placed on, or in addition to, the overwater structure unless the applicant can demonstrate that the proposed boat lift meets the intent of the criteria to minimize structure, maximize light penetration, and maximize depth.
 - (2) No electricity shall be provided to, or on, the overwater structure.
 - (3) Piers and ramps shall be no more than 4 feet in width.
 - (4) Shoreline concrete anchors must be placed at least 10 feet landward from the OHWM, if feasible.

Shoreline concrete anchors must be sized no larger than 4 feet wide by 4 feet long unless demonstrated insufficient. The maximum anchor height shall be only what is necessary to elevate the bottom of either the pier or landward edge of the ramp at least 2 feet above the plane of OHWM. Alternate anchoring methods may be allowed if approved in advance by WDFW for application on the Yakima River.

- (5) Float components for private docks shall not exceed the dimensions of 8 feet by 20 feet, or an aggregate total of 160 square feet. Float components for community docks shall not exceed the dimensions of 8 feet by 40 feet, or an aggregate total of 320 square feet, for all float components.
- (6) Piling and float anchors.
 - a. Pilings shall be spaced at least 18 feet apart on the same side of any component of the overwater structure. The pier/ramp and float are separate components.
 - b. Each overwater structure shall utilize no more than 4 piles total for the entire project. A combination of two piles and four helical anchors may be used in place of four piles.
 - c. Submerged float anchors will be constructed from concrete; and shall be horizontally compressed in form, by a factor of 5 or more, for a minimum profile above the stream bed (the horizontal length and width will be at least 5 times the vertical height).
- (7) No in-water fill material (including uncured concrete or its by-products) will be allowed, with the exception of pilings and float anchors.
- (h) Docks for commercial, industrial, aquaculture, recreational or public access use.
 - (1) The amounts of overwater cover, including length and width; the number of in-water structures; and the extent of any necessary shoreline stabilization or modification must be minimized.
 - (2) Accessory development may include, but is not limited to, parking, non-hazardous waste storage and treatment, stormwater management facilities, and utilities where these are necessary to support the water-oriented use. Non water-dependent accessory uses must be located outside of shoreline jurisdiction or outside of the shoreline buffer whenever possible.
 - (3) Garbage or litter receptacles must be provided and maintained by the operator at locations convenient to users.
- (i) Marinas.
 - (1) No part of a marina may be wider than 8 feet, except that components up to 10 feet wide may be approved administratively if justified in documentation.
 - (2) New marinas must provide physical and/or visual public access for as many water-oriented recreational uses as possible, commensurate with the scale of the proposal.
 - (3) New marinas must provide adequate restroom and sewage disposal facilities.
 - (4) New or enlarged marinas must provide facilities and procedures for receiving, storing, dispensing, and disposing of oil or hazardous products, as well as a spill response plan.
 - (5) Marina operators must post all regulations pertaining to handling, disposal and reporting of waste, sewage, fuel, oil or toxic materials where all users may easily read them. Rules for spill prevention and response must also be posted on site.
- (j) Boat launch ramps.
 - (1) New public, commercial, or industrial boat launch ramps may be approved only if they provide public access to waters that are not adequately served by existing access facilities, if use of existing facilities is documented to exceed the designed capacity, or the ramp is necessary to serve the water-oriented commercial or industrial use.
 - (2) New private boat launches not for commercial or industrial use are prohibited.
 - (3) New public or commercial boat launch facilities must provide adequate restroom facilities.

- (4) Boat launch ramps must be located where there is adequate water mixing and flushing and where water depths are adequate to eliminate or minimize the need for dredging or filling. Boat launch ramps must be located to minimize the obstruction of currents, alteration of sediment transport, and the accumulation of drift logs and debris.
- (k) Replacement of existing boating facilities and private moorage structures. If any of the following are proposed during a five-year period, the project is considered a new facility and must comply with applicable standards for new facilities.
 - (1) Replacement of the entire facility.
 - (2) Replacement of 75 percent or more of support piles.
 - (3) Replacement of 75 percent or more of a boat launch, by area.
- (l) Modification or enlargement of existing boating facilities and private moorage structures.
 - (1) Applicants must demonstrate that there is a need for modification or enlargement due to increased or changed use or demand, safety concerns, or inadequate depth of water.
 - (2) Enlarged portions of existing boating facilities and private moorage structures must comply with applicable standards for new facilities.
- (m) Repair of existing boating facilities and private moorage structures.
 - (1) Repairs to existing legally established boating facilities and private moorage structures are permitted consistent with all other applicable codes and regulations.
 - (2) All repairs must utilize any material standards specified for new facilities.
- (n) Mitigation.
 - (1) Consistent with mitigation sequencing, new or expanded boating facilities and private moorage structures shall be designed to avoid and then minimize impacts, prior to pursuing mitigation.
 - (2) Mitigation proposals must provide impact mitigation at a minimum one-to-one ratio, by area, using one or more of the potential mitigation measures listed below. The ratio should be increased if the measure will take more than one year to provide equivalent function or if the measure does not have a high success rate. Applicants should consult with other permit agencies, such as Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and/or U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, for additional specific mitigation requirements.
 - (3) For all new or expanded boating facilities and private moorage structures, appropriate mitigation may include one or more of the following measures. In-kind measures are preferred over out-of-kind measures when consistent with the objective of compensating for adverse impacts to ecological function. Mitigation may not include measures that are already required by regulations.
 - a. Removal of any legal existing over- or in-water structures that are not the subject of the application.
 - b. Replacement of areas of existing solid over-water cover with grated material or use of grating on altered structures.
 - c. Planting of native vegetation along the shoreline immediately landward of the OHWM consisting of a density and composition of trees and shrubs typically found in undisturbed areas adjacent to the subject waterbody.
 - d. Removal or ecological improvement of hardened shoreline. Improvement may consist of softening the face and toe of the hardened shoreline with soil, gravel and/or cobbles, and/or incorporating vegetation or large woody debris.
 - e. Removal of man-made debris waterward of the OHWM.
 - f. Placement of large woody material if consistent with local, state and federal regulations.
 - g. Participation in an approved mitigation program.

(o) Submittal requirements.

- (1) For all new or expanded boating facilities and private moorage structures, applicants must provide:
 - a. An assessment of potential impacts to existing ecological processes, including but not limited to sediment transport, hydrologic patterns, and vegetation disturbance.
 - b. A mitigation plan for unavoidable adverse impacts to ecological functions or processes, if applicable.
- (2) For all new or expanded boating or private moorage facilities other than private residential moorage facilities and commercial or industrial structures, applicants must additionally provide an assessment of need and demand. At a minimum, the assessment shall include the following:
 - a. Existing approved facilities, or pending applications, within the service range of the proposed new facility and relevant characteristics of those facilities, such as level of use and condition.
 - b. The expected service population and relevant characteristics of the population, including any characteristics that justify specific design elements of the proposed facility.
 - c. An assessment of existing water-dependent uses in the vicinity and potential impacts to those uses, and a description of proposed mitigation measures, if applicable.

07.040 Breakwaters, Jetties, Groins, and Weirs

- (a) New, expanded or replacement structures shall only be allowed if it can be demonstrated that they will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions and that they support water-dependent uses, public access, shoreline stabilization, or other specific public purpose.
- (b) Breakwaters, jetties, and groins shall be limited to the minimum size necessary.
- (c) Breakwaters, jetties, and groins must be designed to protect critical areas, and shall implement mitigation sequencing to achieve no net loss of ecological functions.
- (d) Proposed designs for new or expanded structures shall be designed by qualified professionals, including both an engineer and a biologist.

07.050 Commercial Development

- (a) Commercial development in shoreline areas shall be designed, located, and constructed to achieve no net loss of ecological functions.
- (b) Preference shall be given to water-dependent commercial uses over non-water-dependent commercial uses. Water-related uses and water-enjoyment uses shall be given priority over Non water-oriented uses.
- (c) Commercial development that is not water-dependent shall not be allowed over water except where it is located within the same building and is accessory to a water-dependent use.
- (d) Non-water-oriented commercial development shall not be allowed unless:
 - (1) The use is part of a mixed-use project that includes water-dependent uses and provides a significant public benefit with respect to provision of public access or ecological restoration; or
 - (2) Navigability is severely limited at the proposed site, and the commercial use provides a significant public benefit with respect to provision of public access or ecological restoration.
- (e) In areas of the shoreline designated for commercial uses, non-water-oriented commercial uses may be allowed on sites physically separated from the shoreline by another property or publicright-of-way.
- (f) New commercial developments shall provide public access to the shorelines, subject to Section 15.05.050, Public Access.

07.060 Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal

- (a) As regulated in this SMP, dredging is the removal of bed material from below the OHWM or wetlands using other than unpowered, hand-held tools for one of the allowed dredging activities listed in Section

- (d) below. This Section is not intended to cover other removals of bed material waterward of the OHWM or wetlands that are incidental to the construction of an otherwise authorized use or modification (e.g. shoreline crossings, bulkhead replacements). These in-water substrate modifications should be conducted pursuant to applicable general and specific use and modification regulations of this SMP.
- (b) New development must be sited and designed to avoid or, if that is not possible, to minimize the need for new and maintenance dredging.
 - (c) Dredging and dredge material disposal must be done in a manner that avoids or minimizes significant ecological impacts. Impacts that cannot be avoided must be mitigated in a manner that assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
 - (d) Dredging may only be permitted for the following activities:
 - (1) Development of new or expanded wet moorages, harbors, ports or water-dependent industries of economic importance to the region only when there are no feasible alternatives or other alternatives may have a greater ecological impact.
 - (2) Development of essential public facilities when there are no feasible alternatives.
 - (3) Maintenance of irrigation reservoirs, drains, canals, or ditches for agricultural purposes.
 - (4) Restoration or enhancement of shoreline ecological functions and processes benefiting water quality and/or fish and wildlife habitat.
 - (5) Trenching to allow the installation of necessary underground utilities if no alternative, including boring, is feasible; impacts to fish and wildlife habitat are avoided to the maximum extent possible; and the installation does not alter the natural rate, extent, or opportunity of channel migration.
 - (6) Establishing, expanding, relocating or reconfiguring navigation channels where necessary to assure safe and efficient accommodation of existing navigational uses.
 - (7) Maintenance dredging of established navigation channels and basins when restricted to maintaining previously dredged and/or existing authorized location, depth, and width.
 - (e) Dredging for the primary purpose of obtaining fill material is prohibited, except when the material is necessary for the restoration of ecological functions. The site where the fill is to be placed must be located waterward of the OHWM. The project must be either associated with a Model Toxics Control Act or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act habitat restoration project or, if approved through a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit, any other significant habitat enhancement project.
 - (f) Dredge material disposal within shoreline jurisdiction is permitted under the following conditions:
 - (1) Shoreline ecological functions and processes will be preserved, restored or enhanced, including protection of surface and groundwater; and
 - (2) Erosion, sedimentation, floodwaters or runoff will not increase adverse impacts to shoreline ecological functions and processes or property.
 - (g) Dredge material disposal in open waters may be approved only when authorized by applicable state and federal agencies, and when one of the following conditions apply:
 - (1) Land disposal is infeasible, less consistent with this SMP, or prohibited by law.
 - (2) Nearshore disposal as part of a program to restore or enhance shoreline ecological functions and processes is not feasible.
 - (h) All applications for dredging or dredge material disposal shall include the following information, in addition to other application requirements:
 - (1) A description of the purpose of the proposed dredging activities.
 - (2) A site plan outlining the perimeter of the area proposed to be dredged and the dredge material disposal area, if applicable.

- (3) A description of proposed dredging operations, including, but not limited to:
 - a. The method of removal.
 - b. The length of time required.
 - c. The quantity of material to be initially removed.
 - d. The frequency and quantity of projected maintenance dredging.
- (4) A description of proposed dredge material disposal, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Size and capacity of disposal site.
 - b. Means of transportation to the disposal site.
 - c. Future use of the site and conformance with land use policies and regulations, if applicable.
- (5) Plans for the protection and restoration of the shoreline environment during and after dredging operations.
- (6) An assessment of potential impacts to ecological functions or processes from the proposal.
- (7) A mitigation plan to address identified impacts, if necessary.

07.070 Fill

- (a) All fills shall be located, designed and constructed to protect shoreline ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes, including channel migration. Any adverse impacts to shoreline ecological functions must be mitigated.
- (b) Fills in wetlands, floodways, channel migration zones or waterward of the OHWM may be allowed only when necessary to support one or more of the following:
 - (1) Water-dependent uses.
 - (2) Public access.
 - (3) Cleanup and disposal of contaminated sediments as part of an interagency environmental clean-up plan.
 - (4) Disposal of dredged material considered suitable under, and conducted in accordance with, the Dredged Material Management Program of the Department of Natural Resources and/or the Dredged Material Management Office of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
 - (5) Expansion or alteration of transportation facilities of statewide significance currently located on the shoreline where alternatives to fill are infeasible.
 - (6) Ecological restoration or enhancement when consistent with an approved restoration plan.
 - (7) Maintenance or installation of flood hazard reduction measures consistent with a comprehensive flood hazard management plan and this SMP.
 - (8) Protection of cultural resources when fill is the most feasible method to avoid continued degradation, disturbance or erosion of a site. Such fills must be coordinated with any affected Indian tribes.
- (c) Upland fills not located within wetlands, floodways, or channel migration zones may be allowed provided they are:
 - (1) Part of an allowed shoreline use or modification, or necessary to provide protection to cultural resources.
 - (2) Located outside applicable buffers, unless specifically allowed in buffers.
- (d) All fills, except fills for the purpose of shoreline restoration, must be designed:
 - (1) To be the minimum size necessary to implement the allowed use or modification.
 - (2) To fit the topography so that minimum alterations of natural conditions will be necessary.
 - (3) To not adversely affect hydrologic conditions or increase the risk of slope failure, if applicable.
- (e) Unless site characteristics dictate otherwise, fill material within surface waters or wetlands shall be sand,

gravel, rock, or other clean material with a minimum potential to degrade water quality and shall be obtained from a state-authorized source.

- (f) A temporary erosion and sediment control (TESC) plan, including BMPs, consistent with the latest edition of the Benton County Hydrology Manual or approved equivalent, shall be provided for all proposed fill activities. Disturbed areas shall be immediately protected from erosion using mulches, hydroseed, or similar methods, and revegetated, as applicable.

07.080 Industry

- (a) Over-water construction associated with industrial development that is not water-dependent shall not be permitted. Docks, piers, and boating facilities necessary for operation of ports and water-related or water-dependent uses shall be permitted in accordance with the provisions of this SMP.
- (b) Industrial and port development shall be located, designed, constructed, and operated in a manner that minimizes impacts to the shoreline, provides for no-net-loss of shoreline ecological function, and avoids unnecessary interference with shoreline use by adjacent property owners.
- (c) In the review of shoreline developments, the County shall preference first to water-dependent uses, then to water-oriented industrial uses.
- (d) Non-water-related industrial development shall be prohibited in the shoreline environment, except when:
 - (1) The use is part of a mixed-use project that includes water-dependent uses and provides a significant public benefit with respect to public access or ecological restoration; or
 - (2) Water navigability is severely limited, and the industrial use provides a significant public benefit with respect to public access or ecological restoration.
- (e) Non water-oriented industrial uses may be allowed in shoreline jurisdiction on sites that are physically separated from the shoreline by: 1) another property, 2) public right-of-way, or 3) a levee system maintained by or under license from the federal government, State of Washington, or a local government.
- (f) Industrial and port facilities proposed in areas of the shoreline already characterized by industrial or port development shall be given priority over such facilities proposed in shoreline areas not currently developed for industrial or port uses.
- (g) In the consideration of shoreline environment designation amendments, and in the review of shoreline permits, the County shall encourage Industrial uses and redevelopment to locate where environmental cleanup and restoration can be accomplished.
- (h) New industrial developments shall provide public access to the shorelines, subject to Section 15.05.050, Public Access; exceptions include safety or operational considerations, or other significant impediments as described in Section 15.05.050, Public Access.

07.090 In-Stream Structures

- (a) In-stream structures must provide for the protection and preservation of ecosystem-wide processes, ecological functions, and cultural resources, including, but not limited to, fish and fish passage, priority habitats and species, other wildlife and water resources, shoreline critical areas, hydrogeological processes, and natural scenic vistas.
- (b) New in-stream structures shall not interfere with existing water-dependent uses, including recreation.
- (c) In-water structures shall not be a safety hazard or obstruct water navigation.
- (d) In-stream structures shall be designed by a qualified professional.
- (e) Natural in-water features, such as snags, uprooted trees, or stumps, shall be left in place unless it can be demonstrated that they are actually causing bank erosion or higher flood stages or pose a hazard to navigation or human safety.

07.100 Mining

- (a) All mining proposals in shoreline jurisdiction must demonstrate that the mining is dependent on a shoreline location by evaluating geologic factors such as the distribution and availability of mineral resources in the County, as well as evaluation of need for such mineral resources, economic, transportation, and land use factors.
- (b) Mining proposals shall be consistent with the Washington Department of Natural Resources Surface Mine Reclamation standards (WAC 332-18, RCW 78.44).
- (c) New mining and associated activities shall be designed and conducted to comply with the regulations of the environment designation and the provisions applicable to critical areas where relevant. Meeting the no net loss of ecological functions standard shall include avoidance and mitigation of adverse impacts during the course of mining and reclamation.
- (d) Mining waterward of the OHWM of rivers and streams will not be allowed unless:
 - (1) Removal of specified quantities of sand and gravel or other materials at specific locations will not adversely affect the natural processes of gravel transportation for the system as a whole;
 - (2) the mining and any associated permitted activities will not have significant adverse impacts to habitat for priority species nor cause a net loss of ecological functions of the shoreline.
 - (3) Determinations required by the above requirements must be made consistent with RCW 90.58.100(1) and WAC 173-26-201(2)(a). Such evaluation of impacts should be appropriately integrated with relevant environmental review requirements of SEPA (RCW 43.21C) and the SEPA rules (WAC 197-11).
 - (4) In considering renewal, extension, or reauthorization of other mining operations waterward of the OHWM in locations where they have previously been conducted, the County must require compliance with this Subsection to the extent that no such review has previously been conducted. Where there has been prior review, the County must review previous determinations comparable to the requirements of this Section to assure compliance with this Subsection under current site conditions.
- (e) The proposed subsequent use of mined property must be consistent with the environment designation in which the property is located, and the reclamation of disturbed shoreline areas must provide appropriate ecological functions consistent with the setting.

07.110 Recreational Development

The following provisions apply to any development, construction, or use of land or water for recreational purposes within Shoreline jurisdiction, whether public or commercial.

- (a) Recreational development shall demonstrate achievement of no-net-loss of ecological functions.
- (b) Recreational activities must be compatible with existing or proposed uses in the area and must be consistent with County development standards regarding parking, traffic, noise, building location and size, and others.
- (c) The location, design, and operation of recreational facilities shall be consistent with the purpose of the environmental designation.
- (d) Recreational uses and facilities located within shoreline jurisdiction shall include features that relate to access, enjoyment and use of the water and shorelines of the state. Access to recreational areas should emphasize both consolidated park or open space areas and trail access.
- (e) Commercial components of the use that are not explicitly related to the recreational operation must also conform to the Commercial use standards of Section 15.07.050, Commercial Development.

07.120 Residential Development

- (a) Residential development shall be consistent with applicable environment designations and standards and comply with all applicable subdivision, critical area, and zoning regulations.

- (b) Residential development shall include facilities for water supply, wastewater, stormwater, solid waste, access, utilities and other support facilities in conformance with County standards and which do not result in harmful effects on the shoreline or waters.
- (c) Applications for new shoreline residences shall ensure that shoreline stabilization and flood control structures are not necessary to protect proposed residences.
- (d) New residential developments of five or more units shall provide public access to the shorelines, subject to Section 15.05.050, Public Access.
- (e) Parking areas shall be located upland of the uses they serve.
- (f) Residential development shall be sufficiently set back from steep slopes and shorelines vulnerable to erosion so that structural improvements, including bluff walls and other stabilization structures, are not required to protect such structures and uses.
- (g) Residential development shall be designed, configured and developed in a manner that assures that no net loss of ecological functions results from division of land at full build-out of all lots and throughout all phases of development.
- (h) Single-family residences are considered a priority use only when developed in a manner consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment.
- (i) In the Natural environment, subdivision of property is not allowed if it will require significant vegetation removal or shoreline modification that adversely impacts ecological functions.
- (j) New floating residences and over-water residential structures shall be prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction.

07.130 Shoreline Habitat and Natural Systems Enhancement Projects

- (a) Applicability. Shoreline habitat and natural systems enhancement projects include those activities proposed and conducted specifically for the purpose of establishing, restoring or enhancing habitat for priority species in shorelines. Such projects may include shoreline modification actions such as modification of vegetation, removal of non-native or invasive plants, shoreline stabilization, dredging, and filling, provided that the primary purpose of such actions is clearly restoration of the natural character and ecological functions of the shoreline. This Section does not apply to mitigation.
- (b) Shoreline restoration and enhancement projects must be designed using the best available scientific and technical information and implemented using best management practices.
- (c) All shoreline restoration and enhancement projects must protect the integrity of adjacent natural resources, including aquatic habitats and water quality.
- (d) Shoreline restoration and enhancement shall not significantly interfere with the normal public use of the navigable waters of the state without appropriate mitigation.
- (e) Long-term maintenance and monitoring shall be included in restoration or enhancement proposals.
- (f) Relief for OHWM shifts. Applicants seeking to perform restoration projects are advised to work with the County to assess whether and how the proposed project is allowed relief under RCW 90.58.580, in the event that the project shifts the OHWM landward.

07.140 Shoreline Stabilization

- (a) New development must be located and designed to avoid the need for future shoreline stabilization, if feasible.
 - (1) Land subdivisions must be designed based on a geotechnical report to assure that future development of the created lots will not require shore stabilization for reasonable development to occur.
 - (2) New development adjacent to steep slopes or bluffs must be set back sufficiently to ensure that shoreline stabilization is unlikely to be necessary during the life of the structure, as demonstrated in a geotechnical report.
- (b) New development that would require shoreline stabilization that would cause significant impacts to

adjacent or down-current properties and shoreline areas is prohibited.

- (c) All proposals for shoreline stabilization structures, both individually and cumulatively, must not result in a net loss of ecological functions, and must be the minimum size necessary. Soft approaches shall be used unless demonstrated not to be sufficient to protect primary structures, dwellings, and businesses.
- (d) New or enlarged structural shoreline stabilization measures shall not be allowed, except as follows
 - (1) To protect an existing primary structure, including residences, when conclusive evidence, documented by a geotechnical analysis, is provided that the structure is in danger from shoreline erosion caused by currents or waves. Normal sloughing, erosion of steep bluffs, or shoreline erosion itself, without a scientific or geotechnical analysis, is not demonstration of need. The geotechnical analysis must evaluate on-site drainage issues and address drainage problems away from the shoreline edge before considering hard or soft structural shoreline stabilization.
 - (2) In support of new Non water-dependent development, including single-family residences, when all of the conditions below apply:
 - a. The erosion is not being caused by upland conditions, such as loss of vegetation and drainage.
 - b. Nonstructural measures, such as placing the development farther from the shoreline, reducing the size or scope of the proposal, planting vegetation, or installing on-site drainage improvements, are not feasible or not sufficient.
 - c. The need to protect primary structures from damage due to erosion is demonstrated through a geotechnical report. The damage must be caused by natural processes, such as currents or waves.
 - (3) In support of water-dependent development when all of the conditions below apply:
 - a. The erosion is not being caused by upland conditions, such as loss of vegetation and drainage.
 - b. Nonstructural measures, such as planting vegetation, or installing on-site drainage improvements, are not feasible over time or sufficient.
 - c. The need to protect primary structures from damage due to erosion is demonstrated through a geotechnical report.
 - (4) To protect projects for the restoration of ecological functions or for hazardous substance remediation projects pursuant to Chapter 70.105D RCW when nonstructural measures, planting vegetation, or installing on-site drainage improvements, are not feasible or not sufficient to adequately address erosion causes or impacts.
- (e) New hard structural shoreline stabilization measures shall not be authorized, except when a report confirms that there is a significant possibility that a primary structure will be damaged within three years as a result of shoreline erosion in the absence of such hard structural shoreline stabilization measures, or where waiting until the need is immediate results in the loss of opportunity to use measures that would avoid impacts on ecological functions. Where the geotechnical report confirms a need to prevent potential damage to a primary structure, but the need is not as immediate as three years, that report may still be used to justify more immediate authorization to protect against erosion using soft measures.
- (f) An existing shoreline stabilization structure, hard or soft, may be replaced with a similar structure if there is a demonstrated need to protect principal uses or structures from erosion caused by currents or waves. While replacement of shoreline stabilization structures may meet the criteria for exemption from a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit, such activity is not exempt from the policies and regulations of this SMP.
 - (1) For purposes of this Section, "replacement" means the construction of new structure to perform a shoreline stabilization function of existing structure that can no longer adequately serve its purpose.

- Any additions to or increases in the size of existing shoreline stabilization measures shall be considered new structures.
- (2) Replacement shall be regulated as a new shoreline stabilization measure, except for the requirement to prepare a geotechnical analysis. A geotechnical analysis is not required for replacements of existing hard or soft structural shoreline stabilization with a similar or softer measure if the applicant demonstrates need to protect principal uses or structures from erosion caused by waves or other natural processes operating at or waterward of the OHWM.
 - (3) Replacement hard structural shoreline stabilization measures shall not encroach waterward of the OHWM or waterward of the existing shoreline stabilization measure unless the residence was occupied prior to January 1, 1992, and there are overriding safety or environmental concerns. In such cases, the replacement structure shall abut the existing shoreline stabilization structure. All other replacement hard structural shoreline stabilization measures shall be located at or landward of the existing shoreline stabilization structure.
 - (4) Hard and soft shoreline stabilization measures may allow some fill waterward of the OHWM to provide enhancement of shoreline ecological functions through creation of nearshore shallow-water habitat and shoreline rearing habitat for salmonids.
- (g) Repair and maintenance of existing shoreline stabilization measures may be allowed, subject to the following standards. While repair and maintenance of shoreline stabilization structures may meet the criteria for exemption from a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit, such activity is not exempt from the policies and regulations of this SMP.
- (1) Repair and maintenance includes modifications to an existing shoreline stabilization measure that are designed to ensure the continued function of the measure by preventing failure of any part. Limitations on repair and maintenance include:
 - (2) If within a three-year time period, more than 50 percent of the length of an existing structure is removed, including its footing or bottom course of rock, prior to placement of new stabilization materials, such work will not be considered repair and maintenance and shall be considered replacement. Work that only involves the removal of material above the footing or bottom course of rock does not constitute replacement.
 - (3) Any additions to or increases in the size of existing shoreline stabilization measures shall be considered new structures.
 - (4) The placement of a new shoreline stabilization structure landward of a failing shoreline stabilization structure shall be considered a new structure, not maintenance or repair.
 - (5) Areas of temporary disturbance within the shoreline buffer shall be expeditiously restored to their pre-project condition or better.
- (h) Structural shoreline stabilization design and construction standards:
- (1) Structural shoreline stabilization measures shall not extend waterward more than the minimum amount necessary to achieve effective stabilization, except for those elements that enhance shoreline ecological functions and minimize impacts.
 - (2) Stairs or other water access measures may be incorporated into shoreline stabilization measures but shall not extend waterward of the measure or the OHWM.
 - (3) All structural shoreline stabilization measures must minimize and mitigate any adverse impacts to ecological functions resulting from short-term construction activities. Techniques may include compliance with timing restrictions, use of best management practices, and stabilization of exposed soils following construction.
- (i) In addition to other submittal requirements, the applicant shall submit the following as part of a request to construct a new, enlarged, or replacement shoreline stabilization measure:

- (1) For a new or enlarged hard or soft structural shoreline stabilization measure, a geotechnical report prepared by a qualified professional with a Washington state engineering license. The report shall include the following:
 - a. An assessment of the necessity for structural shoreline stabilization by estimating time frames and rates of erosion and reporting on the urgency associated with the specific situation.
 - b. An assessment of the cause of erosion, looking at processes occurring both waterward and landward of the OHWM, and documentation of the OHWM field determination.
 - c. An assessment of alternative measures to shoreline stabilization.
 - d. Where structural shoreline stabilization is determined to be necessary, the assessment must evaluate the feasibility of using soft shoreline stabilization measures in lieu of hard structural shoreline stabilization measures.
 - e. Design recommendations for minimum sizing of hard structural or soft structural shoreline stabilization materials, including gravel and cobble beach substrates necessary to dissipate wave energy, eliminate scour, and provide long-term shoreline stability.
- (2) For replacements of existing hard structural shoreline stabilization measures with a similar measure, the applicant shall submit a written narrative providing a demonstration of need. The narrative must be prepared by a qualified professional. The demonstration of need shall consist of the following:
 - a. An assessment of the necessity for continued structural shoreline stabilization, considering site-specific conditions such as water depth, orientation of the shoreline, wave fetch or flow velocities, and location of the nearest primary structure.
 - b. An assessment of erosion potential resulting from the action of waves or other natural processes operating at or waterward of the OHWM in the absence of the hard-structural shoreline stabilization, and documentation of the OHWM field determination.
 - c. An assessment of alternative measures to shoreline stabilization.
 - d. An assessment of the feasibility of using soft shoreline stabilization measures in lieu of hard structural shoreline stabilization measures.
 - e. Design recommendations for minimizing impacts of any necessary hard structural shoreline stabilization.
 - f. The demonstration of need may be waived when an existing hard structural shoreline stabilization measure is proposed to be repaired or replaced using soft structural shoreline stabilization measures, resulting in significant restoration of shoreline ecological functions or processes.
- (3) For all structural shoreline stabilization measures, including soft structural shoreline stabilization, detailed construction plans, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - a. Plan and cross-section views of the existing and proposed shoreline configuration, showing accurate existing and proposed topography and OHWMs.
 - b. Detailed construction sequence and specifications for all materials, including gravels, cobbles, boulders, logs, and vegetation.

07.150 Transportation

This Section addresses all forms of transportation including systems for pedestrian, bicycle, and public transportation as well as roads, railroads, and parking.

- (a) Where other options are available and feasible, new roads, road expansions or railroads shall not be built within shoreline jurisdiction. If subdivisions are being proposed, new road placement shall be evaluated at the time of the plat application, or site development planning.

- (b) When railroads, roads or road expansions are unavoidable in the shoreline jurisdiction, proposed transportation facilities shall be planned, located, and designed to achieve the following:
 - (1) Mitigate possible adverse effects on unique or fragile shoreline features;
 - (2) Maintain no net loss of shoreline ecological functions;
 - (3) Avoid adverse impacts on existing or planned water-dependent uses; and
 - (4) Set back from the OHWM to the maximum feasible to allow for a usable shoreline area for vegetation conservation and planned shoreline uses unless infeasible, standards for ADA accessibility and functionality cannot be met, or the cost is disproportionate to the cost of the proposal.
 - (5) Be consistent with critical areas regulations in Section 15.06.
- (c) Public roads within shoreline jurisdiction shall, where possible, provide and maintain visual access to scenic vistas. Visual access may include, but is not limited to, turn-outs, rest areas, and picnic areas.
- (d) Shoreline crossings and culverts shall be designed to mitigate impact to riparian and aquatic habitat and shall allow for fish passage. Crossings shall occur as near to perpendicular with the waterbody as possible, unless an alternate path would minimize disturbance of native vegetation or result in avoidance of other critical areas such as wetlands.
- (e) Crossings that are to be used solely for access to private property shall be designed, located, and constructed to provide access to more than one lot or parcel of property, where feasible, to minimize the number of crossings.
- (f) The provisions of Section 15.06.050, Frequently Flooded Areas shall be addressed in the design of transportation facilities.
- (g) Transportation proposals shall be consistent with circulation system plans for roads, railroads, pedestrian, bicycle, and public transportation. The SMP Administrator shall condition transportation proposals to be consistent with applicable county, city, state, or federal plans and construction standards, as appropriate.
- (h) Public access standards shall be met in Section 15.05.050.
- (i) Parking facilities in shorelines are not a preferred use and shall be allowed only as necessary to support an authorized use and when minimizing environmental and visual impacts. For the purposes of this Section, authorized means a use or activity included in the use matrix in Section 15.04.110 and associated definitions in Section 15.02. New or expanded parking areas shall:
 - (1) Be sited outside of shoreline jurisdiction unless no feasible alternative location exists, for example where a property does not extend outside jurisdiction;
 - (2) Be planted or landscaped to provide a visual and noise buffer for adjoining dissimilar uses or scenic areas; and
 - (3) Observe critical area and shoreline buffers.
- (j) If an applicant proposes to pave a roadway or parking area, the proposal shall comply with applicable water quality, stormwater, landscaping, and other applicable requirements of this SMP and the Benton County Code.
- (k) A driveway for an individual single-family home is considered a residential appurtenance and is considered part of the primary use, and subject to Section 15.07.120. Private driveways or private roads serving more than one home are subject to the standards of Section 15.07.150.
- (l) When a new or expanded roadway or new or expanded parking facility is proposed, the County may condition the proposal to provide a maintenance plan that promotes best management practices to achieve no-net-loss of shoreline ecological function. For example, maintenance standards may include restrictions on the use of herbicides, hazardous substances, sealants or other liquid oily substances, or de-icing practices adjacent to shoreline buffers or critical areas and their buffers.

- (m) [Pursuant to RCW 47.01.485, the County permit review time shall have a target of 90 days for projects on a state highway.](#)

07.160 Utilities

- (a) Utility projects within shoreline jurisdiction shall be designed to achieve no-net-loss of shoreline ecological function.
- (b) If an underwater location is necessary, the design, installation and operation of utilities shall minimize adverse ecological impacts.
- (c) Where utility corridors must cross shoreline jurisdiction, such crossings shall be designed to take the shortest, most direct route feasible, unless such a route would result in loss of ecological function, disrupt public access to the shoreline, or obstruct visual access to the shoreline.
- (d) Utility projects within shoreline jurisdiction shall be located within existing transportation or utility corridors or existing cleared areas to the greatest extent feasible.
- (e) Utility production and processing facilities, such as power plants and sewage treatment plants, or parts of those facilities that are non-water-oriented shall not be allowed in shoreline areas unless it can be demonstrated that no other feasible option is available.
- (f) Upon completion of utility system installation, and any maintenance project, the disturbed area shall be regraded to compatibility with the natural terrain and replanted to prevent erosion and provide appropriate vegetative cover.
- (g) The presence of existing utilities shall not justify more intense development. Rather the development shall be consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, zoning code, and this SMP, and shall be supported by adequate utilities.

Section 15.08 Nonconforming Uses, Structures, and Lots

Nonconforming uses or developments are shoreline uses or development which were lawfully constructed or established prior to the effective date of this Master Program, or approved amendments to the Master Program, but which do not conform to present regulations or standards of the Master Program. The intent of this chapter is to provide regulations regarding nonconforming uses, structures, and lots as well as to establish residences as pre-existing legal uses, conforming to the Master Program as allowed by the SMA.

08.010 Non-Conforming Uses and Structures: Continuance and Discontinuance

- (a) Lots, structures, and uses that were legally established prior to adoption of this Master Program or that were in compliance with the Master Program at the time of initial establishment but, due to revision or amendment of the Master Program, have become noncompliant are nonconforming uses that may continue, without regard to ownership changes, so long as in compliance with this chapter. A use of property that is unlawful under other local, state, or federal laws shall not be deemed a nonconforming use.
- (b) Any use which existed prior to adoption of this Master Program or applicability of this Master Program to the property and which is not listed as a permitted use shall be considered a nonconforming use.
- (c) If a nonconforming use is replaced by a conforming use for any length of time, use of the property shall not revert to the nonconforming use. The mere presence of a structure shall not constitute the continuance of a nonconforming use. When a nonconforming use is discontinued for a period of one (1) year or more without replacement by a conforming use, legal conforming use status expires and further use of the structure or lot must be in compliance with the provisions of this Master Program.

08.020 Alteration, Expansion, or Restoration of Nonconforming Uses and Structures

Alteration, expansion, or restoration of nonconforming structures and uses are not allowed except as set forth in this Subsection.

- (a) Single Family Dwelling Units. See [BCC Section 15.08.040](#).
- (b) Other Structures or Uses – Legally Required Alterations or Expansions. Alteration or expansion of a nonconforming use or structure is allowed if necessary, to accommodate handicapped accessibility requirements, fire code, or other life safety related requirements mandated by local, state, or federal law.
- (c) Other Structures or Uses – Dimensional Nonconformities. Legally established structures used for a conforming use but which are nonconforming with regard to setbacks, buffers, or yards; area; bulk; height or density may be maintained and repaired and may be enlarged or expanded, provided that said enlargement does not increase the extent of nonconformity by further encroaching upon or extending into areas where construction or use would not be allowed for new development or uses. For example, vertical, lateral or anterior expansions that do not intrude into a required buffer and which are consistent with the maximum height of this SMP and underlying zoning may be allowed.
- (d) Structures Subject to Variances. A structure for which a variance has been issued shall be considered a legal nonconforming structure, and the requirements of this Section shall apply as they apply to pre-existing nonconformities.
- (e) Movement of a Structure. A nonconforming structure which is moved any distance must be brought into conformance with this Title and the SMA.
- (f) Other Non-conforming Structures. Except as set forth above, nonconforming structures may not be altered or expanded. Such other structures may be restored if less than fifty (50) percent of the gross floor area in flood hazard areas and seventy-five (75) percent of the gross floor area in the remainder of shoreline jurisdiction has been unintentionally destroyed or damaged if:
 - (1) All other requirements of the Benton County Code and the Benton-Franklin Health District are satisfied, including but not limited to setback requirements;
 - (2) The nonconforming use resumes within such structure within one (1) year from the destroying or damaging event; and
 - (3) The restoration of the nonconforming structure does not increase the gross floor area that existed immediately prior to the destruction or damaging event. Structures intentionally destroyed or damaged and those with fifty (50) percent or more of the gross floor area in flood hazard areas and seventy-five (75) percent or more of their gross floor area in the remainder of shoreline jurisdiction unintentionally destroyed or damaged may not be restored or reconstructed.

08.030 Nonconforming Lots

- (a) In any district, any permitted use or structure may be erected on any existing lot or parcel. This provision shall apply even though such lot fails to meet the minimum dimensional requirements of this Title, provided that such structure is allowed within the shoreline environment and all uses of the nonconforming lot shall comply with all other provisions this Master Program, underlying zoning requirements including setbacks, dimensional standards, and lot coverage requirements and the Benton- Franklin Health District .
- (b) Structures and customary accessory buildings on non-conforming lots shall be set back from the OHWM to the greatest extent feasible. Development proposed inside required buffers shall go through mitigation sequencing and shall require a mitigation plan.

08.040 Pre-Existing Legal Residential Uses – Conforming Legal Residential Structures

Notwithstanding Sections 15.08.010 to 15.08.030, the following shall apply only to pre-existing legal residential structures constructed prior to the effective date of this Title.

- (a) Residential structures and appurtenant structures that were legally established and are used for a conforming use, but that do not meet standards for the following shall be considered a conforming structure: Setback, buffers, or yards; area; bulk; height; or density.

- (b) The County shall allow redevelopment, expansion, or change with the class of occupancy, of the residential structure if it is consistent with the SMP, including requirements for no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. For example, vertical, lateral or anterior expansions that do not intrude farther into a required buffer and which are consistent with the maximum height allowed by this SMP and underlying zoning may be allowed.
- (c) Pre-existing legal residential structures that are damaged or destroyed may be replaced to their prior size and location subject to:
 - (1) all other requirements of the Benton County Code and the Benton-Franklin Health District are satisfied; and
 - (2) to restore a damaged dwelling unit, a complete application for a building permit shall be submitted within one (1) year of the act causing damage or destruction to the dwelling unit.
- (d) For purposes of this Section, “appurtenant structures” means garages, sheds, and other legally established structures. “Appurtenant structures” does not include bulkheads and other shoreline modifications or over-water structures.
- (e) Nothing in this Section shall:
 - (1) Restrict the ability of this Title to limit development, expansion, or replacement of over-water structures located in hazardous areas, such as floodplains and geologically hazardous areas; or
 - (2) Affect the application of other federal, state, or county requirements to residential structures.

Section 15.09 Administration, Permits, and Enforcement

09.010 Purpose

- (a) RCW 90.58.140(3) requires local governments to establish a Program, consistent with the rules adopted by the Washington Department of Ecology, for the administration and enforcement of shoreline development. Also, in accordance with RCW 90.58.050, Benton County has the primary responsibility for administering the regulatory program and Ecology acts primarily in a supportive and review capacity.
- (b) Pursuant to the Shoreline Management Act at RCW 90.58.080 and the Growth Management Act at RCW 36.70A.130, local governments must periodically review, and where appropriate, amend their SMP. Consistent with state laws, Benton County has established a process to evaluate and consider amendments to this SMP.
- (c) The application of this SMP is intended to be consistent with constitutional and other legal limitations on the regulation of private property. The SMP Administrator must give adequate consideration to mitigation measures, dimensional variances, and other possible methods to prevent undue or unreasonable hardships upon property owners.

09.020 Administrative Responsibilities

- (a) The County shall designate a SMP Administrator. The SMP Administrator in Benton County is the Planning Manager and shall have overall administrative responsibility of this SMP. The SMP Administrator or his/her designee is hereby vested with the authority to:
 - (1) Administrate this SMP.
 - (2) Grant or deny exemptions from Shoreline Substantial Development Permit requirements of this SMP.
 - (3) To grant, grant with conditions, or deny Shoreline Substantial Development Permits and time extensions to shoreline permits and their revisions.
 - (4) Make field inspections as needed and prepare or require reports on shoreline permit applications.
 - (5) Make written recommendations to the Hearings Examiner, Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners as appropriate. The SMP Administrator shall make recommendations to the Hearings

- Examiner regarding Shoreline Variances and Shoreline Conditional Use Permits. The SMP Administrator shall recommend SMP amendments to the Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners.
- (6) Advise interested persons and prospective applicants as to the administrative procedures and related components of this SMP.
 - (7) Determine and collect fees for all necessary permits as provided in County ordinances or resolutions. The determination of which fees are required shall be established by resolution of the Board of County Commissioners.
 - (8) Make administrative decisions and interpretations of the policies and regulations of this SMP and the SMA.
- (b) The responsible SEPA official or his/her designee is authorized to conduct environmental review of all use and development activities subject to this SMP, pursuant to WAC 197-11 and RCW 43.21C. The responsible official is designated in accordance with the Benton County Code.
 - (c) The Hearing Examiner is authorized to:
 - (1) Grant or deny Shoreline Variances, and Shoreline Conditional Use Permits under this SMP.
 - (2) Decide on appeals of administrative decisions issued by the Administrator of this SMP.
 - (d) The Planning Commission is authorized to:
 - (1) Review the SMP as part of regular SMP updates required by RCW 90.58.080 as a major element of each County's planning and regulatory program and make recommendations for amendments thereof to the Board of County Commissioners.
 - (e) The Board of County Commissioners is vested with authority to:
 - (1) Initiate an amendment to this SMP according to the procedures prescribed in WAC 173-26-100.
 - (2) Adopt all amendments to this SMP, after consideration of the recommendation of the planning commission, where established. Amendments shall become effective 14 days from the date of the Washington Department of Ecology's written notice of final approval.

09.030 Noticing Requirements

- (a) Applicants shall follow the noticing requirements of the County. At a minimum, the County shall provide notice in accordance with WAC 173-27-110, and shall be consistent with noticing requirements in BCC Title 17.
- (b) Per WAC 173-27-120 the County shall comply with special procedures (public notice timelines, appeal periods, etc.) for limited utility extensions and bulkheads.

09.040 Exemption from Permit Requirements

- (a) An exemption from the Shoreline Substantial Development Permit process is not an exemption from compliance with the SMA or this SMP, or from any other regulatory requirements. To be authorized, all uses, and development must be consistent with the policies, requirements and procedures of this SMP and the SMA.
- (b) Exemptions shall be construed narrowly. Only those developments that meet the precise terms of one or more of the listed exemptions may be granted exemption from the Shoreline Substantial Development Permit process.
- (c) A development or use that is listed as a conditional use pursuant to this SMP or is an unlisted use, must obtain a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit even though the development or use does not require a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit. When a development or use is proposed that does not comply with the bulk, dimensional and performance standards of this SMP, such development or use can only be authorized by approval of a Shoreline Variance.

- (d) The burden of proof that a development or use is exempt from the permit process is on the applicant.
- (e) If any part of a proposed development is not eligible for exemption, then a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit is required for the entire proposed development project.
- (f) The County may attach conditions to the approval of exempted developments and/or uses as necessary to assure consistency of the project with the SMA and this SMP. Additionally, nothing shall interfere with the County's ability to require compliance with all other applicable laws and plans.
- (g) The County shall exempt the shoreline developments listed in WAC 173-27-040 and RCW 90.58.030 (3)(e), 90.58.140(9), 90.58.147, 90.58.355 and 90.58.515, as amended, or successor laws, from the Shoreline Substantial Development Permit requirement.
- (h) Letters of exemption shall be issued by the County when a development application is determined to meet the listed criteria for an exemption and when a letter of exemption is required by the provisions of WAC 173-27-050, as amended.

09.050 Interpretations

- (a) The SMP Administrator shall provide administrative interpretations in accordance with the SMA, the SMP Guidelines and with BCC 17.10.170.
- (b) The application of this SMP is intended to be consistent with constitutional and other legal limitations on the regulation of private property. The SMP Administrator shall give adequate consideration to mitigation measures, dimensional variances, and other possible methods to prevent undue or unreasonable hardships upon property owners.
- (c) The County shall consult with Ecology to ensure that any formal written interpretations are consistent with the purpose and intent of chapter 90.58 RCW and 173-26 WAC.

09.060 Permit Applications

- (a) Shoreline applications are classified as follows:
 - (1) Substantial Development Permit
 - (2) Conditional Use Permit
 - (3) Variance
 - (4) Shoreline Exemption
- (b) Permits for Substantial Development, Shoreline Conditional use, or Shoreline Variance shall be in a form prescribed and used by the County including a combined permit application form. Such forms will be supplied by the County.
- (c) The contents of permit applications must be consistent with WAC 173-27-180 and Benton County Code.
- (d) Where this SMP requires more information than the minimum required by WAC 173-27-180, the SMP Administrator may vary or waive requirements beyond WAC 173-27-180 if the information is unnecessary to process the application.
- (e) The SMP Administrator may require additional specific information if required by the nature of the proposal or the presence of sensitive ecological features, to ensure compliance with other local requirements or the provisions of this SMP.
- (f) At the time of application, the applicant must pay the application fee.

09.070 Procedures applicable to all shoreline permits

- (a) All applications for a permit or a permit revision shall be submitted by the County to Ecology upon a final decision by the County. Final decision by the County shall mean the order or ruling, whether it be an approval or denial, which is established after all local administrative appeals related to the permit have concluded or the opportunity to initiate such appeals have lapsed. Filing shall occur consistent with WAC 173-27-130.
- (b) As set forth in WAC 173-27-190, each Substantial Development Permit, Conditional Use Permit, or

Variance, issued by the County must contain a provision that construction pursuant to the permit may not begin and is not authorized until twenty-one days from the date of filing as defined in RCW 90.58.140(6) and WAC 173-27-130, or until all review proceedings initiated within twenty-one days from the date of such filing have terminated; except as provided in RCW 90.58.140(5)(a) and (b).

- (c) A permit data sheet shall be submitted to Ecology with each shoreline permit. The permit data sheet form shall be consistent with WAC 173-27-990.
- (d) After the County's approval of a conditional use or variance permit, the County shall submit the permit to the department for Ecology's approval, approval with conditions, or denial. Ecology shall render and transmit to the County and the applicant its final decision approving, approving with conditions, or disapproving the permit within thirty days of the date of submittal by the County pursuant to WAC 173-27-110.
- (e) Ecology shall review the complete file submitted by the County on conditional use and variance permits and any other information submitted or available that is relevant to the application. Ecology shall base its determination to approve, approve with conditions or deny a conditional use permit or variance on consistency with the policy and provisions of the SMA and, except as provided in WAC 173-27-210, the criteria in WAC 173-27-160 and 173-27-170.
- (f) The County shall provide appropriate notification of the Ecology's final decision to those interested persons having requested notification from local government pursuant to WAC 173-27-130.
- (g) All requests for review of any final permit decisions under chapter 90.58 RCW and chapter 173-27 WAC are governed by the procedures established in RCW 90.58.180 and chapter 461-08 WAC, the rules of practice and procedure of the Shorelines Hearings Board.
- (h) Except as specified in 09.110, Revisions to Permits, the applicant must comply with all aspects of an approval granted under this Chapter, including conditions and restrictions.
- (i) Construction and activities authorized by a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit are subject to the time limitations of WAC 173-27-090.

09.080 Procedures applicable to Substantial Development Permits

- (a) A Shoreline Substantial Development Permit shall be required for all development of shorelines, unless the proposal is specifically exempt per Section 09.040 or is not subject to the SMP per Section 01.030, Applicability.
- (b) Shoreline Substantial Development permits shall be processed consistent with this SMP and BCC Chapter 17.10, Permit Review Process.
- (c) A substantial development permit shall be granted only when the development proposed is consistent with:
 - (1) The policies and procedures of the SMA;
 - (2) The provisions of WAC 173-27; and
 - (3) This SMP.
- (d) The County may attach conditions to the approval of permits as necessary to assure consistency of the project with the SMA and this SMP.
- (e) Nothing shall interfere with the County's ability to require compliance with all other applicable plans and laws.

09.090 Procedures Applicable to Shoreline Conditional Use Permits

- (a) Uses specifically classified or set forth in this SMP as conditional uses shall be subject to review and condition by the Hearing Examiner and by Ecology. Shoreline Conditional Use Applications shall be processed consistent with this SMP and BCC Chapter 17.10, Permit Review Process.
- (b) Other uses which are not classified or listed or set forth in this SMP may be authorized as conditional uses provided the applicant can demonstrate consistency with the requirements of this Section and the

requirements for conditional uses contained in this SMP.

- (c) Uses which are specifically prohibited by this SMP may not be authorized as a conditional use.
- (d) Uses which are classified or set forth in this SMP as conditional uses may be authorized provided that the applicant demonstrates all of the following:
 - (1) That the proposed use is consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and the SMP;
 - (2) That the proposed use will not interfere with the normal public use of public shorelines;
 - (3) That the proposed use of the site and design of the project is compatible with other authorized uses within the area and with uses planned for the area under the comprehensive plan and SMP;
 - (4) That the proposed use will cause no significant adverse effects to the shoreline environment in which it is to be located; and
 - (5) That the public interest suffers no substantial detrimental effect.
- (e) In the granting of all conditional use permits, consideration shall be given to the cumulative impact of additional requests for like actions in the area. For example, if conditional use permits were granted for other developments in the area where similar circumstances exist, the total of the conditional uses shall also remain consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and shall not produce substantial adverse effects to the shoreline environment.

09.100 Procedures Applicable to Shoreline Variances

- (a) The purpose of a variance is to grant relief to specific bulk or dimensional requirements set forth in this SMP where there are extraordinary or unique circumstances relating to the property such that the strict implementation of this SMP would impose unnecessary hardships on the applicant or thwart the policies set forth in RCW 90.58.020. Variances from the use regulations of the SMP are prohibited. Shoreline Variance Applications shall be processed consistent with this SMP and BCC Chapter 17.10, Permit Review Process.
- (b) Variance permits should be granted in circumstances where denial of the permit would conflict with the goals of the SMA as listed in RCW 90.58.020. In all instances the applicant must demonstrate that extraordinary circumstances shall be shown, and the public interest shall suffer no substantial detrimental effect.
- (c) Variance permits for development and/or uses that will be located landward of the OHWM, as defined in RCW 90.58.030 (2)(b), and/or landward of any wetland as defined in RCW 90.58.030 (2)(h), may be authorized provided the applicant can demonstrate all of the following:
 - (1) That the strict application of the bulk, dimensional or performance standards set forth in the SMP precludes, or significantly interferes with, reasonable use of the property;
 - (2) That the hardship described in criterion (1) of this Subsection is specifically related to the property, and is the result of unique conditions such as irregular lot shape, size, or natural features and the application of the SMP, and not, for example, from deed restrictions or the applicant's own actions;
 - (3) That the design of the project is compatible with other authorized uses within the area and with uses planned for the area under the comprehensive plan and SMP and will not cause adverse impacts to the shoreline environment;
 - (4) That the variance will not constitute a grant of special privilege not enjoyed by the other properties in the area;
 - (5) That the variance requested is the minimum necessary to afford relief; and
 - (6) That the public interest will suffer no substantial detrimental effect.
- (d) Variance permits for development and/or uses that will be located waterward of the OHWM, as defined in RCW 90.58.030 (2)(b), or within any wetland as defined in RCW 90.58.030 (2)(h), may be authorized provided the applicant can demonstrate all of the following:

- (1) That the strict application of the bulk, dimensional or performance standards set forth in the applicable SMP precludes all reasonable use of the property;
 - (2) That the proposal is consistent with the criteria established under Subsection (c); and
 - (3) That the public rights of navigation and use of the shorelines will not be adversely affected.
- (e) In the granting of all variance permits, consideration shall be given to the cumulative impact of additional requests for like actions in the area. For example, if variances were granted to other developments and/or uses in the area where similar circumstances exist the total of the variances shall also remain consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and shall not cause substantial adverse effects to the shoreline environment.

09.110 Revisions to Permits

- (a) When an applicant seeks to revise a shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, or variance, whether such permit or variance was granted under this SMP, or under the prior effective SMP the SMP Administrator shall request from the applicant detailed plans and text describing the proposed changes to the project. If the Administrative Official determines that the proposed changes are within the general scope and intent of the original substantial development permit, conditional use permit or variance, as the case may be, the revision may be approved by the Shoreline Administrator, without the need for the applicant to file a new Substantial Development Permit application, provided the development is consistent with the SMA, WAC 173-27-100 (Revisions to Permits), and the SMP.
- (b) Within the “scope and intent” of the original permit as referenced in Subsection (a) means the following:
 - (1) No additional over-water construction will be involved, except that pier, dock, or float construction may be increased by 500 square feet or 10 percent from the provisions of the original permit, whichever is less.
 - (2) Lot coverage and height may be increased a maximum of 10 percent from the provisions of the original permit.
 - (3) Additional or revised landscaping is consistent with the conditions attached to the original permit and with the SMP.
 - (4) The use authorized pursuant to the original permit is not changed.
 - (5) No adverse environmental impact will be caused by the project revision.
 - (6) The revised permit shall not authorize development to exceed height, lot coverage, setback, or any other requirements of the SMP except as authorized under a variance granted as the original permit or a part thereof.
- (c) If the revision, or the sum of the revision and any previously approved revisions, will violate the criteria specified above, the SMP Administrator shall require the applicant to apply for a new shoreline substantial development or conditional use permit or variance, as appropriate, in the manner provided for herein.
- (d) If proposed revisions to the original permit involve a conditional use or variance, the County shall submit the proposed revision to Ecology for review. Ecology shall respond with its final decision on the proposed revision request within 15 days of the date of receipt by Ecology per WAC 173-27-100(6).

09.120 Enforcement Authority

The County shall apply 173-27 WAC Part II, Shoreline Management Act Enforcement, to enforce the provisions of this SMP.

09.130 Amendments to SMP

- (a) This SMP carries out the policies of the Shoreline Management Act for Benton County. It shall be reviewed and amended as appropriate in accordance with the review periods required in the SMA and in order to:

- (1) Assure that this SMP complies with applicable law and guidelines in effect at the time of the review; and
- (2) Assure consistency of this SMP with the County's Comprehensive Plan and development regulations adopted under chapter 36.70A RCW, if applicable, and other local requirements.
- (b) This SMP and all amendments thereto shall become effective 14 days from the date of the Washington Department of Ecology's written notice of final approval.
- (c) The SMP may be amended annually or more frequently as needed pursuant to the Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A.130(2)(a)(iii).
- (d) Future amendments to this SMP may be initiated by any of the following: The Benton County Shoreline Administrator, Planning Commission, or Board of County Commissioners. The following persons may petition the Planning Commission and Benton County Commissioners to support an amendment:
 - (1) Any owner of property in unincorporated Benton County, when such request is for an amendment that would affect only that person's property;
 - (2) Any resident of unincorporated Benton County supported by ten (10) signatures of persons also residing in unincorporated Benton County; and
 - (3) Any local governmental or non-governmental agency operating in Benton County.
- (e) Applications for SMP amendments shall specify the changes requested and any and all reasons therefore. Applications shall be made on forms specified by the County. Such applications shall contain information specified in the County's procedures for Comprehensive Plan and development regulation amendments pursuant to RCW 36.70A, the Growth Management Act, and information necessary to meet minimum public review procedures in Subsection C.
- (f) The County shall accomplish the amendments in accordance with the procedures of the Shoreline Management Act, Growth Management Act, and implementing rules including, but not limited to, RCW 90.58.080, WAC 173-26-100, RCW 36.70A.106 and 130, and Part Six, Chapter 365-196 WAC.
- (g) Proposals for amendment of this SMP shall be heard by the Planning Commission in an open record hearing. After conducting a hearing and evaluating testimony regarding the application, including a recommendation from the Shoreline Administrator, the Planning Commission shall submit its recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners, who shall approve or deny the proposed amendment following their open record hearing.
- (h) Prior to approval, the County shall make a finding that the amendment would accomplish #1 or #2, and must accomplish #3:
 - (1) The proposed amendment would make this Program more consistent with the SMA and/or any applicable Department of Ecology SMP Guidelines; or
 - (2) The proposed amendment would make this Program more equitable in its application to persons or property due to changed conditions in an area; and
 - (3) This Program and any future amendment hereto shall ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and processes on a programmatic basis in accordance with the baseline functions present as of April 2013 (the *Final Shoreline Analysis Report*).
- (i) After approval or disapproval of a SMP amendment by the Department of Ecology as provided in RCW 90.58.090, the County shall publish a notice that the SMP amendment has been approved or disapproved by Ecology pursuant to the notice publication requirements of RCW 36.70A.290.

09.140 Monitoring

- (a) The County will track all shoreline permits and exemption activities to evaluate whether the SMP is achieving no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. Activities to be tracked using the County's permit system include development, conservation, restoration and mitigation, such as:

- (1) New shoreline development
 - (2) Shoreline Variances and the nature of the variance
 - (3) Compliance issues
 - (4) Net changes in impervious surface areas, including associated stormwater management
 - (5) Net changes in fill or armoring
 - (6) Net change in linear feet of flood hazard structures
 - (7) Net changes in vegetation (area, character)
- (b) Using the information collected in Subsection (a) a no net loss report shall be prepared every eight years as part of the County's SMP evaluation or Comprehensive Plan Amendment process. Should the no net loss report show degradation of the baseline condition documented in the County's Shoreline Analysis Report, changes to the SMP and/or Shoreline Restoration Plan shall be proposed at the time of the eight-year update to prevent further degradation and address the loss in ecological functions.

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE
BENTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION**

RE: In the Matter of County Planning:
Shoreline Master Plan-Periodic Update

RECOMMENDATION, FINDINGS OF FACT
AND CONCLUSIONS
Planning File: SMP Update 2019-2021

RECOMMENDATION

An amendment to the Benton County Shoreline Master Program- Period Update 2019-2021 be APPROVED subject to the thirteen (13) findings of fact.

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the legal notification for the joint Washington State Department of Ecology and Benton County open record public hearing was given pursuant to RCW 36.70.590 on March 24, 2021;

WHEREAS, a virtual public hearing was held on April 13, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. The Planning Commission was available to receive public testimony, exhibits, and answer questions in the public portion of the hearing with the public being able to attend and comment through telephonic access to the meeting. The meeting was also broadcast through video access to allow the public to view the meeting; and,

WHEREAS, after receiving public input and comments on the amendments, the public hearing was continued to May 11, 2021. The public was able to submit written comments up until April 23, 2021. The continuation of the hearing provides time for staff and the Planning Commission to review the public input and comments provided; and

WHEREAS, the following members attended the meeting, including, Lloyd Coughlin, Brian Skeels, Marjorie Kaspar, Tammy McKeirnan, Leo Perales, and Martin Sheeran with one member absent; and,

WHEREAS, all those who testified and those public hearing exhibits that were received into evidence are identified in the minutes for the hearing on April 13, 2021 and the hearing on May 11, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission at the continued open record public hearing on May 11, 2021 considered the evidence submitted and voted to recommend approval with the findings of fact as outlined in the staff memo dated May 3, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission is entering its written findings of fact, conclusions and recommendations concerning this matter, and is forwarding the same to the Board

of County Commissioners and,

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Shoreline Management Act (SMA), RCW 90.58, requires mandatory "periodic updates" to local shoreline master programs every eight (8) years. Every Washington city and county must periodically review and, if needed, revise its Shoreline Master Program (SMP) to ensure compliance with the SMA.
2. The last update of the Benton County SMP was completed and approved by the Washington State Department of Ecology in 2015. Per RCW, the Benton County SMP is required to be updated by June 2021.
3. Benton County is proposing changes to the Shorelines Master Plan to ensure the SMP is consistent with changes in state law, changes in other county plans and regulations, and other changed local circumstances.
4. The proposed changes focus on the following:
 - a. Section 15.02 Definitions. Delete the definition for Board of Adjust and add text regarding Hearing Examiner
~~"Board of Adjustment" means the County board which hears applications for variances, conditional use permits, and other quasi-judicial matters assigned to it by the legislative body. "Hearings Examiner" means an examiner appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, authorized to hear and make decisions on variances, land use permits, and certain appeals.~~ Appeals may be taken to the Hearings Examiner by any person aggrieved, or by any officer, department, board or bureau of the county affected by appealable decisions of the director.
 - b. Section 15.02 Definitions. Add text to clarify the definition of development regarding dismantling or removing structures.
Dismantling or removing structures if there is no other associated development or re-development.
 - c. Section 15.02 Definitions. Amend the definition for "Substantial development" by updating the \$ amount for exceeding the fair market value
"Substantial development" shall mean any development of which the total cost or fair market value exceeds ~~six thousand, four hundred, and sixteen dollars~~ seven thousand, and forty seven dollars, or any development which materially interferes with the normal public use of the water or shorelines of the state.
 - d. Section 15.04.120 Development Standards. Add text to Section 15.04.120 regarding "Developments not required to obtain shoreline permits or local

reviews”.

04.120 Developments Not Required to Obtain Shoreline Permits or Local Reviews

- (a) Requirements to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other review to implement the Shoreline Management Act do not apply to the following:
 - (1) Remedial actions. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person conducting a remedial action at a facility pursuant to a consent decree, order, or agreed order issued pursuant to chapter 70.105D RCW, or to the department of ecology when it conducts a remedial action under chapter 70.105D RCW.
 - (2) Boatyard improvements to meet NPDES permit requirements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person installing site improvements for storm water treatment in an existing boatyard facility to meet requirements of a national pollutant discharge elimination system storm water general permit
 - (3) WSDOT facility maintenance and safety improvements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.356, Washington State Department of Transportation projects and activities meeting the conditions of RCW 90.58.356 are not required to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other local review.
 - (4) Projects consistent with an environmental excellence program agreement pursuant to RCW 90.58.045.
 - (5) Projects authorized through the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council process, pursuant to chapter 80.50 RCW.
- e. Section 15.06.010(2b) Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction add text
(2b) identification and characterization of the critical area of all critical areas, wetlands, water bodies, and buffers adjacent to the proposed project area, where ‘adjacent’ is defined as “immediately adjoining or within a distance that is less than that needed to separate activities from critical areas to ensure protection of the functions and values of the critical areas;
- f. Section 16.06.020(d1) Wetlands - Delete text from this Section as it is already specified in the State Dept. of Ecology’s Wetland Rating System (Publication #14-06-030)
 - (1) ~~Category I Wetlands are: 1) alkali wetlands; 2) wetlands that are identified by scientists of the Washington Natural Heritage Program/DNR as wetlands of high conservation value; 3) bogs;~~
 - ~~4) mature and old growth forested wetlands over ¼ acre with slow growing trees; 5) forests with stands of aspen; and 6) wetlands that perform many functions very well. These wetlands are those that 1) represent a unique or rare wetland type; or 2) are more sensitive to disturbance than most wetlands; or 3) are relatively undisturbed and contain ecological attributes that are~~

~~impossible to replace within a human lifetime; or 4) provide a high level of function.~~

~~(2) Category II Wetlands are: 1) forested wetlands in the floodplains of rivers; 2) mature and old growth forested wetlands over ¼ acre with fast growing trees; 3) vernal pools; and~~

~~4) wetlands that perform functions well.~~

~~(3) Category III Wetlands are: 1) vernal pools that are isolated and 2) wetlands with a moderate level of functions. These wetlands generally have been disturbed in some ways and are often less diverse or more isolated from other natural resources in the landscape than Category II wetlands.~~

~~(4) Category IV Wetlands are wetlands that should be able to be replaced, and in some cases improved. However, experience has shown that replacement cannot be guaranteed in any specific case. These wetlands may provide some important functions and also need to be protected.~~


- g. Section 15.07.150: Add a language regarding County permit review time.

(m) Pursuant to RCW 47.01.485, the County permit review time shall have a target of 90 days for projects on a state highway.

5. The Shorelines Master Plan Update is found to be in conformance with the intent of the Benton County Zoning Code Title 11, Environment Code Title 15, and Permit Review Process Code Title 17. All regulatory elements of this SMP, including, but not limited to, definitions and use regulations, are a part of the County's development regulations and are contained in the Shoreline Master Program.
6. The Shoreline Master Plan is found to be in conformance with the intent of the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and as such is a sub area plan of the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and is adopted by reference within the Plan.
7. The Shoreline Master Plan update was submitted by email to the State of Washington's Department of Commerce on February 24, 2021 for review in compliance with WAC 365-196-630.
8. Legal notification for the joint public hearing between Benton County and the Dept. of Ecology was given on March 24, 2021 pursuant to RCW 36.70.590.
9. The Shoreline Master Plan update appeared in a joint open record virtual public hearing before the County Planning Commission and Dept. of Ecology on April 13, 2021 at the Public Services Building, 102206 E. Wiser Parkway, Kennewick WA. Public Comment will be taken until April 23, 2021.
10. At the April 13, 2021 joint open record hearing, the Planning Commission and Dept. of Ecology were available to receive public testimony, exhibits, and answer questions in the public portion of the hearing.

11. The April 13, 2021 public hearing was continued to May 11, 2021 to give staff and the Planning Commission an opportunity to review the public comments.
12. All those who testified and those public hearing exhibits that were received into evidence are identified in the minutes and are contained in the official record.
13. The Planning Commission received the Staff Memos, dated April 1st and May 7, 2021, that includes the SMP Comment Response Matrix and agrees with the information found in the staff memo and comment matrix.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BENTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION, through its chairman as authorized by motion of the Board, that these findings of fact for the aforementioned update to the Benton County Shoreline Master Plan- Periodic Update be approved for transmittal to the Board of County Commissioners, with a recommendation to adopt the amendments.


Martin Sheeran, Chairman
BENTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

5/11/2021
DATE

Benton County Shoreline Master Program Update – Comment Response Matrix

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Comment	Response
1	Patrick Paulson, Laurie Ness Submitted 4/1/21	SMP –	Introduction and Overview We feel that because of the major revision of the County’s Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) that the environmental protections section should be updated by copying relevant sections of the Critical Areas Ordinance into the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) (with references updated appropriately). This will help to ensure not consistency with the County’s Comprehensive Plan and Development Regulations, but also that the Critical Areas Protections for the shoreline jurisdiction are based on the same science used to develop the CAO. Below we make this argument clearer and point out some deficiencies in the SMP’s protections that would be addressed by copying in appropriate portions of the CAO. These short-comings include a lack of specific qualifications for who can prepare critical habitat reports, failure to consider net-loss to critical areas outside of a proposed project site, and confusing language regarding wetland categories. In addition to the changes to the Critical Areas Protection, we feel the protections for shoreline vegetation need strengthening. We’ll address those deficiencies in the next section before addressing Critical Areas protections.	Thank you for your thoughtful review of the County’s SMP and for your suggestions. We have provided responses to each of these below.
		05.030	2 Shoreline Vegetation Conservation (SMP Draft Section 05.030) Paragraphs (b) and (c) state: (b) Vegetation within shoreline buffers, other stream buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers, [Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)]-mapped priority habitats and species areas, and other critical areas must be managed consistent with Section 15.06, Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction. Regulations specifying establishment and management of shoreline buffers (buffers associated with the Yakima and Columbia Rivers) are located in Section 15.06.030, Rivers and Creeks. (c) Other vegetation within shoreline jurisdiction, but outside of shoreline buffers, creek buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers, and other WDFW-mapped priority habitats and species areas must be managed according to Section 15.05.020, Environmental Protection, and any other regulations specific to vegetation management contained in this SMP and Benton County Code. Note that paragraph (c) applies only to non-critical areas; it is imperative, therefore, that the Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction (CASJ) code comprehensively ensure ‘no net loss’.	See 05.020 Environmental Protection (a) Ecological Functions. Uses and developments on Benton County shorelines must be designed, located, sized, constructed and maintained to achieve no net loss of shoreline ecological functions necessary to sustain shoreline natural resources (emphasis added). New uses and developments must not have an unmitigated adverse impact on other shoreline functions fostered by this SMP.... (d) Mitigation Sequence. In order to ensure that development activities contribute to meeting the no net loss provisions by avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating for adverse impacts to ecological functions or ecosystem-wide processes, an applicant required to complete a mitigation analysis pursuant to Section 15.05.020(c) must describe how the proposal will follow the sequence of mitigation. Critical areas are addressed through this section, along with Section 15.06. The SMP must be taken in totality, as every use, every standard, regulatory item, or mitigation strategy is designed to achieve no net loss – it is the measure of performance. Additionally, policies, purposes

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Comment	Response
				and regulations in many other sections also identify no net loss as the standard. Here are a few examples. Definition - "No net loss of ecological functions" means a public policy goal and requirement to maintain the aggregate total of the County's shoreline ecological functions at its current level. For purposes of reviewing and approving this SMP, "current" is equivalent to the date of the Final Shoreline Analysis Report (April 2013). As a development standard, it means the result of the application of Mitigation Sequencing, in which impacts of a particular shoreline development and/or use, whether permitted or exempt, are identified and addressed, such that there are no adverse impacts on shoreline ecological functions or processes relative to the legal condition just prior to the proposed development and/or use. 03.030.03 Policies (f) Protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline. (1) All shoreline development should be located, designed, constructed and managed consistent with mitigation sequencing provisions outlined in Section 15.05.020 to minimize adverse impacts to regionally important wildlife resources, including spawning, nesting, rearing and habitat areas, and migratory routes and result in no net loss of shoreline ecosystems and ecosystem-wide processes (emphasis added).
2		05.030	2.1 Pruning for Views Paragraph (i) states: Selective pruning of trees for views is allowed. Selective pruning of trees for views does not include removal of understory vegetation and must not compromise the health of the tree. This should not be allowed. Given this provision, there will be no way to ensure such pruning can be done while ensuring 'no net loss'. Further this contradicts guidance from Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) that "view protection does not allow for excessive vegetation removal to create views or enhance existing views."1	Selective pruning is allowed but with limitations related to keeping understory vegetation intact and not compromising the health of the tree, as noted. Also the vegetation standards in 05.030 also apply. Selective pruning can still meet the no net loss standard as the vegetation is expected to grow back; so over time the tree and associated understory vegetation remain.

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Comment	Response
				Excessive vegetation removal is not allowed and is an enforceable violation of the SMP, when discovered by the County. Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) reviewed and approved this language on pruning in the Benton County and many other SMPs.
3		05.030	2.2 Hand-removal of invasive species should require replanting with native vegetation Paragraph (j) states: Hand removal or spot-spraying of invasive species (such as Russian olive) or noxious weeds included on the Washington State Noxious Weed List as a Class A, B or C weed on shorelands outside of steep or unstable slope areas is encouraged. No requirement for replanting with natives is specified. This will result in a net loss of habitat function. Many non-natives provide valuable habitat and removal without replacement creates a hole for the next non-native species to fill which further degrades shoreline protections. The same requirements for to replant with native plants given for 'Mechanical removal' in paragraph (k) should apply to 'hand removal' (there should be no difference between the two – a troop of boy scouts can remove a lot of plants!).	Update as suggested – add provision to 05.030 (j) to include requirement to replant with native vegetation
4		General	3 Integration of Critical Areas Code into the Shoreline Management Program We agree with WDOE's recommendation that Benton County ensure consistency with the updated CAO by copying the applicable parts of the updated CAO into the environmental protection section of the SMP. 2 This is the 'preferred method' given in Ecology's SMP.	The requirements and standards for each type of critical area – wetland, frequently flooded areas, critical aquifer recharge areas, geologic hazards and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas are identical in purpose, function and protection, with the County's updated SMP. The language in the SMP for how to protect critical areas is different from the County's critical areas code in organization (e.g., mitigation sequencing, environmental protection standards, etc., in different locations) to meet the different structural requirements in the SMP but the protection methods and results for critical areas is the same. While the preferred method for protecting critical areas is as you identified, the approach Benton County took is also satisfactory, as WDOE approved the County's SMP in 2015, including determined that the program would achieve the SMP requirements including no net loss of ecological function. Furthermore, the SMP went through an extensive public involvement process, including an advisory committee to provide guidance on how to craft the

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Comment	Response
				SMP to ensure uses and activities are allowed consistent with the no net loss standard.
5		General	1 Chapter 9, Shoreline Public Access. SMP Handbook, https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/parts/1106010part9.pdf . 2 WDOE, Shoreline Master Programs Handbook [SMP Handbook], December 2017, Chapter 18, p. 5. https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/parts/1106010part18.pdf . Handbook. This is the best way to meet the requirement that the periodic review ensures the SMP is consistent with the County's Comprehensive Plan and development regulations and the most current scientific information	The SMP checklist provided by Ecology is the primary tool used to determine if the periodic review has addressed each of the legal requirements since the County adopted the SMP in 2015. This checklist was used to guide the periodic review and resulted in the identified SMP updates. We have discussed both the County SMP and the checklist with Ecology and they have concurred that we have met the required changes necessary to comply with the latest requirements. The changes are also consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and development regulations.
6		General	3.1 Benton County's Critical Areas Ordinance was revised in 2018 to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan the County made a major revision to its CAO in 2018. The findings supporting the resolution state the revision was required because: The record establishes a need for the proposed critical area update to bring the critical area regulations into compliance with the goals and policies of the recently updated Benton County Comprehensive Plan and the intent of the Growth Management Act and applicable state law	The County 2018 CAO update was required to address deficiencies within the CAO that existed prior to 2018. Several updates were needed to make sure the standards and requirements were consistent with the goals and policies of the County Comprehensive Plan and the Growth Management Act. The SMP, when adopted in 2015, was determined to be in compliance with all state requirements at the SMP, and the proposed revisions for the periodic update ensure the SMP meets the requirements in place in 2021. The Comprehensive Plan update that occurred in 2017 and 2018, incorporated by reference the goals, policies and standards in the SMP, making them consistent.
7		General	3.2 Shoreline Master Program Periodic review must ensure consistency with Comprehensive Plan and Development Regulations WAC 173-26-090.3.b.ii requires the County, as part of its periodic review of the SMP, "review changes to the comprehensive plan and development regulations to determine if the shoreline master program policies and regulations remain consistent with them." Further, the WAC states "It is the responsibility of the local government to assure consistency between the master program and other elements of the comprehensive plan and development regulations" (our emphasis); approval of the SMP by WDOE does not ensure the SMP complies with this WAC.	See response to Comment 6.

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Comment	Response
8		General	3.3 Shoreline Master Plan periodic reviews should ensure the latest science and data are used. The findings supporting Ord. 609 state that the updated regulations are consistent with WAC 365-190 which identifies the minimum guidelines to classify critical areas, including wetlands (WAC 365-190-090), critical aquifer recharge areas (WAC 365-190-100), frequently flooded areas (WAC 365-190) geologically hazardous areas (WAC 365-190-120), and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas (WAC365-190-130) It is assumed, then, the CAO contains protections based on the Best Available Science (BAS). If the Critical Area protections in the SMP are not at least as protective as those in the CAO, it is likely those protections are not based on “the most current, accurate and complete.”	See response to Comment 4, first paragraph. The SMP is based on the latest science and data.
9		General	3 Benton County Ordinance No. 609 [Ord. 609], adopted August 21, 2018. https://www.co.benton.wa.us/files/documents/CAOOrdinance6098-21-18129114718082318AM.pdf scientific and technical information available” ⁴ However, WAC 173-26-090.3.b.iii states that--as part of a periodic review--the County “should consider during their periodic review whether to incorporate any amendments needed to reflect changed circumstances, new information or improved data”.	No changed circumstances, new information or improved data beyond the required items in the Ecology SMP checklist has been presented that would require an update. As noted above, while formatted differently, the standards for the critical areas code and the SMP are functionally equivalent. See Comment 4 response, first paragraph.
10		6.010	3.4 Specific Issues Regarding Critical Areas Protection 3.4.1 General (Draft SMP Section 06.010) 3.4.1.1 Critical Area Reports, Qualified Professionals Paragraph e.2 states that critical area studies shall be “performed by a professional who is licensed or qualified as an expert in the critical areas at issue”. This requirement should be at least as specific as requirements given in CAO 15.02.190.a, which requires preparation by a ‘qualified professional’, where a definition gives specific criteria to be met by qualified professionals. ⁵ While the CASJ also contains a definition for ‘qualified professional’, the definition is not as specific as that given in the CAO, nor is it clear from the text that the person performing critical area studies needs to be a ‘qualified professional’ as defined in the SMP.	Update definition of qualified professional to incorporate specific criteria from CAO 15.02.190.a
11		06.070	3.4.1.2 Critical Areas Reports, Net Loss to Critical Areas outside of Project Site Paragraph (3)(2)b requires only that report contain the “identification and characterization of the critical area”, where the CAO requires the report to contain “Identification and characterization of all critical areas, wetlands, water bodies, and buffers adjacent to the proposed project area” (15.02.190.c.4), where ‘adjacent’ is defined as “immediately adjoining or within a distance that is less than that needed to separate activities from critical areas to ensure protection of the functions and values of the critical areas” (BCC 15.02.070.1). The requirement given in the CASJ is inadequate to ensure no-net-loss of ecological functions since it doesn’t consider the impact of activities on critical areas or buffers that are not within the site of the proposal but are close enough to be affected by the proposed activity. An example of net loss caused by activities adjacent to critical areas is human disturbance of Great Blue Heron rookery, a Priority Habitat. 4 Required by WAC 173-26-201(2)(a) 5 BCC 15.02.070.57.and Species (PHS) identified by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), which may require a 400meter buffer during nesting season.	Update .06.070.d.2 to incorporate suggested language in BCC 15.02.190.c.4 and 15.02.070.1)

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Comment	Response
12		6.020	3.4.2 Wetlands (06.020) 3.4.2.1 Paragraph d, Categories The current CAO includes wetlands category definitions from current WDOE guidance by reference so there is no misunderstanding (BCC 15.04.010.b). While also referencing WDOE guidance, the CASJ also explicitly lists the types of wetlands for each wetland category. Given this listing, the CASJ makes it unclear how to categorize wetlands listed in the current WDOE Wetlands Rating System but not listed in the SMP. For example, the definition of Category I Wetlands in CASJ fail to protect “Calcareous fens” and do not adequately define “wetlands that perform many functions very well.” Similarly, the definition of Category II Wetlands does not define “wetlands that perform functions well.” The definitions of Category III and IV wetlands do not match the definitions given in the WDOE Wetlands Rating System. The critical area report for wetlands requirements given CASJ 06.010 fail to adequately define any qualifications of the individual preparing the report. Also missing are the additional requirements for Critical Area Reports for Wetlands given in the CAO (BCC 15.04.030). These requirements include the assessment of critical areas within 250 ft. of the proposed activity.	Update by deleting existing definitions and only relying on those referenced in the latest Ecology rating system guidance document. ----- Section 06.020 c and d includes these provisions: (c) Identification and Delineation. Wetlands shall be identified and delineated by a qualified professional in accordance with WAC 173-22-035 and designated based on the definitions, methods, and standards set forth in the currently approved Federal Wetland Delineation Manual and applicable regional supplement. (d) Categories. Criteria for categorizing a wetland are those specified in the Washington State Department of Ecology's Washington State Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington, or as revised (Publication #14-06-030). These “currently approved” and “or as revised” provisions require the County to use the latest federal management and rating system from Ecology for identifying, delineating, categorizing and typing wetlands. ----- Regarding qualifications, see response to Comment 10
13		6.070	3.4.3 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas (06.070) 3.4.4 Paragraph d, Site Analysis As noted in the section above, like the other Critical Areas reports, the reports for Habitat areas are missing any requirements for report preparation by a ‘qualified professional’ (15.14.030.a). Also missing is a requirement to survey areas within 300 ft. of the site boundary (15.14.030.b.2). 6 Azerrad, J. M. 2012. Management recommendations for Washington's priority species: Great Blue Heron. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington. https://wdfw.wa.gov/sites/default/files/publications/01371/wdfw01371.pdf 7 Hruby, T. 2014. Washington State Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington: 2014 Update. (Publication #14-06-030). Olympia, WA: Washington Department of Ecology. https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/documents/1406030.pdf Summary	Regarding qualifications, see response to Comment 10 See also Section 05.020.f.2, which requires consideration of any applicable WDFW management recommendations as part of preparing mitigation plan – which is how requirements such as the one referenced are incorporated into the protection process. “ A discussion of any federal, state, or local management recommendations which have been developed for critical areas or other species or habitats located on the site”

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Comment	Response
14		General	We have shown that the current Draft of the SMP is not consistent with the Benton County's development regulations, specifically the recently updated CAO, which may lead to a net loss of environmental functions in the shoreline jurisdiction. We also feel the Draft SMP's protections for shoreline vegetation are insufficient and ignore authoritative guidance, allowing the removal of vegetation for 'view' purposes and the removal of invasive plants without a requirement for a revegetation program. Both of these deficiencies can result in net loss of shoreline functions through loss of habitat, creating the potential for erosion and the potential for replacement by another type of by invasive species. We ask that these issues with the Draft SMP be addressed before approval.	Thank you for the thoughtful concluding comment. Please see the responses above for any recommended updates based on these and your other comments provided.
15	Futurewise Comments Submitted June 21, 2021	Buffers	Futurewise appreciates that Benton County is conducting an update to the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) and generally supports the update. However, we are concerned the that riparian buffers in the existing SMP and proposed for Ordinance Amendment OA 2021-002 are too narrow to protect these areas. The reasons for our concerns are explained below. I. Update the Riparian Buffers in Table 06.030 – 1, River and Creek Buffers, on page 67 of the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) update to reflect the new management recommendations prepared since the current Shoreline Master Program (SMP) was adopted The Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Guidelines, in WAC 173-26-186(8)(b), requires that: "(b) Local master programs shall include policies and regulations designed to achieve no net loss of those ecological functions[.]”¹ The SMP Guidelines in WAC 173-26-221(3)(c) provide in part that “[i]n establishing vegetation conservation regulations, local governments must use available scientific and technical information, as described in WAC 173-26-201 (2)(a). At a minimum, local governments should consult shoreline management assistance materials provided by the department and Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Habitats, prepared by the Washington state department of fish and wildlife where applicable.” The State of Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife updated the Priority Habitat and Species management recommendations for riparian areas after the State of Washington Department of Ecology approved existing Shoreline Master Program on February 16, 2015. According to the updated the Priority Habitat and Species management recommendations for riparian areas there are five key ecological functions of riparian areas in the Columbia Plateau: shade, wood recruitment into rivers and streams and detrital nutrients for aquatic habitats, bank stability, pollutant removal, and alluvial water storage. ² “[W]ith respect to aquatic habitats, full function for five of the key riparian ecological functions is typically provided by an area that is one site-potential tree height wide.”³ Black Cottonwood, typically the largest riparian species in the Columbia Basin attain an average size of 70 feet tall at age 150 years. ⁴ Buffer widths for pollution removal are wider. The standard for these buffers is no net loss of ecological functions. ⁵ For rivers, streams, and associated flood plains shoreline ecological functions include, but are not limited to shoreline vegetation sufficient to maintain temperature; remove excessive nutrients and toxic compounds, and sediment removal and stabilization. ⁶ Buffer widths necessary to remove 99 percent of sediment must be 153 feet wide.⁷ Buffer widths necessary to remove 99 percent of the surface nitrogen must be 200 feet wide.⁸ Buffer widths necessary to remove 99 percent of the subsurface nitrogen must be 322 feet wide.⁹ These buffers should be measured from the outer edge of the floodplain or the channel migration zone if the water body has a channel migration zone.¹⁰ These recommendations should be applied to all streams whether they are fish bearing or not.¹¹ None of the	Response to Comment I. The buffers that were established in the SMP were developed based on the Shoreline Analysis Report for Shorelines in Benton County (Watershed Company 2013), prepared to support the 2015 County SMP Update process. This report identified existing conditions along all shoreline jurisdiction water bodies in the County, including both the natural and built environment, in a much more detailed and site-specific way than is covered in the more general WDFW riparian science review and updated riparian management recommendations (WDFW Volumes 1 and 2). This information, along with the environment designations that were developed and applied to the various shoreline areas, provided the basis for the buffers included in the County's 2015 SMP, which range from 50 to 200 feet, along with building setbacks, as applicable. Any impacts to riparian buffers from proposed shoreline development must comply with these buffers and mitigate for any impacts to achieve no net loss of existing ecological function, as characterized in the Shoreline Analysis report and any additional site-specific information. The County will consider the key functions of shade, wood recruitment and detrital nutrients, bank stability, pollutant removal and alluvial water storage and other information as part of mitigation requirements, as applicable, as identified in

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Comment	Response
			riparian buffers the current SMP are wide enough to perform these functions and so the buffers violate the SMP Guidelines. Consequently, they need to be updated to comply with the SMP Guidelines.	the WDFW Volume I and II documents, which provide general guidance and supporting information, along with the documented Benton County and site-specific information. Based upon our review of the entire body of information we do not believe buffer adjustments are necessary or required.

Comments on Draft Shoreline Master Program (as of 4/1/2021), Benton County

Patrick Paulson
Laurie Ness

1 Introduction and Overview

We feel that because of the major revision of the County's Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) that the environmental protections section should be updated by copying relevant sections of the Critical Areas Ordinance into the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) (with references updated appropriately). This will help to ensure not consistency with the County's Comprehensive Plan and Development Regulations, but also that the Critical Areas Protections for the shoreline jurisdiction are based on the same science used to develop the CAO. Below we make this argument clearer and point out some deficiencies in the SMP's protections that would be addressed by copying in appropriate portions of the CAO. These short-comings include a lack of specific qualifications for who can prepare critical habitat reports, failure to consider net-loss to critical areas outside of a proposed project site, and confusing language regarding wetland categories.

In addition to the changes to the Critical Areas Protection, we feel the protections for shoreline vegetation need strengthening. We'll address those deficiencies in the next section before addressing Critical Areas protections.

2 Shoreline Vegetation Conservation (SMP Draft Section 05.030)

Paragraphs (b) and (c) state:

- (b) Vegetation within shoreline buffers, other stream buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers, [Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)]-mapped priority habitats and species areas, and other critical areas must be managed consistent with Section 15.06, Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction. Regulations specifying establishment and management of shoreline buffers (buffers associated with the Yakima and Columbia Rivers) are located in Section 15.06.030, Rivers and Creeks.
- (c) Other vegetation within shoreline jurisdiction, but outside of shoreline buffers, creek buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers, and other WDFW-mapped priority habitats and species areas must be managed according to Section 15.05.020, Environmental Protection, and any other regulations specific to vegetation management contained in this SMP and Benton County Code.

Note that paragraph (c) applies only to non-critical areas; it is imperative, therefore, that the Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction (CASJ) code comprehensively ensure ‘no net loss’.

2.1 Pruning for Views

Paragraph (i) states:

Selective pruning of trees for views is allowed. Selective pruning of trees for views does not include removal of understory vegetation and must not compromise the health of the tree.

This should not be allowed. Given this provision, there will be no way to ensure such pruning can be done while ensuring ‘no net loss’. Further this contradicts guidance from Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) that “view protection does not allow for excessive vegetation removal to create views or enhance existing views.”¹

2.2 Hand-removal of invasive species should require replanting with native vegetation

Paragraph (j) states:

Hand removal or spot-spraying of invasive species (such as Russian olive) or noxious weeds included on the Washington State Noxious Weed List as a Class A, B or C weed on shorelands outside of steep or unstable slope areas is encouraged.

No requirement for replanting with natives is specified. This will result in a net loss of habitat function. Many non-natives provide valuable habitat and removal without replacement creates a hole for the next non-native species to fill which further degrades shoreline protections. The same requirements for to replant with native plants given for ‘Mechanical removal’ in paragraph (k) should apply to ‘hand removal’ (there should be no difference between the two – a troop of boy scouts can remove a lot of plants!).

3 Integration of Critical Areas Code into the Shoreline Management Program

We agree with WDOE’s recommendation that Benton County ensure consistency with the updated CAO by copying the applicable parts of the updated CAO into the environmental protection section of the SMP.² This is the ‘preferred method’ given in Ecology’s *SMP*

¹ Chapter 9, Shoreline Public Access. *SMP Handbook*, p. 11.
<https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/parts/1106010part9.pdf>.

² WDOE, *Shoreline Master Programs Handbook* [SMP Handbook], December 2017, Chapter 18, p. 5.
<https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/parts/1106010part18.pdf>.

Handbook. This is the best way to meet the requirement that the periodic review ensures the SMP is consistent with the County’s Comprehensive Plan and development regulations and the most current scientific information.

3.1 Benton County’s Critical Areas Ordinance was revised in 2018 to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan

The County made a major revision to its CAO in 2018.³ The findings supporting the resolution state the revision was required because:

The record establishes a need for the proposed critical area update to bring the critical area regulations into compliance with the goals and policies of the recently updated Benton County Comprehensive Plan and the intent of the Growth Management Act and applicable state law

3.2 Shoreline Master Program Periodic review must ensure consistency with Comprehensive Plan and Development Regulations

WAC 173-26-090.3.b.ii requires the County, as part of its periodic review of the SMP, “review changes to the comprehensive plan and development regulations to determine if the shoreline master program policies and regulations remain consistent with them.” Further, the WAC states “It is the *responsibility of the local government* to assure consistency between the master program and other elements of the comprehensive plan and development regulations” (our emphasis); approval of the SMP by WDOE does *not* ensure the SMP complies with this WAC.

3.3 Shoreline Master Plan periodic reviews should ensure the latest science and data are used

The findings supporting *Ord. 609* state that

The updated regulations are consistent with WAC 365-190 which identifies the minimum guidelines to classify critical areas, including wetlands (WAC 365-190-090), critical aquifer recharge areas (WAC 365-190-100), frequently flooded areas (WAC 365-190) geologically hazardous areas (WAC 365-190-120), and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas (WAC 365-190-130)

It is assumed, then, the CAO contains protections based on the Best Available Science (BAS). If the Critical Area protections in the SMP are not at least as protective as those in the CAO, it is likely those protections *are not* based on “the most current, accurate and complete

³ Benton County Ordinance No. 609 [Ord. 609], adopted August 21, 2018.
<https://www.co.benton.wa.us/files/documents/CAOOrdinance6098-21-18129114718082318AM.pdf>

scientific and technical information available”⁴. However, WAC 173-26-090.3.b.iii states that--as part of a periodic review--the County “should consider during their periodic review whether to incorporate any amendments needed to reflect changed circumstances, new information or improved data”.

3.4 Specific Issues Regarding Critical Areas Protection

3.4.1 General (Draft SMP Section 06.010)

3.4.1.1 Critical Area Reports, Qualified Professionals

Paragraph e.2 states that critical area studies shall be “performed by a professional who is licensed or qualified as an expert in the critical areas at issue”. This requirement should be at least as specific as requirements given in CAO 15.02.190.a, which requires preparation by a ‘qualified professional’, where a definition gives specific criteria to be met by qualified professionals.⁵

While the CASJ also contains a definition for ‘qualified professional’, the definition is not as specific as that given in the CAO, nor is it clear from the text that the person performing critical area studies needs to be a ‘qualified professional’ as defined in the SMP.

3.4.1.2 Critical Areas Reports, Net Loss to Critical Areas outside of Project Site

Paragraph (3)(2)b requires only that report contain the “identification and characterization of the critical area”, where the CAO requires the report to contain “Identification and characterization of all critical areas, wetlands, water bodies, and buffers adjacent to the proposed project area” (15.02.190.c.4), where ‘adjacent’ is defined as “immediately adjoining or within a distance that is less than that needed to separate activities from critical areas to ensure protection of the functions and values of the critical areas” (BCC 15.02.070.1). The requirement given in the CASJ is inadequate to ensure no-net-loss of ecological functions since it doesn’t consider the impact of activities on critical areas or buffers that are not within the site of the proposal but are close enough to be affected by the proposed activity. An example of net loss caused by activities adjacent to critical areas is human disturbance of Great Blue Heron rookery, a Priority Habitat

⁴ Required by WAC 173-26-201(2)(a)

⁵ BCC 15.02.070.57.

and Species (PHS) identified by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), which may require a 400 meter buffer during nesting season.⁶

3.4.2 Wetlands (06.020)

3.4.2.1 Paragraph d, Categories

The current CAO includes wetlands category definitions from current WDOE guidance by reference so there is no misunderstanding (BCC 15.04.010.b). While also referencing WDOE guidance, the CASJ also explicitly lists the types of wetlands for each wetland category. Given this listing, the CASJ makes it unclear how to categorize wetlands listed in the current WDOE Wetlands Rating System⁷ but not listed in the SMP. For example, the definition of Category I Wetlands in CASJ fail to protect “Calcareous fens” and do not adequately define “wetlands that perform many functions very well.” Similarly, the definition of Category II Wetlands does not define “wetlands that perform functions well.” The definitions of Category III and IV wetlands do not match the definitions given in the WDOE Wetlands Rating System.

The critical area report for wetlands requirements given CASJ 06.010 fail to adequately define any qualifications of the individual preparing the report. Also missing are the additional requirements for Critical Area Reports for Wetlands given in the CAO (BCC 15.04.030). These requirements include the assessment of critical areas within 250 ft. of the proposed activity.

3.4.3 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas (06.070)

3.4.4 Paragraph d, Site Analysis

As noted in the section above, like the other Critical Areas reports, the reports for Habitat areas are missing any requirements for report preparation by a ‘qualified professional’ (15.14.030.a). Also missing is a requirement to survey areas within 300 ft. of the site boundary (15.14.030.b.2).

⁶ Azerrad, J. M. 2012. Management recommendations for Washington's priority species: Great Blue Heron. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington.
<https://wdfw.wa.gov/sites/default/files/publications/01371/wdfw01371.pdf>

⁷ Hruby, T. 2014. Washington State Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington: 2014 Update. (Publication #14-06-030). Olympia, WA: Washington Department of Ecology.
<https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/documents/1406030.pdf>

4 Summary

We have shown that the current Draft of the SMP is not consistent with the Benton County's development regulations, specifically the recently updated CAO, which may lead to a net loss of environmental functions in the shoreline jurisdiction. We also feel the Draft SMP's protections for shoreline vegetation are insufficient and ignore authoritative guidance, allowing the removal of vegetation for 'view' purposes and the removal of invasive plants without a requirement for a revegetation program. Both of these deficiencies can result in net loss of shoreline functions through loss of habitat, creating the potential for erosion and the potential for replacement by another type of by invasive species.

We ask that these issues with the Draft SMP be addressed before approval.

Donna Hutchinson

From: Alison Cable <alison@futurewise.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:08 PM
To: Greg Wendt
Cc: Michelle Cooke; Donna Hutchinson
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: Joint Hearing between Benton County and the Dept. of Ecology on Benton County's Shoreline Master Program Amendment

Hi Greg,

Thank you for sending the Riparian Buffer Analysis memo. I reviewed the memo along with the staff report for tonight's public hearing on the critical areas ordinance. I understand from speaking with other interested residents that you expect that the comment period for the CAO will close today. I also have reviewed and support the comments from Debbie Berkowitz and Patrick Paulson and look forward to the County's response to their comments related to buffers and protection of ecological function of the County's critical areas. The Growth Management Act "requires that the regulations for critical areas must protect the 'functions and values' of those designated [critical] areas. This means all functions and values." Furthermore, Critical areas are to be protected by at least "maintaining existing conditions." Please See: *Whidbey Env't Action Network v. Island Cty. (WEAN)*, 122 Wn. App. 156, 174–75, 93 P.3d 885, 894 (2004); and *Swinomish Indian Tribal Cmty. v. W. Washington Growth Mgmt. Hearings Bd.*, 161 Wn.2d 415, 430, 166 P.3d 1198, 1206 (2007), as corrected (Nov. 28, 2007), as corrected (Apr. 3, 2008).

Thank you again,

Alison Cable
 Tri-Cities Program Manager



206-343-0681 x114

connect: [Twitter](#) [Facebook](#)

futurewise.org

From: Greg Wendt <Greg.Wendt@co.benton.wa.us>
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 3:14 PM
To: Alison Cable <alison@futurewise.org>
Cc: Michelle Cooke <Michelle.Cooke@co.benton.wa.us>; Donna Hutchinson <Donna.Hutchinson@co.benton.wa.us>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: Joint Hearing between Benton County and the Dept. of Ecology on Benton County's Shoreline Master Program Amendment

Hi Alison,

We are currently working on two (2) updates. 1) The SMP Update is rather small this time around. Essentially we are just going down the required SMP checklist and making sure all the necessary updates are included. 2) The CAO amendment focuses on modifying the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Area Chapter. Specifically, we recently had a study done to help the county understand the actual riparian ecological functions of each stream, and then apply a buffer which is based on

best available science and what makes sense on the ground. We have wanted to get that done since our CAO update in 2018, glad to finally have that to move forward with.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thanks!

Greg



Greg Wendt
Director of Community Development
Benton County Public Services Building
102206 E Wiser Parkway
Kennewick, WA 99336
(509) 786-5612

Mailing Address:

Benton County Community Development Department
PO Box 910, Prosser, WA 99350

From: Alison Cable <alison@futurewise.org>

Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 2:53 PM

To: Greg Wendt <Greg.Wendt@co.benton.wa.us>

Cc: Michelle Cooke <Michelle.Cooke@co.benton.wa.us>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: Joint Hearing between Benton County and the Dept. of Ecology on Benton County's Shoreline Master Program Amendment

EXTERNAL EMAIL WARNING!!!: This email originated from outside of Benton County. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Greg,

Hope this finds you well. I haven't reviewed this draft yet and haven't been able to attend any of the open houses or informational meetings – has there been any other public meetings or presentations on this update that you could share now? Given that the County recently updated your CAO, how will that be included in the scope for the SMP update?

Thank you!

Alison

From: Donna Hutchinson <Donna.Hutchinson@co.benton.wa.us>

Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:06 PM

To: Badger Mountain Irrig. District <bmrid@pocketinet.com>; Cristina Woods <Cristina.Woods@co.benton.wa.us>; Benton Franklin Health District - Rick Dawson <rickd@bfhd.wa.gov>; bidmitchell@frontier.com; Bureau of Land Management - Spokane <OR_Spokane_Mail@blm.gov>; Benton City Clerk - Jeni Zuniga <jjuniga@ci.benton-city.wa.us>; City of Kennewick - CEDInfo <cedinfo@ci.kennewick.wa.us>; City of Kennewick - Greg McCormick <Greg.McCormick@ci.kennewick.wa.us>; City of Prosser - Steve Zetz <szetz@cityofprosser.com>; City of Richland - Stevens, Mike <mstevens@CI.RICHLAND.WA.US>; Eric Mendenhall <emendenhall@westrichland.org>; Columbia Irrigation District <CID@columbiairrigation.com>; Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation - Ashley M. Morton <AshleyMorton@ctuir.org>; Environmental Review, Inc. - Tom Price <envreview@gmail.com>; FAA - Joseph Jackson <joseph.a.jackson@faa.gov>; Fire District #1 - Billie <billie@bentonone.org>; lonnie@bentonone.org; Fire District #1 - Scott <scott@bentonone.org>; Fire District #1 - Staff <staff@bentonone.org>; Fire District #2 - R. Duncan <rduncan@bcfpd2.org>; Fire District #3 - Seth <SJohnson@westbentonfirerescue.org>; wwhealan@bcfd4.org; Fire District #4 - Deputy Chief Paul Carlyle <pccarlyle@bcfd4.org> <pccarlyle@bcfd4.org>; Fire District #5 - Brian <brian@newhouseassociates.com>; chiefwatt@bcfd6.com; Alison Cable <alison@futurewise.org>; Kennewick Irrigation District - Application and SEPA Review <development@kid.org> <development@kid.org>; Kennewick Irrigation District - Ben Woodard <bwoodard@kid.org>; Kennewick Irrigation District - Seth Defoe <sdefoe@kid.org>; Kiona Irrigation District <kiid@owt.com>; MEDIA - KAPP/KVEW-TV <kappnews@kapptv.com>; KEPR General Newsroom <newsroom@keprtv.com>; MEDIA KOLU-FM - Martin Gibbs <kolu@kolu.com>; kviewnews@kviewtv.com;

editor@recordbulletin.com; MEDIA - Tri-City Herald - Sarah Schilling <sschilling@tricityherald.com>; Port of Benton - Bud Zeck <budzeck@portofbenton.com>; Port of Kennewick - Larry Peterson <lpeterson@portofkennewick.org>; Roza Irrigation District - Steve Hayman <shayman@roza.org>; Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District - Rigo Diosdado <diosdador@svid.org>; US Army Corps of Engineers - David Moore <David.J.Moore@usace.army.mil>; US Army Corps of Engineers - Real Estate Division <cenww-re@usace.army.mil>; US Army Corps of Engineers - Tim Erkel <tim.r.erkel@usace.army.mil>; US Navy - Kimberly Peacher <kimberly.peacher@navy.mil>; WA Dept of Agriculture - Jada Burrell <jada.burrell@sc.usda.gov>; WA Dept of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (sepa@dahp.wa.gov) <sepa@dahp.wa.gov>; WA Dept of Commerce - William Simpson <william.simpson@commerce.wa.gov>; Dept. of Fish and Wildlife - Eric Bartrand <bartrelb@dfw.wa.gov>; Dept. of Fish and Wildlife - Mark Teske <Mark.Teske@dfw.wa.gov>; Dept. of Fish and Wildlife - Michael W. Ritter <rittemwr@dfw.wa.gov>; WA Dept of Fish and Wildlife SEPA Desk <SEPADesk@dfw.wa.gov>; DNR Sepa Center (sepacenter@dnr.wa.gov) <sepacenter@dnr.wa.gov>; WSDOT - Aviation Airports and Land Use (plattst@wsdot.wa.gov) <plattst@wsdot.wa.gov>; WA Dept of Transportation - Paul Gonseth <Gonsetp@wsdot.wa.gov>; WA Dept of Transportation - SEPA Review - TDM Coordinator <scplanning@wsdot.wa.gov>; Yakama Indian Nation (SEPA) - Elizabeth Sanchez <esanchez@yakama.com>; Yakama Indian Nation Environmental Review - Thalia Sachtleber <enviroreview@yakama.com>; SEPA Review - Washington Dept of Health <SEPA.reviewteam@doh.wa.gov>; Yakima Indian Nation-John Marvin <john_marvin@yakama.com>; BPA <almarshall@bpa.gov>; WA Dept of Health - Kelly Cooper - WA Dept of Health - Kelly Cooper (<SEPA.reviewteam@doh.wa.gov>) <SEPA.reviewteam@doh.wa.gov>; emcnagny@agr.wa.gov; nabr461@ecy.wa.gov; hugo.flores@dnr.wa.gov; deborah.johnson@doh.wa.gov; changt@wsdot.wa.gov; Dept. of Archaeology and Historic Preservation <greg.griffith@dahp.wa.gov>; peter.herzog@parks.wa.gov; eric.heinitz@doc.wa.gov; rsshultz@scc.wa.gov; john.lyle@bentoncleanair.org; Benton Clean Air - Rob Rodger <rob.rodger@bentoncleanair.org>; Benton Clean Air - Tyler Thompson <tyler.thompson@bentoncleanair.org>; Benton Clean Air Authority - Robin Priddy <robin.priddy@bentoncleanair.org>; Brian Bell <Brian.Bell@co.benton.wa.us>; Jenelle Schadler <Jenelle.Schadler@co.benton.wa.us>; Michelle Johnson <Michelle.Johnson@co.benton.wa.us>; Rod Worthington <Rod.Worthington@co.benton.wa.us>; Troy Taylor <Troy.Taylor@co.benton.wa.us>; Dept. of Ecology - Gwen Clear <crosepacoordinator@ecy.wa.gov>; Dept. of Ecology SEPA Unit <SEPAUNIT@ecy.wa.gov>; WA Dept of Ecology - Lori White <Lori.White@ecy.wa.gov>; WA State Dept. of Ecology SEPA Register <separegister@ecy.wa.gov>; Bureau of Reclamation - C. Garner (cgarner@usbr.gov) <cgarner@usbr.gov>; Bureau of Reclamation - L Hendrix - Bureau of Reclamation (lhendrix@usbr.gov) <lhendrix@usbr.gov>; Bureau of Reclamation -McKinley (cmckinley@usbr.gov) <cmckinley@usbr.gov>; Yakama Indian Nation - John Marvin <John_Marvin@Yakama.com>

Subject: Joint Hearing between Benton County and the Dept. of Ecology on Benton County's Shoreline Master Program Amendment

Benton County is conducting a Periodic Review of their Shoreline Master Program (SMP) and has elected to use the optional joint review process with the Department of Ecology (Ecology). As required by the Shoreline Management Act, we are initiating our formal review process with the opening of a joint public comment period and by notifying interested parties of the opportunity to provide comments. We are specifically inviting you and other potentially interested parties to provide comments.

Ecology's joint review of the County's SMP periodic review is allowed by the Shoreline Management Act (Chapter 90.58 RCW) and the SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26-104). The joint public comment period is open from March 24, 2021 to April 23, 2021 at 5:00 p.m. Comments provided to the County will be reviewed by both the County and Ecology; there is no need to send duplicates. Comments may be submitted by email to planning.department@co.benton.wa.us or by mail to:

Benton County Planning Division
PO Box 910
Prosser, WA 99350

You may also submit verbal comments at the joint public hearing, which will be held at 6:00 p.m. on April 13, 2021. Due to the Governor's "Stay Home Order" the Public Hearing will be held via video

conferencing. Information on how to attend the public hearing will be available on the County's webpage. www.tinyurl.com/BCPublicNotice. Agencies, affected tribes, and members of the public are invited and encouraged to participate.

At the conclusion of the comment period, the County will prepare a response to comments and determine if changes are needed. Public comments and the County responses will be shared with Ecology. After local adoption, the SMP amendment will be formally submitted to Ecology for final decision per WAC 173-26-104 and WAC 173-26-110. At the conclusion of our formal review, Ecology's Director must decide to approve the program as submitted, approve it with required changes and/or recommended changes, or deny approval. We invite you to comment on the proposed program and would appreciate receiving your comments by the end of the joint public comment period on April 23, 2021, in order to give them full consideration prior to the Director's decision.

Proposed amendments to Benton County's Shoreline Master Program are available for review at <https://tinyurl.com/SMP2021update>. Paper copies may be requested by contacting the County Planner at the contact information above.



Donna Hutchinson
Office Assistant IV
Community Development
Dept. - Planning Division
P.O. Box 910
Prosser, WA 99350
509-786-5612

NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This e-mail account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this email account may be a public record. Accordingly, this email, in whole or in part, may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.

Prosser: We are now located within the Road Department/Public Works Office on the first floor of the Benton County Courthouse at 620 Market St, Prosser WA 99350. **Due to COVID 19 the Prosser Courthouse is currently closed to the public. Please contact us by email or phone.**

Kennewick: The County has opened a new Public Services Office at 102206 E Wiser Parkway, Kennewick, which houses the Planning, Building and Road Departments.



State of Washington
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
South Central Region • Region 3 • 1701 South 24th Avenue, Yakima, WA 98902-5720
Telephone: (509) 575-2740 • Fax: (509) 575-2474

April 15, 2021

Mr. Greg Wendt
Benton County Planning Director
VIA EMAIL
Greg.Wendt@co.benton.wa.us

**SUBJECT: UPDATED COMMENTS ON 2021 DRAFT SHORELINE MASTER
PROGRAM UPDATE FOR BENTON COUNTY**

Dear Mr. Wendt:

I was informed that WDFW had provided comments on the Benton County SMP update prior to the official comment period, of which my most recent comments and testimony were contradictory. You understandably want clarification on WDFW's position, and so I offer the following to summarize the agency's position, in light of this confusion:

- WDFW has two updated volumes of the Riparian Ecosystems guidelines. Volume 1 was updated in July 2020. Volume 2 was updated in December 2020. **WDFW recommends that these two newest documents be reviewed, utilized, and referenced as part of the literature review and Best Available Science for code updates.**
- Within these documents, a 100-foot Riparian Management Zone is recommended as being protective of the functions and values of riparian ecosystems and their adjacent waterbodies within the Columbia Plateau. This is what guided my original comments regarding RMZ/buffer sizing. However, I was made aware that Area Habitat Biologist Mike Ritter had previously reviewed and considered Benton County's proposed buffers, and concurred that the buffer sizing of 50-feet for type N and 75-feet for type F is appropriate and protective. Therefore, **I defer to Mike Ritter's original assessment, and agree that what Benton County has proposed is sufficient and acceptable. WDFW requests no changes to the proposed buffers.**

I hope that this clarifies WDFW's position in light of the confusion. If you would like any additional clarification, please reach out at any time.

Sincerely,



Elizabeth Torrey
Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
Elizabeth.Torrey@dfw.wa.gov
509-607-6711

cc:

Benjamin Floyd, White Bluffs Consulting
Michael Ritter, WDFW Fish & Wildlife Biologist
Perry Harvester, WDFW Region Habitat Program Manager
Mike Livingston, WDFW Region 3 Director

Community Development Department

Prosser Office: 620 Market Street, 1st Floor
Kennewick Office: 102206 East Wiser Parkway
www.co.benton.wa.us

**Planning Division**

(509) 786-5612
P.O. Box 910, Prosser, WA 99350
planning.department@co.benton.wa.us

Notice of Open Record Hearings

NOTICE IS GIVEN that Board of County Commissioners will be holding Public Hearings on Benton County's Shoreline Master Program Periodic Review and on an Ordinance amendment to BCC 15-Environment (Critical Areas) on June 22, 2021 at 9:00 a.m., in the Commissioners Meeting Room, Third Floor, Courthouse, Prosser, in person and via a virtual meeting format (see below for more information). Benton County is accepting comments on the periodic review of the County's Shoreline Master Program and on the ordinance amendment to BCC 15.02 and 15.14.

Shoreline Master Plan - The county has prepared draft SMP amendments to keep the SMP current with changes in state law, changes in other county plans and regulations, and other changed local circumstances.

The proposed amendments within the Plan consist of housekeeping edits to reflect updated code sections, dates, etc. Deleting the definition for Board of Adjustment and adding text for Hearing Examiner, adding text to the definition for "Development", amending the definition for "Substantial development" by updating the dollar amount for exceeding the fair market value, adding text to Section 15.04.120 regarding "Developments not required to obtain shoreline permits or local reviews", adding text to 15.06.030 for external or internal retrofitting of an existing structure for compliance with ADA, amending 15.07.030 by adding language to Substantial Development Permit exception applying to dock construction, and amending 15.07.150 to add a language regarding County permit review time

OA 2021-002 -AN ORDINANCE relating to critical areas, general provisions and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas; amending the sections relating to applicability and performance standards-general requirements; amending Ordinance 609, Section 13 and BCC 15.02.120; amending Ordinance 609, Section 54 and BCC 15.14.040; and amending Ordinance 609, Section 56. This amendment updates references within the Applicability portion of the ordinance; amends the buffer requirements; and amends and changes the title to Section 56 to read "Appendix A - General References and Best Available Science" and adds the words "general references" when referring to the list of data and best available science.

Proposed amendments to the county shoreline master program are available for review at <https://tinyurl.com/SMPUpdate2021> and at the County Planning Division Office within the Public Services Building at 102206 E. Wiser Parkway, Kennewick WA 99338. The Ordinance amendments will also be available for review at <https://tinyurl.com/pubnotice>.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that the Shoreline Master Plan and the proposed ordinance amendment have been reviewed under the requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act and Determinations of Non-Significance (DNS) for both proposals were issued on February 24, 2021 and accordingly Environmental Impact Statements were not required. Any comments regarding these determinations and the environmental impacts of the proposals can be made at the Board of County Commissioners Hearing or in writing to the Benton County Planning Division by 3 p.m. on Monday June 21, 2021.

To continue to provide citizens access to public meetings, Benton County in addition to in person attendance, will also be providing telephonic and video access for the public to view and provide testimony at public meetings. If you choose to join the meeting telephonically, we ask that you please limit background noise or mute your line to prevent any unnecessary interruption to the meeting. To find information on virtual attendance options, including streaming video, WebEx video conferencing and telephone, please visit <https://www.co.benton.wa.us/agendalist.aspx?categoryid=1181>.

Comments regarding the proposed ordinance amendment, Shoreline Master Plan or SEPA determinations of the proposals may also be submitted in writing to the Benton County Planning Division; P.O. Box 910, Prosser, WA 99350 or via email to planning.department@co.benton.wa.us by 3 p.m. Monday, June 21, 2021. No individual with a disability shall be denied the benefit of participating in such meetings. If you wish to use auxiliary aids or require assistance to comment at a public meeting, please contact the Benton County Planning Division at least ten days prior to the meeting date to make arrangements for special needs.

At this hearing, the Board of County Commissioners may approve, or disapprove the amendments. Information concerning the applications can be obtained at the Benton County Planning Division, by calling 736-3086 (Tri-Cities) or 786-5612 (Prosser).

Dated at Prosser, Washington on this 4th day of June 2021.

JEROME DELVIN, Chairman
BENTON COUNTY
Board of County Commissioners

Greg Wendt, Director
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPT.

PUBLISH ON: June 9, 2021



PCM 1.1

STAFF REPORT TO THE BENTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

FILE NO: Shoreline Master Plan Periodic Update 2021
Benton County and the Department of Ecology
Open Record Joint Hearing and Comment Period

MEMO DATE: April 1, 2021

HEARING DATE: April 13, 2021

APPLICANT: Benton County Planning Department

OWNER: N/A

LOCATION: Unincorporated Benton County

PROPERTY SIZE: N/A

AREA TO BE USED: N/A

LAND USE: N/A

COMP. PLAN: N/A

ZONING: N/A

SUGGESTED STAFF
RECOMMENDATION: Positive recommendation with ten (10) findings of fact.

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

The Shoreline Management Act (SMA), RCW 90.58, requires mandatory "periodic updates" to local shoreline master programs every eight (8) years. Every Washington city and county must periodically review and, if needed, revise its Shoreline Master Program (SMP) to ensure compliance with the SMA.

The last update of the Benton County SMP was completed and approved by the Washington State Department of Ecology in 2015. Per RCW, the Benton County SMP is required to be updated by June 2021.

Benton County and the Department of Ecology are holding a joint local/state Public Comment

Period and Public Hearing on Benton County's Shoreline Master Program Periodic Review and are accepting comments on the periodic review of the County's Shoreline Master Program under RCW 90.58.080(4). Public comment will be accepted from March 24th to April 23, 2021 at 5 p.m. The county has prepared draft SMP amendments to keep the SMP current with changes in state law, changes in other county plans and regulations, and other changed local circumstances.

Ben Floyd of White Bluffs Consulting will be available, remotely to discuss the proposed updates to the Shoreline Master Program.

Proposed changes to the 2021 Periodic Shoreline Master Program

The following text indicates the proposed changes to the current Shoreline Master Plan the crossed-out text in red is wording that is being deleted and the blue text is what is being added to the Shoreline Master Plan text.

- Cover Page: Update to reflect new approval dates and Grant No.
- Inside Cover: Add text listing adoption and amendment dates.
- Intro Page: Update text to reflect current information.
- Page 14: Add text regarding the 2021 Periodic Update.
- All pages: Update the date on the footers on all pages.
- Page 21: Section 15.02 Definitions. Delete the definition for Board of Adjust and add text regarding Hearing Examiner
~~"Board of Adjustment" means the County board which hears applications for variances, conditional use permits, and other quasi-judicial matters assigned to it by the legislative body.~~ "Hearings Examiner" means an examiner appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, authorized to hear and make decisions on variances, land use permits, and certain appeals. Appeals may be taken to the ~~Board~~ Hearings Examiner by any person aggrieved, or by any officer, department, board or bureau of the county affected by appealable decisions of the director.
- Page 23: Section 15.02 Definitions. Add text to the definition for "Development" regarding dismantling or removing structures
A. Dismantling or removing structures if there is no other associated development or re-development.

Page 32: Section 15.02 Definitions. Amend the definition for “Substantial development” by updating the \$ amount for exceeding the fair market value

“Substantial development” shall mean any development of which the total cost or fair market value exceeds ~~six thousand, four hundred, and sixteen dollars~~ seven thousand, and forty seven dollars, or any development which materially interferes with the normal public use of the water or shorelines of the state.

Page 48: Section 15.04.120 Development Standards. Add text to Section 15.04.120 regarding “Developments not required to obtain shoreline permits or local reviews”.

04.120 Developments Not Required to Obtain Shoreline Permits or Local Reviews

(a) Requirements to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other review to implement the Shoreline Management Act do not apply to the following:

- (1) Remedial actions. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person conducting a remedial action at a facility pursuant to a consent decree, order, or agreed order issued pursuant to chapter 70.105D RCW, or to the department of ecology when it conducts a remedial action under chapter 70.105D RCW.
- (2) Boatyard improvements to meet NPDES permit requirements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person installing site improvements for storm water treatment in an existing boatyard facility to meet requirements of a national pollutant discharge elimination system storm water general permit
- (3) WSDOT facility maintenance and safety improvements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.356, Washington State Department of Transportation projects and activities meeting the conditions of RCW 90.58.356 are not required to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other local review.
- (4) Projects consistent with an environmental excellence program agreement pursuant to RCW 90.58.045.
- (5) Projects authorized through the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council process, pursuant to chapter 80.50 RCW.

Page 71: Section 15.06.050 and 060: Housekeeping edit to replace “BCC” with “Section”

Page 72: Section 15.06.060: Housekeeping edit to replace “BCC” with “Section”.

Page 73: Section 15.06.070: Housekeeping edit to replace “BCC” with “Section”.

Page 90: Section 15.07.150: Add a language regarding County permit review time
(m) Pursuant to RCW 47.01.485, the County permit review time shall have a target of 90 days for projects on a state highway.

Page 91: Section 15.08.020: Housekeeping edit to replace "BCC" with "Section".

PUBLIC NOTICE

1. A Notice of Public Hearing was published in the Prosser Record on March 30, 2021 and was put on the County's website on March 26, 2020.
2. The Planning Staff mailed out review packets to Technical Agencies on February 24, 2020.

APPLICABLE STANDARDS/ORDINANCES

1. Shoreline Management Act: RCW 90.58
2. Comprehensive Plan: 2018 Benton County Comprehensive Plan.
3. Zoning Code: Benton County Code, Title 11, Zoning.

AGENCY COMMENTS

None received as of the date of the Staff Report.

RECOMMENDATION

It is the Recommendation of the Planning Division that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation of approval to the Board of County Commissioners based on the findings of fact and information contained within the Staff Report with the following suggested findings of fact and motion.

SUGGESTED FINDINGS OF FACT:

1. The Shoreline Management Act (SMA), RCW 90.58, requires mandatory "periodic updates" to local shoreline master programs every eight (8) years. Every Washington city and county must periodically review and, if needed, revise its Shoreline Master Program (SMP) to ensure compliance with the SMA.
2. The last update of the Benton County SMP was completed and approved by the Washington State Department of Ecology in 2015. Per RCW, the Benton County SMP is required to be updated by June 2021.

3. Benton County is proposing changes to the Shorelines Master Plan to ensure the SMP is consistent with changes in state law, changes in other county plans and regulations, and other changed local circumstances.
4. The proposed changed focus on the following:
 - a. Section 15.02 Definitions. Delete the definition for Board of Adjust and add text regarding Hearing Examiner
~~"Board of Adjustment" means the County board which hears applications for variances, conditional use permits, and other quasi-judicial matters assigned to it by the legislative body.~~ "Hearings Examiner" means an examiner appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, authorized to hear and make decisions on variances, land use permits, and certain appeals. Appeals may be taken to the ~~Board~~ Hearings Examiner by any person aggrieved, or by any officer, department, board or bureau of the county affected by appealable decisions of the director.
 - b. Section 15.02 Definitions. Add text to clarify the definition of development regarding dismantling or removing structures.
Dismantling or removing structures if there is no other associated development or re-development.
 - c. Section 15.02 Definitions. Amend the definition for "Substantial development" by updating the \$ amount for exceeding the fair market value
"Substantial development" shall mean any development of which the total cost or fair market value exceeds ~~six thousand, four hundred, and sixteen dollars~~ seven thousand, and forty seven dollars, or any development which materially interferes with the normal public use of the water or shorelines of the state.
 - d. Section 15.04.120 Development Standards. Add text to Section 15.04.120 regarding "Developments not required to obtain shoreline permits or local reviews".

04.120 Developments Not Required to Obtain Shoreline Permits or Local Reviews

- (b) Requirements to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other review to implement the Shoreline Management Act do not apply to the following:
 - (6) Remedial actions. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person conducting a remedial action at a facility pursuant to a consent decree, order, or agreed order issued pursuant to chapter 70.105D RCW, or to the department of ecology when it conducts a remedial action under chapter 70.105D RCW.
 - (7) Boatyard improvements to meet NPDES permit requirements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person installing site improvements for storm water treatment in an existing boatyard facility to meet requirements of a national pollutant discharge elimination system storm water general permit
 - (8) WSDOT facility maintenance and safety improvements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.356, Washington State Department of Transportation projects and activities meeting the conditions of RCW 90.58.356 are not required to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other local review.

(9) Projects consistent with an environmental excellence program agreement pursuant to RCW 90.58.045.

(10) Projects authorized through the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council process, pursuant to chapter 80.50 RCW.

e. Section 15.07.150: Add a language regarding County permit review time.

(m) Pursuant to RCW 47.01.485, the County permit review time shall have a target of 90 days for projects on a state highway.

5. The Shorelines Master Plan Update is found to be in conformance with the intent of the Benton County Zoning Code Title 11, Environment Code Title 15, and Permit Review Process Code Title 17. All regulatory elements of this SMP, including, but not limited to, definitions and use regulations, are a part of the County's development regulations and are contained in the Shoreline Master Program.
6. The Shoreline Master Plan is found to be in conformance with the intent of the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and as such is a sub area plan of the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and is adopted by reference within the Plan.
7. The Shoreline Master Plan update was submitted by email to the State of Washington's Department of Commerce on February 24, 2021 for review in compliance with WAC 365-196-630.
8. Legal notification for the joint public hearing between Benton County and the Dept. of Ecology was given on March 24, 2021 pursuant to RCW 36.70.590.
9. The Shoreline Master Plan update appeared in a joint open record virtual public hearing before the County Planning Commission and Dept. of Ecology on April 13, 2021 at the Public Services Building, 102206 E. Wiser Parkway, Kennewick WA. Public Comment will be taken until April 23, 2021.
10. At the April 13, 2021 joint open record hearing, the Planning Commission and Dept. of Ecology were available to receive public testimony, exhibits, and answer questions in the public portion of the hearing.

SUGGESTED MOTION-

I move that the Chairman, in conjunction with the Secretary of the Planning Commission, prepare and adopt written findings and conclusions reflecting the Commission's recommendation for approval of the Shorelines Master Plan Periodic Update, that articulate and are consistent with the findings, conclusions and recommendations made by the Planning Commission tonight.

SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM PERIODIC REVIEW

Benton County SMP Periodic Review Checklist

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
2017			
a.	OFM adjusted the cost threshold for substantial development to \$7,047.	2015 SMP includes reference to previous \$6,416 cost threshold.	Section 15.02, amend definition of Substantial Development cost threshold to new inflation-adjusted amount of \$7,047.
b.	Ecology amended rules to clarify that the definition of “development” does not include dismantling or removing structures.	2015 SMP does not clarify that removing structures does not constitute “development.” This issue has come up at the counter frequently enough that staff recommend adding this optional clarification to the SMP.	Section 15.02, amend definition of development to include Ecology example code.
c.	Ecology adopted rules that clarify exceptions to local review under the SMA.	Ecology’s revised rule addressing exceptions incorporated a 2015 Legislative statutory exceptions for WSDOT projects that went into effect after the 2015 SMP was approved.	Add new Section 15.04.120, include new language based on Ecology example code.
d.	Ecology amended rules that clarify permit filing procedures consistent with a 2011 statute.	2015 SMP describes filing procedures for permit by stating “permits shall be filed with Department of Ecology pursuant to WAC 173-27-130.” Ecology amendments apply to the County, but no local amendments are needed.	N/A
e.	Ecology amended forestry use regulations to clarify that forest practices that only involves timber cutting are not SMA “developments” and do not require SDPs.	N/A. No commercial forestry in County.	N/A
f.	Ecology clarified the SMA does not apply to lands under exclusive federal jurisdiction	Benton County has jurisdiction over SDPs on Hanford Nuclear Reservation, as applicable	N/A

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
g.	Ecology clarified “default” provisions for nonconforming uses and development .	2015 SMP includes tailored nonconforming use and development provisions.	No amendments needed. County will retain existing nonconforming use and development provisions.
h.	Ecology adopted rule amendments to clarify the scope and process for conducting periodic reviews .	2015 SMP already referenced statutory obligation to conduct reviews under RCW 90.58.080. County may follow Ecology procedures for conducting reviews without amending the SMP.	No amendments needed.
i.	Ecology adopted a new rule creating an optional SMP amendment process that allows for a shared local/state public comment period.	2015 SMP does identify amendment process but doesn’t specify this optional amendment process for shared comment period	No amendment to SMP needed. SMP provides flexibility to follow optional process.
j.	Submittal to Ecology of proposed SMP amendments.	2015 SMP does include description of the SMP submittal process, and also relies on state rule.	No amendments to SMP needed. County will meet state requirements.
2016			
a.	The Legislature created a new shoreline permit exemption for retrofitting existing structures to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act .	2015 SMP incorporates the WAC by reference which includes this exemption	No amendments to SMP needed. County will meet state requirements.
b.	Ecology updated wetlands critical areas guidance including implementation guidance for the 2014 wetlands rating system.	Standards in SMP and County CAO based on 2014 wetlands rating system	No amendments to SMP needed. County will meet state requirements.
2015			
a.	The Legislature adopted a 90-day target for local review of Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) projects.	2015 SMP was adopted prior to effective date of 2015 legislation.	Section 15.07.150, adopt Ecology example code incorporating direction to conduct review consistent with legislative targets.
2014			
a.	The Legislature raised the cost threshold for requiring a Substantial Development Permit (SDP) for replacement docks on lakes and rivers to \$20,000 (from \$10,000).	2015 SMP incorporates the WAC by reference which includes this exemption	No amendments to SMP needed. County will meet state requirements.
b.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for floating	The County includes no floating on-water residences.	N/A

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
	on-water residences legally established before 7/1/2014.		
2012			
a.	The Legislature amended the SMA to clarify SMP appeal procedures .	County will rely on existing SMP language, and state laws and rules.	N/A
2011			
a.	Ecology adopted a rule requiring that wetlands be delineated in accordance with the approved federal wetland delineation manual .	Incorporated into 2015 SMP.	N/A
b.	Ecology adopted rules for new commercial geoduck aquaculture .	N/A	N/A
c.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for floating homes permitted or legally established prior to January 1, 2011.	The County includes no floating on-water residences.	N/A
d.	The Legislature authorized a new option to classify existing structures as conforming .	Incorporated into 2015 SMP.	N/A
2010			
a.	The Legislature adopted Growth Management Act – Shoreline Management Act clarifications .	Incorporated into 2015 SMP.	N/A
2009			
a.	The Legislature created new “relief” procedures for instances in which a shoreline restoration project within a UGA creates a shift in Ordinary High Water Mark.	Incorporated into 2015 SMP.	N/A
b.	Ecology adopted a rule for certifying wetland mitigation banks .	Incorporated into 2015 SMP.	N/A
c.	The Legislature added moratoria authority and procedures to the SMA.	Incorporated into 2015 SMP.	N/A
2007			
a.	The Legislature clarified options for defining "floodway" as either the area that has been	Incorporated into 2015 SMP.	N/A

<i>Row</i>	<i>Summary of change</i>	<i>Review</i>	<i>Action</i>
	established in FEMA maps, or the floodway criteria set in the SMA.		
b.	Ecology amended rules to clarify that comprehensively updated SMPs shall include a list and map of streams and lakes that are in shoreline jurisdiction.	Incorporated into 2015 SMP.	N/A
c.	Ecology's rule listing statutory exemptions from the requirement for an SDP was amended to include fish habitat enhancement projects that conform to the provisions of RCW 77.55.181.	Incorporated into 2015 SMP.	N/A

Proposed **Housekeeping** changes to the 2021 Periodic Shoreline Master Program

Cover	update to reflect new approval dates and Grant No.
Inside Cover	Added text listing adoption and amendment dates
Intro Page	Updated text to reflect current information
Page 14	Added text regarding the 2021 Periodic Update
All pages	Updated the date on the footers on all pages.
Page 21	Delete Definition for Board of Adjust and add text regarding Hearing Examiner ~~"Board of Adjustment" means the County board which hears applications for variances, conditional use permits, and other quasi-judicial matters assigned to it by the legislative body.~~ <u>"Hearings Examiner" means an examiner appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, authorized to hear and make decisions on variances, land use permits, and certain appeals.</u> Appeals may be taken to the ~~Board~~ <u>Hearings Examiner</u> by any person aggrieved, or by any officer, department, board or bureau of the county affected by appealable decisions of the director.
Page 71	15.06.050 and 060 Housekeeping edit to replace "BCC" with "Section"
Page 72	15.06.060 Housekeeping edit to replace "BCC" with "Section"
Page 73	15.06.070 Housekeeping edit to replace "BCC" with "Section"
Page 91	15.08.020 Housekeeping edit to replace "BCC" with "Section"

PCM 1.3



Benton County

DRAFT

2021 PERIODIC SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM UPDATE

Locally Approved ~~June 3, 2014~~ _____

Ecology approved ~~February 16, 2015~~ _____

Benton County Grant No. ~~G1200022~~ SEASMP-1921-BeCOPD-00012



SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM
FOR
BENTON COUNTY

Originally Adopted in 1974

AMENDMENTS:

Update locally approved June 3, 2014 by Resolution # 2014-440

Update Adopted by Ecology on February 16, 2015

2021 Update locally approved _____ by Resolution # _____

2021 Update approved by Ecology on _____



BENTON COUNTY SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM 20~~14~~21

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Jerome Delvin, Chairman

Shon Small

Will McKay ~~James Beaver, Chairman~~

BENTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Martin Sheeran, Chairman ~~Toni Harris~~ Marjorie Kaspar Tammy McKeirnan

Leo Perales Brian Skeels Lloyd Coughlin Curtis Wills

~~James Willard~~ ~~Richard Giberson~~ ~~Yi Qu~~ ~~Darwin Crosby~~

BENTON COUNTY PLANNING STAFF

Greg Wendt, Director

Michelle Cooke, Assistant Planning Manager

Donna Hutchinson, Office Assistant IV

~~Mike Shuttleworth, Planning Manager~~

~~Susan Walker, Senior Planner~~

~~Valerie Smith, Associate Planner~~

The Benton County Planning Staff would like to extend their thanks and appreciation to the residents of Benton County and the Benton County Planning Commission for their contributions throughout development of this Shoreline Master Program.

~~*Shoreline Master Program Update Resolution #2014-440 locally approved June 3, 2014.~~

~~*Approved by the Department of Ecology with an effective date of February 16, 2015.~~

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Shoreline Master Program Policy Chapter	1
A. Introduction	1
1. Purpose and Relationship to State Planning and Shoreline Laws	1
2. Profile of Benton County's Shoreline Jurisdiction	3
B. General Statement of Goals	3
C. Shoreline Uses and Modifications Sub-element	4
1. Shoreline Environment Designation Policies	4
2. Agriculture Policies	5
3. Aquaculture Policies	6
4. Boating and Private Moorage Facilities Policies	6
5. Breakwaters, Jetties, Groins and Weirs Policies	6
6. Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal Policies	6
7. Fill Policies	6
8. In-Stream Structures Policies	7
9. Mining Policies	7
10. Residential Development Policies	7
11. Shoreline Stabilization Policies	7
12. Utilities Policies	8
13. Existing Development Policies	8
D. Economic Development Sub-element	9
1. Commercial Development Policies	9
2. Industry Policies	9
E. Public Access Sub-element	9
G. Recreation Sub-element	10
H. Circulation Sub-element	10
I. Conservation Sub-element	11
1. Environmental Protection Policies	11
2. Critical Areas Policies	11
3. Shoreline Vegetation Conservation Policies	11
4. Water Quality, Stormwater, and Nonpoint Pollution Policies	12
J. Historic / Cultural Sub-element	12
K. Flood Hazard Management Sub-element	12
L. Restoration Sub-element	12
M. Shoreline Process and Administration Sub-element	13
Shoreline Master Program Regulations	14
Reader's Guide	14
The Shoreline Management Act and Benton County's SMP	14
Shoreline Jurisdiction	14

Applicability and Exemptions	14
How to Read and Apply this SMP	15
Coordination of Permits and Requirements with Other Agencies	15
Section 15.01 Authority and Purpose	17
01.010 Authority	17
01.020 Purpose	17
01.030 Applicability	17
01.040 Findings	18
01.050 Relationship to Other Codes, Ordinances and Plans	18
01.060 Liberal Construction	18
01.070 Effective Date	19
Section 15.02 Definitions	19
Section 15.03 Shoreline Jurisdiction and Use Preferences	34
03.010 Definition	34
03.020 General Shoreline Use Preferences	35
03.030 Shorelines of Statewide Significance	35
Section 15.04 Shoreline Environment Designations	37
04.010 Urban Transition Area	37
04.020 Rural Industrial	38
04.030 Residential	39
04.040 Rural	39
04.050 Hanford	40
04.060 Conservancy	40
04.070 Natural	41
04.080 Aquatic	42
04.090 Environment Designation Interpretation	43
04.100 Official Shoreline Maps and Unmapped or Undesignated Shorelines	43
04.110 Use and Modifications Matrix	44
04.120 Development Standards	47
Section 15.05 General Regulations and Performance Standards	48
05.010 Archaeological and Historic Resources	48
05.020 Environmental Protection	48
05.030 Shoreline Vegetation Conservation	50
05.040 Water Quality, Stormwater, and Nonpoint Pollution	52
05.050 Public Access	52
05.060 Flood Hazard Reduction	54
Section 15.06 Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction	56
06.010 General	56
06.020 Wetlands	59
06.030 Rivers and Creeks	65
06.040 Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas	69
06.050 Frequently Flooded Areas	70
06.060 Geologically Hazardous Areas	70
06.070 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas	72

Section 15.07	Use-Specific & Modification Regulations & Performance Standards	73
07.010	Agriculture	73
07.020	Aquaculture	74
07.030	Boating Facilities and Private Moorage Structures	74
07.040	Breakwaters, Jetties, Groins, and Weirs	79
07.050	Commercial Development	79
07.060	Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal	80
07.070	Fill	81
07.080	Industry	82
07.090	In-Stream Structures	83
07.100	Mining	83
07.110	Recreational Development	83
07.120	Residential Development	84
07.130	Shoreline Habitat and Natural Systems Enhancement Projects	84
07.140	Shoreline Stabilization	85
07.150	Transportation	88
07.160	Utilities	89
Section 15.08	Nonconforming Uses, Structures, and Lots	89
08.010	Non-Conforming Uses and Structures: Continuance and Discontinuance	89
08.020	Alteration, Expansion, or Restoration of Nonconforming Uses and Structures	90
08.030	Nonconforming Lots	90
08.040	Pre-Existing Legal Residential Uses – Conforming Legal Residential Structures	91
Section 15.09	Administration, Permits, and Enforcement	91
09.010	Purpose	91
09.020	Administrative Responsibilities	92
09.030	Noticing Requirements	92
09.040	Exemption from Permit Requirements	93
09.050	Interpretations	93
09.060	Permit Applications	93
09.070	Procedures applicable to all shoreline permits	94
09.080	Procedures applicable to Substantial Development Permits	94
09.090	Procedures Applicable to Shoreline Conditional Use Permits	95
09.100	Procedures Applicable to Shoreline Variances	95
09.110	Revisions to Permits	96
09.120	Enforcement Authority	97
09.130	Amendments to SMP	97
09.140	Monitoring	98

SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM POLICY CHAPTER



A. Introduction

1. Purpose and Relationship to State Planning and Shoreline Laws

Washington State's citizens voted to approve the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) of 1971 in November 1972. In accordance with the SMA, Benton County developed and adopted its first Shoreline Master Program (SMP) in 1974. A SMP is a set of goals, policies and regulations required by the SMA that:

- Encourages reasonable and appropriate development of shorelines with an emphasis on water-oriented use, such as docks, marinas, and recreational facilities, or industries and commercial uses that require a shoreline location and support economic development; and,
- Protects the natural character of the shorelines, the land, vegetation, wildlife, and shoreline environment; and,
- Promotes public access and provides opportunities to enjoy views and recreational activities in shoreline areas.

The SMP addresses the Yakima and Columbia Rivers, land within 200 feet of the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM) of these rivers, their floodways, contiguous 100-year floodplain extending up to 200 feet inland of the floodway, and associated wetlands.

In 2003 the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) updated the SMP Guidelines (referenced as SMP Guidelines). The SMA and implementing SMP Guidelines require all towns, cities, and counties across the state to comprehensively update their SMPs. The SMP update allows preparation of a locally tailored program that represents the visions and interests of our citizens and meets the needs of our rural communities. The SMP is required to be updated and adopted by June ~~2014~~ 2021.

After the local development and adoption process is complete, the completed SMP is reviewed by Ecology to ensure compliance with the SMP Guidelines. The SMP does not become effective until it has been adopted by the County and approved by Ecology.

This SMP Policy Chapter addresses one aspect of requirements: a statement of goals and policies. Detailed regulations are located in the Benton County Code Title 15. Together, the Shoreline Master Program Policy Chapter and the Shoreline Master Program Regulations constitute the entire SMP.

The SMP Policy Chapter is considered a sub area plan of the Benton County Comprehensive Land Use Plan (Comprehensive Plan) prepared in accordance with the Growth Management Act (GMA). The GMA was amended in 1995 to add the goals and policies of the SMA as one of the goals of the GMA. The purpose of the SMA is stated in RCW 90.58.020 as follows:

"The legislature finds that the shorelines of the state are among the most valuable and fragile of its natural resources and that there is great concern throughout the state relating to their utilization, protection, restoration, and preservation. In addition, it finds that ever increasing pressures of additional uses are being placed on the shorelines necessitating increased coordination in the management and development of the shorelines of the state. The legislature further finds that much of the shorelines of the state and the uplands adjacent thereto are in private ownership; that unrestricted construction on the privately owned or publicly owned shorelines of the state is not in the best public interest; and therefore, coordinated planning is necessary in order to protect the public interest associated with the shorelines of the state while, at the same time, recognizing and protecting private property rights consistent with the public interest. There is, therefore, a clear and urgent demand for a planned, rational, and concerted effort, jointly performed by federal, state, and local governments, to prevent the inherent harm in an uncoordinated and piecemeal development of the state's shorelines.



It is the policy of the state to provide for the management of the shorelines of the state by planning for and fostering all reasonable and appropriate uses. This policy is designed to insure the development of these shorelines in a manner which, while allowing for limited reduction of rights of the public in the navigable waters, will promote and enhance the public interest. This policy contemplates protecting against adverse effects to the public health, the land and its vegetation and wildlife, and the waters of the state and their aquatic life, while protecting generally public rights of navigation and corollary rights incidental thereto.

In the implementation of this policy the public's opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of natural shorelines of the state shall be preserved to the greatest extent feasible consistent with the overall best interest of the state and the people generally. To this end uses shall be preferred which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment or are unique to or dependent upon use of the state's shoreline. Alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines of the state, in those limited instances when authorized, shall be given priority for single-family residences and their appurtenant structures, ports, shoreline recreational uses including but not limited to parks, marinas, piers, and other improvements facilitating public access to shorelines of the state, industrial and commercial developments which are particularly dependent on their location on or use of the shorelines of the state and other development that will provide an opportunity for substantial numbers of the people to enjoy the shorelines of the state. Alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines and shorelands of the state shall be recognized by the department. Shorelines and shorelands of the state shall be appropriately classified and these classifications shall be revised when circumstances warrant regardless of whether the change in circumstances occurs through man-made causes or natural causes. Any areas resulting from alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines and shorelands of the state no longer

meeting the definition of "shorelines of the state" shall not be subject to the provisions of chapter 90.58 RCW.

Permitted uses in the shorelines of the state shall be designed and conducted in a manner to minimize, insofar as practical, any resultant damage to the ecology and environment of the shoreline area and any interference with the public's use of the water."

This SMP Policy Chapter implements the goals of the SMA and is designed to be compatible with the GMA Comprehensive Plan. This SMP Policy Chapter is a sub area plan of the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and is adopted by reference within the Plan. This Chapter provides the framework for future decision making and a guide for future development of lands within the County's SMP jurisdiction boundaries.

As used in this SMP Policy Chapter, goals are the broad value statements and reflect the community's broad vision for its shorelines. Goals are organized into different SMP "elements." Policies are more detailed statements of the County's vision and complete and give detail to the goals. Policies serve as a bridge between the goals and regulations.

Regulations are the specific, enforceable standards which will be implemented for shoreline development, uses and activities. They are organized by shoreline environment designations and specific land use and activity regulations. Unlike shoreline goals and policies, shoreline regulations do not become part of the County's Comprehensive Plan. Rather, shoreline regulations become part of the Benton County Code (See Title 15).

2. Profile of Benton County's Shoreline Jurisdiction

Benton County's shoreline jurisdiction encompasses 330 miles of the Columbia and Yakima Rivers. The total acreage of upland shorelands regulated by the Benton County SMP is 14.93 square miles, which, in accordance with state law, includes lands within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of the Columbia and Yakima Rivers, as well as floodways, floodplain areas within 200 feet of a mapped floodway, and associated wetlands.

Fifty-eight (58) percent of the County's shorelands occurs along the Columbia River, and the remaining 42 percent of the County's shorelands occur along the Yakima River. Both the Columbia and the Yakima Rivers within Benton County are classified as Shorelines of Statewide Significance, meaning that under State Law, specific shoreline management preferences and priorities must be applied. Federal lands make up approximately 35 percent of the area in the County's shoreline jurisdiction.

B. General Statement of Goals

It shall be the ultimate goal of the Benton County SMP to provide plans, policies and regulations consistent with the SMA (RCW 90.58) and with the SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26, State Master Program Approval/Amendment Procedures and Master Program Guidelines), which will reflect the desires of the citizens of Benton County regarding the balanced use of the county shorelines.

It is recognized that the Columbia and Yakima River shorelines in Benton County are Shorelines of Statewide Significance and must be given consideration as a major resource from which all people derive benefit. For these areas, the goals of the SMP, consistent with RCW 90.58.020, shall:

- Recognize and protect the statewide interest over local interest. This means that the County will consider its local Comprehensive Plan and development regulations as well as consult State agency policies, programs and recommendations in developing use regulations.
- Preserve the natural character of the shoreline.
- Result in long-term over short-term benefit.
- Protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline.

- Increase public access to publicly owned areas of the shorelines. In Benton County, public access should be planned and coordinated to ensure locations are appropriately sited and designed to prevent damage to the natural environment and respect the privacy of adjacent private property owners.
- Increase recreational opportunities for the public in the shoreline. Recreational opportunities should likewise be appropriately sited and designed to be compatible with the natural environment and adjacent privately owned lands.
- Provide for any other element as defined in RCW 90.58.100 deemed appropriate or necessary. (Consistent with RCW 90.58.020)

It shall further be the goal of the SMP to:

- Recognize and protect private property rights and provide for the use and enjoyment of private property consistent with the intent of the SMA.
- Avoid undue burdens on private property and streamline standards and procedures where feasible.
- Preserve the public's and property owner's opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of natural shorelines of the state to the greatest extent feasible.
- Promote preferred uses which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment or are unique to or dependent upon use of the state's shoreline consistent with the SMA and SMP Guidelines.
- Recognize the Columbia River as a transportation corridor.
- Recognize alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines and shorelands of the state.

The following statements of goals and policies are directed to address elements as outlined in the SMA and SMP Guidelines. The major SMP Policy Chapter sub-elements are: shoreline uses and modification, economic development, public access, recreation, circulation, conservation, historic/cultural, flood hazard management, restoration, and shoreline process and administration.

C. Shoreline Uses and Modifications Sub-element

SMP-Goal 1. To foster and promote the best use of Benton County shorelines. To encourage shoreline development and modifications which are wisely placed, consistent with the physical limitations of the areas, serve the needs and desires of the local citizens, and protect the functions and values of the shorelines.

1. Shoreline Environment Designation Policies

SMP-P1. To provide a high-quality shoreline environment where:

- A. Recreational opportunities are available and compatibly located and designed.
- B. The public enjoys access to and views of shoreline areas.
- C. Natural systems are preserved, restored or enhanced.
- D. Ecological functions of the shoreline are maintained and improved over time.
- E. Water-oriented uses are promoted consistent with the shoreline character and environmental functions.

- F. The rural and agricultural character of Benton County shorelines is encouraged.



- SMP-P2. Provide a comprehensive shoreline environment designation system to categorize Benton County shorelines into environments based upon the primary characteristics of shoreline areas to guide the use and management of these areas.
- SMP-P3. Designate shorelines with the following shoreline environment system:
- A. Aquatic: Protect, restore, and manage the unique characteristics and resources of the areas waterward of the ordinary high-water mark
 - B. Natural: Protect those public shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions intolerant of human use.
 - C. Conservancy: Protect ecological functions of open space, floodplain and other sensitive public or protected lands and ensure appropriate management and development of existing and future public parks and recreation areas.
 - D. Hanford: Recognize and foster the unique economic, environmental, and recreational values of the Hanford area as it transitions over time from federal energy purposes to other land uses and management consistent with the Hanford Reach National Monument designation.
 - E. Rural: Promote agricultural use and activities, including associated irrigation and support facilities, and accommodate low-density rural home sites, function as a separation between urban areas, and maintain an open space character and provide opportunities for recreational uses compatible with agricultural activities.
 - F. Residential: Accommodate residential development and accessory structures that are consistent with existing rural character and provide appropriate public access and recreational uses.
 - G. Rural Industrial: Provide for intensive water-oriented commercial, transportation, power production, and industrial uses, while protecting existing ecological functions.
 - H. Urban Transition Area: Ensure optimum utilization of shorelines occurring within designated Urban Growth Areas by managing development and uses so that it enhances and maintains shorelines for a variety of future urban uses and protect and restore ecological functions of open space, flood plain and other sensitive lands where they exist in urban and developed settings, while allowing a variety of compatible uses.

2. Agriculture Policies



- SMP-P4. Preserve and maintain productive farmlands in shoreline jurisdiction.
- SMP-P5. Promote and protect agri-tourism.
- SMP-P6. Encourage erosion control measures in accordance with the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service agency guidelines.
- SMP-P7. Limit livestock access to shoreline areas.
- SMP-P8. Control irrigation runoff to minimize discharge of chemicals, fertilizer, sediment, and organic materials in aquatic areas in accordance with federal and state water quality standards.
- SMP-P9. Allow diversion of water for agricultural purposes consistent with water rights laws and rules.

- SMP-P10. Encourage maintenance of vegetative zones between tilled areas and aquatic areas to reduce stormwater runoff, reduce sedimentation, and promote fish and wildlife habitat.

3. Aquaculture Policies

- SMP-P11. Encourage aquaculture that supports the recovery of endangered or threatened fish species.
- SMP-P12. Restrict aquaculture in areas where it would result in a net loss of ecological functions or significantly conflict with navigation or other water-dependent uses.

4. Boating and Private Moorage Facilities Policies

- SMP-P13. Give boating facilities and private moorage structures priority for shoreline location.
- SMP-P14. Design and construct boating facilities and private moorage structures to result in no net loss of ecological functions.
- SMP-P15. Give preference to boating facilities and private moorage structures that minimize the amount of shoreline modification, in-water structure, and overwater cover. In support of this, community structures are encouraged.
- SMP-P16. Ensure new boating facilities are located only at sites where suitable environmental conditions, shoreline configuration, access, and compatible adjacent uses are present. Such facilities should be coordinated with applicable local, state and federal plans and, where feasible, collocated with other compatible water-dependent uses to efficiently provide recreational resources, avoid unnecessary duplication, and minimize adverse impacts to shoreline ecological functions and processes.
- SMP-P17. Ensure boating facilities are located, designed, constructed and maintained to avoid adverse proximity impacts such as noise, light and glare; aesthetic impacts to adjacent land uses; impacts to navigation; and impacts to public access to the shoreline.



5. Breakwaters, Jetties, Groins and Weirs Policies

- SMP-P18. Allow breakwaters, jetties, and groins to be located waterward of the OHWM only where necessary to support water-dependent uses, public access, shoreline stabilization, or other specific public purpose.
- SMP-P19. Consider alternative structures with less impact where physical conditions make such alternatives feasible.

6. Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal Policies

- SMP-P20. Site and design new development to avoid or, if that is not possible, to minimize the need for new and maintenance dredging.
- SMP-P21. Ensure dredging and dredge material disposal is done in a manner that avoids or minimizes significant ecological impacts. Impacts that cannot be avoided should be mitigated in a manner that assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
- SMP-P22. Discourage the disposal of dredge material on shorelands or wetlands within a channel migration zone.

7. Fill Policies

- SMP-P23. Allow fill when it is demonstrated to be the minimum extent necessary to accommodate an allowed shoreline use or development and with assurance of no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and processes.

SMP-P24. Encourage fill when it is associated with restoration projects.

8. In-Stream Structures Policies

SMP-P25. Ensure the location, design, construction and maintenance of in-stream structures give due consideration to the full range of public interests, watershed functions and processes, and environmental concerns, with special emphasis on protecting and restoring priority habitats and species.

SMP-P26. Encourage non-structural and non-regulatory approaches as an alternative to in-stream structures. Non-regulatory and non-structural approaches may include public facility and resource planning, land or easement acquisition, education, voluntary protection and enhancement projects, or incentive programs.

9. Mining Policies

SMP-P27. Ensure mining activities are sited, designed, conducted, and completed to result in no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and processes.

SMP-P28. Base the determination no net loss of ecological function on an evaluation of the reclamation plan required for the site.

SMP-P29. Give preference to mining proposals that result in the creation, restoration or enhancement of habitat for priority species.

10. Residential Development Policies



SMP-P30. Design subdivisions in shoreline jurisdiction to be compatible with environmental conditions and to protect shoreline aesthetics.

SMP-P31. Encourage pedestrian access along the shoreline through the subdivision.

SMP-P32. Require residential development make adequate provision for wastewater, water, and stormwater facilities and apply best management practices to protect shoreline water quality and meet the needs of the development.

SMP-P33. Restrict residential development in areas subject to flooding.

SMP-P34. Encourage low impact development and vegetation conservation measures to promote environmental quality.

SMP-P35. Prohibit over-water residential development and floating homes.

11. Shoreline Stabilization Policies

SMP-P36. Locate and design new development to avoid the need for future shoreline stabilization to the extent feasible.

SMP-P37. Use structural shoreline stabilization measures only when nonstructural methods are infeasible. Nonstructural methods include building setbacks, structure relocation, groundwater management, and other measures.

SMP-P38. Ensure soft structural shoreline stabilization measures are used prior to hard stabilization measures unless demonstrated to be insufficient.

SMP-P39. Allow new or expanded structural shoreline stabilization only where demonstrated to be necessary to support or protect an allowed primary structure or a legally existing shoreline use that is in danger of loss or substantial damage, or for reconfiguration of the shoreline for mitigation or enhancement purposes.

SMP-P40. Ensure all proposals for structural shoreline stabilization, both individually and cumulatively, do not result in a net loss of ecological functions.



12. Utilities Policies

SMP-P41. Locate new utilities outside shoreline jurisdiction unless alternative locations are unfeasible, the utility requires a shoreline location, or the utility is necessary to support an approved shoreline use.

SMP-P42. Ensure new utilities utilize existing transportation and utility rights-of-way easements, or existing cleared areas to the greatest extent feasible.

SMP-P43. Design and locate utility structures to minimize disruption of public access to the shoreline, obstruction of visual access to the water, and loss of shoreline ecological function.

13. Existing Development Policies



SMP-P44. Allow legal pre-existing uses and structures to continue in accordance with this SMP.

SMP-P45. Allow alterations of legal pre-existing structures, uses, and lots in consideration of:

- A. historic development patterns, or
- B. occupancy by preferred uses pursuant to the SMA, or
- C. provision of ecological restoration, or
- D. public safety or other public purposes.

- SMP-P46. Encourage transitions from non-water-oriented uses to water-oriented uses and from non-conforming uses to conforming uses.
- SMP-P47. Review changes to nonconforming uses, structures, or lots in relation to the SMP no-net-loss of ecological function objective.
- SMP-P48. Balance rural historic character and protection/rehabilitation of significant cultural and historic properties with conformity to SMP rules when considering changes to nonconforming uses, structures, and lots.

D. Economic Development Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 2. To promote and protect tourism and agricultural activities along the shoreline.
- SMP-Goal 3. To realize locally the inherent economic opportunities and benefits associated with transition of the Hanford lands, infrastructure and resources from a military to a peacetime mission.
- SMP-Goal 4. To encourage economic development along shorelines in a manner compatible with environmental conditions and desired land use character of the shorelines.
- SMP-Goal 5. To facilitate shoreline economic growth and prosperity while taking into account the existing rural quality of life.

1. Commercial Development Policies

- SMP-P49. Give preference to water-dependent commercial uses over non-water-dependent commercial uses in the shoreline environment. Prefer water-related and water-enjoyment uses over non-water-oriented commercial uses.
- SMP-P50. Ensure shoreline commercial development provides public access to the shoreline where opportunities exist, provided that such access would not pose a health or safety hazard.
- SMP-P51. Limit over-water, and non-water-oriented commercial uses in the shoreline environment.
- SMP-P52. Allow limited commercial development in rural areas characterized by agriculture and/or industrial development to support the needs of employees.

2. Industry Policies

- SMP-P53. Design industrial development in the shoreline environment to minimize impacts to shoreline resources and interference with shoreline use by adjacent property owners.
- SMP-P54. Limit non-water-oriented industrial development in the shoreline environment and only in areas physically separated from the shoreline, where navigability is restricted, or as part of a project that provides public access or ecological restoration benefits.
- SMP-P55. Encourage cooperative use of existing port facilities, including docks and piers to reduce additional disruption to the shoreline.
- SMP-P56. Allow future industrial and port facilities that are dependent upon a shoreline location in areas where the shoreline is already characterized by industrial development or planned for such uses.



E. Public Access Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 6. To provide, protect, and enhance a public access system that is both physical and visual, which increases the amount and diversity of public access to Columbia and Yakima River shorelines, consistent with the natural shoreline character, private property rights, and

public safety. To prioritize public access on public properties, promote coordinated public access through incentives to private developments, and ensure appropriate resources are available for maintenance and enforcement.

SMP-Goal 7. Consistent with the adopted Benton County Comprehensive Plan and Comprehensive Parks Plan and together with other agencies, promote a connected public access system along the Yakima River west of Benton City to Columbia Point and Bateman Island.

SMP-P57. Ensure that the creation of public access will not endanger natural features or contribute to a loss of ecological functions.

SMP-P58. Provide additional physical and visual public access to shorelines, with a focus on public properties, by developing and implementing parks, recreation, and trails plans.

SMP-P59. In order to promote environmental protection and avoid private trespass, acquire or improve public access opportunities in high demand or good water locations. Priority locations include, but are not limited to: Snively, Chandler reach, Finley, Paterson, and others.

SMP-P60. Focus public access in less environmentally sensitive areas and offer adequate recreation facilities and parking.

SMP-P61. Apply public access standards to new development creating a demand for public access. Allow flexible options to provide public access in new development.

SMP-P62. Consider incentives for well-designed common access and for improved ecological function.

G. Recreation Sub-element

SMP-Goal 8. To meet the recreational needs of Benton County residents and visitors while protecting shoreline ecological resources.

SMP-P63. Develop recreational activity areas in a manner which complements the intent of the shoreline environment and natural habitats and results in no-net-loss of shoreline ecological function.

SMP-P64. Encourage recreational development and use of the shorelines that is related to enjoyment of, access to, and use of the water. Give shoreline recreational development priority within shoreline jurisdiction.



SMP-P65. Ensure provision of recreational space and uses is coordinated and consistent with the County's shoreline public access plan.

SMP-P66. Continue to work with non-profit, state, and federal agencies to support local and regional opportunities for public recreation, shoreline access and use.

SMP-P67. Recognize that state-owned shorelines are particularly adapted to providing wilderness beaches, ecological study areas, and other recreational uses for the public.

SMP-P68. Require development applicants to monitor or limit the use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides to maintain recreational facilities. Management that utilizes organic treatments, integrated pest management, or non-synthetic chemicals is preferred where feasible and practical.

H. Circulation Sub-element

SMP-Goal 9. To encourage a circulation system which will efficiently and safely move people, goods and services with good planning to minimize disruption or adverse effect on the shoreline areas.

SMP-Goal 10. To allow for safe emergency access to shorelines.

SMP-P69. Design transportation facilities within shoreline jurisdiction to the minimum size necessary to reduce their impact on the ecological function of the shoreline.

SMP-P70. Maintain transportation facilities in a manner that minimizes impacts on the ecological function of the shoreline.

SMP-P71. Encourage non-motorized trails that provide recreational access to the shoreline.

SMP-P72. Allow parking in shoreline jurisdiction for authorized uses where upland locations are not feasible. Allow parking in shoreline jurisdiction for water-oriented uses when needed to support access to water-oriented elements of the development.

I. Conservation Sub-element



SMP-Goal 11. To encourage sound management of renewable shoreline resources and protection of non-renewable shoreline resources. Non-renewable resources are those that are in danger of depletion faster than nature can create them. Renewable resources can be replaced over time. It is recognized that shorelines themselves are finite areas within which to balance shoreline uses, conservation, and public access.

SMP-Goal 12. To achieve sustainability of resource functions and values and no-net-loss of ecological functions by allowing shoreline development and modifications when impacts are minimized through mitigation sequencing and by encouraging and incentivizing restoration of ecological functions where they have been impaired.

SMP-Goal 13. Promote and protect the scenic aesthetic quality of shoreline areas and vistas to the greatest extent feasible.

1. Environmental Protection Policies

SMP-P73. Protect all shorelines of the state in a manner consistent with all relevant constitutional and other legal limitations on the regulation of private property so that there is no net loss of ecological functions from both individually permitted and exempt development.

SMP-P74. Protect and, where necessary, apply planning and land use measures to improve the quality and productivity of the County's environmental resources (air, ground and surface waters, and indigenous biology).

SMP-P75. Sustain a diverse, productive, and high-quality natural environment for the use, health and enjoyment of County residents.

2. Critical Areas Policies

SMP-P76. Identify and protect critical fish and wildlife habitat from destruction or encroachment of incompatible uses.

SMP-P77. Preserve natural wetlands (marshes, sloughs, shorelines, etc.) that are important wildlife and game habitat or recreational areas.

SMP-P78. Protect life and property by avoiding inappropriate developments in areas susceptible to natural disasters and hazards, such as floodways and steep slopes.

3. Shoreline Vegetation Conservation Policies

SMP-P79. Where new developments, uses and/or redevelopments are proposed, ensure shoreline vegetation, both upland and waterward of the OHWM, is conserved to maintain shoreline ecological functions and processes.

- SMP-P80. Encourage management and control of noxious and invasive weeds. Control of such species should be done in a manner that retains onsite native vegetation, provides for erosion control, and protects water quality.

4. Water Quality, Stormwater, and Nonpoint Pollution Policies

- SMP-P81. Maintain and improve the water quality of the Yakima and Columbia Rivers, and preserve surface and groundwater for the beneficial use of the rural area's citizens and wildlife.
- SMP-P82. Require that new developments or expansions or retrofits of existing developments assess the effects of additional stormwater runoff volumes and velocities and mitigate potential adverse effects on shorelines through design and implementation of appropriate stormwater management measures.

J. Historic / Cultural Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 14. To encourage the protection of areas and sites having historic, cultural, educational or scientific value.
- SMP-P83. Ensure development applicants provide protection and restoration of sites, buildings, structures, districts and objects along Benton County shorelines having historic, archaeological, cultural, educational or scientific value consistent with state and federal laws.
- SMP-P84. Require development applications along shorelines to consult with professional archaeologists, historians, biologists, Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) and affected tribes to screen proposals, identify areas containing potentially valuable data, and to establish procedures for maintaining the area in an undisturbed condition, or salvaging the data.
- SMP-P85. Require developers to immediately stop work and notify Benton County, DAHP, and affected tribes, if any archaeological or historic resources are uncovered during excavation to allow for preservation and/or retrieval of data.

K. Flood Hazard Management Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 15. To protect life and property and avoid the need for new shoreline stabilization or flood control infrastructure.
- SMP-Goal 16. To apply consistent flood hazard regulations to reduce the potential for damage to persons or property.
- SMP-P86. Recognize and protect the hydrologic functions of floodplains by limiting the use of structural flood hazard reduction measures.
- SMP-P87. Ensure developments subject to damage or that could result in loss of life do not locate in areas of known flood hazards unless it can be demonstrated by the project proponent that the development is sited, designed and engineered for long-term structural integrity, and that life and property on and off-site are not subject to increased hazards as a result of the development.
- SMP-P88. Limit new development or uses in shoreline jurisdiction, including subdivision of land that would likely require structural flood hazard reduction measures.

L. Restoration Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 17. To upgrade shoreline ecological functions and aesthetics to a level commensurate with their importance to the community and to achievement of regional goals for species and habitat recovery such as through the projects, programs and plans established within the SMP Shoreline Restoration Plan.
- SMP-Goal 18. To provide voluntary incentives for restoration by property owners, facilitate the permitting for restoration projects, and coordinate with agencies, tribes, and non-profit

groups to achieve effective restoration of shoreline ecological functions and maximize public funding.

- SMP-P89. Promote restoration and enhancement actions that improve shoreline ecological functions and processes and target the needs of sensitive plant, fish and wildlife species as identified by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Washington Department of Natural Resources, affected tribes, National Marine Fisheries Service, and/or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- SMP-P90. Ensure restoration and enhancement of shorelines is designed using principles of landscape and conservation ecology and restores or enhances chemical, physical, and biological watershed processes that create and sustain shoreline habitat structures and functions.
- SMP-P91. Seek funding to implement restoration and enhancement projects, particularly those that are identified in the Restoration Plan of this SMP or in other pertinent plans. Funding may be sought by the county or other entities.
- SMP-P92. Develop application processing guidelines that will streamline the review of restoration-only projects.
- SMP-P93. Allow for the use of tax incentive programs, mitigation banking, grants, land swaps, or other programs, as they are developed, to encourage restoration and enhancement of shoreline ecological functions and to protect habitat for fish, wildlife and plants.

M. Shoreline Process and Administration Sub-element

- SMP-Goal 19. To provide a process to update the SMP consistent with the update schedule of the SMA.
- SMP-P94. When assigning environment designations and determining permitted uses within the different designations and use categories, consider the ability of the landscape to accommodate planned uses.
- SMP-P95. Encourage citizen participation in the implementation of this SMP.
- SMP-P96. Protect property rights of landowners from arbitrary and discriminatory actions.
- SMP-P97. Develop administrative procedures which will help the applicant, the County, and other interested parties reach a quick and accurate assessment of a proposed development.
- SMP-P98. Reconcile conflicting public policy goals by considering the overall needs of the community including public access, infrastructure requirements, utility corridor alignments and facilities, and natural resource protection.
- SMP-P99. Implement shoreline improvements as scheduled through the Capital Facilities Element of the County's Comprehensive Plan and Capital Improvement Plan processes.



SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM REGULATIONS

Reader's Guide

The Shoreline Management Act and Benton County's SMP

Washington State's citizens voted to approve the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) of 1971 in November 1972. The SMA seeks to provide environmental protection for shorelines, preserve and enhance shoreline public access, and encourage appropriate development that supports water-oriented uses. Benton County developed and adopted its first Shoreline Master Program (SMP) in 1974. That SMP was developed almost 40 years ago and since then much has changed along Benton County shorelines. In addition, knowledge of best development and conservation practices has evolved. There have also been changes in State laws and rules. Therefore, in accordance with the SMA, Benton County has prepared this SMP [Periodic Update](#) to guide and manage its shorelines.

The Benton County SMP contains goals, policies, regulations, and a use map that guide the development of shorelines in accordance with the SMA (Revised Code of Washington [RCW] 90.58), Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) SMP Guidelines (Washington Administrative Code [WAC] 173-26), and Shoreline Management Permit and Enforcement Procedures (WAC 173-27).

Consistent with RCW 36.70A.480, the goals and policies of Benton County's SMP, approved under chapter 90.58 RCW, are considered a sub area plan of the County's *Comprehensive Land Use Plan* (Comprehensive Plan) and are found in the SMP Policy Chapter. The SMP Policy Chapter is a sub area plan of the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and is adopted by reference within the Plan. It provides the framework for future decision making and a guide for future development of lands within the County's SMP jurisdiction boundaries.

All regulatory elements of this SMP, including, but not limited to, definitions and use regulations, are a part of the County's development regulations and are contained in Title 15, Shoreline Master Program.

Shoreline Jurisdiction

In accordance with state laws and rules, the jurisdiction of Benton County's SMP encompasses the Columbia and Yakima Rivers, land within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of these waterways, their floodways, contiguous 100-year floodplain extending up to 200 feet inland of the floodway, and associated wetlands.

Applicability and Exemptions

The SMP applies to all proposed uses and development occurring within shoreline jurisdiction. This SMP does not apply to certain activities that do not alter structures or properties, such as interior building changes or routine gardening. It also does not apply to legally established uses already on the land such as existing agriculture, existing residences, and other existing uses, structures, and activities. See Section 15.01 for a complete description of SMP applicability.

There are also activities that are exempt from the Shoreline Substantial Development Permit system. These activities are subject to the standards of the SMP but are not required to submit fees and other materials associated with Shoreline Substantial Development Permits. Common exemptions include, but are not limited to:

- Normal maintenance or repair of existing structures or developments
- Bulkheads common to single-family residences
- Emergency construction necessary to protect property from damage
- Construction and practices normal or necessary for farming, irrigation, and ranching activities including agricultural service roads and utilities, construction of a barn or similar agricultural structure, and the construction and maintenance of irrigation structures
- Construction of a single-family residence

- Construction of a dock, including a community dock, designed for pleasure craft only, for the private noncommercial use

Exemptions are fully described and listed in WAC 173-27-040 and RCW 90.58.030 (3)(e), 90.58.140(9), 90.58.147, 90.58.355, and 90.58.515, as amended. See Section 15.09.040 for additional information on exemptions.

How to Read and Apply this SMP

When reading the SMP, it is useful to consider the definitions of the following terms that are based on definitions in the SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26-020):

- Shall or must: means a mandate; the action must be done.
- Should: means that the particular action is required unless there is a demonstrated, compelling reason, based on policy of the Shoreline Management Act and shoreline master program, against taking the action.
- May: means the action is acceptable, provided it conforms to the provisions of this SMP and the Act.

In general, this SMP uses the word “should” in goals, objectives, and policies, and “shall” in the regulations. Additional definitions are located in Section 15.02.


The SMP has a high level of detail for the following reasons: 1) to allow for more shoreline applications to be approved administratively for an efficient and cost-effective process, 2) to cross-reference applicable state and federal laws to help consolidate requirements and be a resource for property owners and local government staff, and 3) to provide some certainty of interpretation and application that benefits property owners and local government staff over time.

For informational purposes, the flow chart below illustrates how an applicant could navigate the regulations to determine if and how they apply to a particular project and property. In addition to approval from the Benton County Department (Permitting), any shoreline development or construction project may also require a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and/or the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, and the Washington Department of Natural Resources, as well as other agencies (please see “Coordination” section below).

Coordination of Permits and Requirements with Other Agencies

Although not required by this SMP, applicants may find it helpful to coordinate early in the project design process with one or more of the following agencies depending on the type and location of the project:

- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (any project that may affect upland or aquatic habitats)
- Washington Department of Natural Resources (projects waterward of the OHWM)
- Yakama Nation (any project that may affect upland or aquatic habitats)
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (projects waterward of the OHWM on the Columbia River or that involve any fill on the Yakima River)
- Washington Department of Ecology (any project, but particularly those that require a permit from the Corps or may have impacts on wetlands or other waters)
- Benton Conservation District (any project where the applicant is interested in restoration opportunities)



Many projects may also be under the jurisdiction of one or more of the above-listed agencies (particularly for projects located waterward of the OHWM), in which case early consultation is not only advised, but required. The Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance permitting services website is a useful tool for identifying potential jurisdictional agencies and permits.

For residents of the County interested in improving the ecological functions of their shoreline, the County's Shoreline Restoration Plan identifies a number of agencies and organizations that can provide advice or assistance with design and implementation.

Section 15.01 Authority and Purpose

01.010 Authority

This SMP is enacted and administered according to the following state law and rules:

- (e) The Shoreline Management Act (SMA) of 1971, Chapter 90.58 RCW;
- (f) State master program approval/amendment procedures and master program guidelines, WAC 173-26; and
- (g) Shoreline management permit and enforcement procedures, Chapter 173-27 WAC.

01.020 Purpose

The purposes of this SMP are:

- (a) To promote the public health, safety, and general welfare of the County by providing comprehensive policies and effective, reasonable regulations for development, use and protection of jurisdictional shorelines; and
- (b) To further assume and carry out the local government responsibilities established by RCW 90.58.050 including planning and administering the regulatory program; and
- (c) To assure no net loss of ecological functions associated with the shoreline; and
- (d) To carry out the policies and use preferences in RCW 90.58.020, described in Section 15.03.

01.030 Applicability

- (a) Except as described in Subsection (b) and (c), all proposed uses and development occurring within shoreline jurisdiction must conform to the intent and requirements of the laws and rules cited in Section 15.01.010 and this SMP.
- (b) The following are examples of activities that are not considered development and are therefore not subject to this SMP:
 - (1) Interior building improvements that do not change the use or occupancy;
 - (2) Exterior structure maintenance activities, including painting and roofing, as long as it does not expand the existing footprint of the structure; and,
 - (3) Routine landscape maintenance of established, ornamental landscaping, such as lawn mowing, pruning and weeding.
- (c) Consistent with Section 15.02 (Definitions), WAC 173-26-020 (Definitions), and WAC 173-26-241(3)(a), as amended, this SMP shall not require modifications of or limit agricultural activities on agricultural lands.
- (d) Activities that are exempt from the permit system in Section 15.09.040 shall comply with this SMP whether or not a permit or other form of authorization is required.
- (e) The shoreline permit procedures, policies and regulations established in this SMP shall apply countywide to all nonfederal uses, activities, and development.

- (f) This SMP applies to lands subject to nonfederal ownership, lease or easement, even though such lands may fall within the external boundaries of a federal ownership.

01.040 Findings

This SMP was developed based on community participation, local shoreline conditions, and the Shoreline Management Act provisions per Resolution 2014-440 dated June 3, 2014. Key findings are highlighted below:

- (a) The Benton County SMP Public Participation Plan, adopted by the County Commissioners in June 2012, was followed, and encouraged public involvement and interaction, and provided public forums, open houses and meetings in several venues in the County.
- (b) A Shoreline Advisory Committee made up of fourteen volunteers with diverse backgrounds, including, shoreline property owners, residents, agri-business, economic and environmental interests, state and federal agencies and the Yakama Nation, and sanctioned by the Board of County Commissioners, reviewed, interacted and provided input for the SMP and all required documents.
- (c) The Benton County Shoreline Analysis Report, Inventory and Channel Migration Maps, Cumulative Impacts Analysis, and voluntary Restoration Plan were utilized for the development of the Benton County SMP update which was prepared in conformance with RCW 90.58 (Shoreline Management Act) and WAC 173-26.
- (d) The SMP is appropriately tailored to accommodate Benton County's unique environmental conditions and community needs.
- (e) The policies, programs and regulations of the SMP address cumulative impacts of the reasonably foreseeable future development and use of the County's shoreline and further demonstrate through its Cumulative Impacts Analysis that the SMP as prepared will not result in degradation of shoreline ecological functions over the next 20-year planning horizon.
- (f) The SMP will help protect water quality for the County's rivers and streams, increase protection of lives and property from flood, protect fish and wildlife habitat, allow preferred uses along the shoreline meeting the needs of the Benton County community, and promote recreational opportunities for County residents consistent with RCW 90.58/WAC 173-26.
- (g) The SMP is in the best interest of the public and is consistent with the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and furthers the intent of the Shoreline Management Act (RCW 90.58/WAC 173-26).

01.050 Relationship to Other Codes, Ordinances and Plans

- (a) All applicable federal, state, and local laws shall apply to properties in the shoreline jurisdiction.
- (b) Consistent with RCW 36.70A.480, the goals and policies of this SMP approved under chapter 90.58 RCW shall be considered a sub area plan of Benton County's Comprehensive Plan. All regulatory elements of this SMP, including, but not limited to, definitions and use regulations, shall be considered a part of Benton County's development regulations.
- (c) All local development regulations including, but not limited to, zoning and subdivision rules shall apply in addition to this SMP. This SMP includes critical areas regulations applicable only in shoreline jurisdiction, and shall control within shoreline jurisdiction over other County critical area regulations adopted pursuant to the Growth Management Act.
- (d) In the event provisions of this SMP conflict with provisions of federal, state, county or city regulations, the provision that is most protective of shoreline resources shall prevail, when consistent with policies set out in the SMA.

01.060 Liberal Construction

As provided for in RCW 90.58.900, the SMA is exempted from the rule of strict construction; the SMA and this SMP shall therefore be liberally construed to give full effect to the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies for which they were enacted.

01.070 Effective Date

The SMP is hereby adopted on the 3rd of June 2014. This SMP and all amendments thereto shall become effective 14 days from the date of the Washington Department of Ecology's written notice of final approval.

Section 15.02 Definitions

Whenever the words and terms set forth in this Section appear in this title, they shall be given the meaning attributed to them by this Section. Definitions established by RCW 90.58.030 and WAC 173 have been incorporated herein and should these definitions in the RCW or WAC be amended, the most current RCW or WAC definition shall apply. Except where specifically defined in this Section, the RCW, the WAC, or the Benton County Code, all words used in this SMP shall carry their customary meanings.

"Abutting" means bordering upon, to touch upon, or in physical contact with. Sites are considered abutting even though the area of contact may be only a point.

"Accessory" means any use or development incidental to and subordinate to a primary use of a shoreline use or development. See also Appurtenance, Residential.

"Adjacent" means to be nearby and not necessarily abutting.

"Agricultural activities" means agricultural uses and practices including, but not limited to: Producing, breeding, or increasing agricultural products; rotating and changing agricultural crops; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie fallow in which it is plowed and tilled but left unseeded; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie dormant as a result of adverse agricultural market conditions; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie dormant because the land is enrolled in a local, state, or federal conservation program, or the land is subject to a conservation easement; conducting agricultural operations; maintaining, repairing, and replacing agricultural equipment; maintaining, repairing, and replacing agricultural facilities, provided that the replacement facility is no closer to the shoreline than the original facility; and maintaining agricultural lands under production or cultivation. See Section 15.05.010 regarding interpretation of agricultural activities.

"Agricultural equipment" and "agricultural facilities" includes, but is not limited to:

- A. The following used in agricultural operations: Equipment; machinery; constructed shelters, buildings, and ponds; fences; upland finfish rearing facilities; water diversion, withdrawal, conveyance, and use equipment and facilities including but not limited to pumps, pipes, tapes, canals, ditches, and drains;
- B. corridors and facilities for transporting personnel, livestock, and equipment to, from, and within agricultural lands;
- C. farm residences and associated equipment, lands, and facilities; and
- D. roadside stands and on-farm markets for marketing fruit or vegetables.

"Agricultural land" means those specific land areas on which agriculture activities are conducted as of the date of adoption of a local master program as evidenced by aerial photography or other documentation. After the effective date of the master program, land converted to agricultural use is subject to compliance with the requirements of the master program.

"Agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance" means those lands that are not already characterized by urban growth and that have long-term significance for the commercial production of food or other agricultural products.

"Agricultural products" includes but is not limited to horticultural, viticultural, floricultural, vegetable, fruit, berry, grain, hops, hay, straw, turf, sod, seed, and apiary products; feed or forage for livestock; Christmas trees; hybrid cottonwood and similar hardwood trees grown as crops and harvested within twenty years of

planting; and livestock including both the animals themselves and animal products including but not limited to meat, upland finfish, poultry and poultry products, and dairy products.

"Agricultural Related Industry" means specifically:

- A. Packaging Plants - may include but are not limited to the following activities: washing, sorting, crating, and other functional operations such as drying, field crushing, or other preparation in which the chemical and physical composition of the agriculture product remains essentially unaltered. Does not include processing activities, or slaughterhouses, animal reduction yards, and tallow works.
- B. Processing Plants - may include but are not limited to those activities which involve the fermentation or other substantial chemical and physical alteration of the agricultural product. Does not include slaughterhouses or rendering plants.
- C. Storage Facilities - may include those activities which involve the warehousing of processed and/or packaged agricultural products.

"Agricultural tourism" or "Agri-tourism" refers to the act of visiting a working farm or any agricultural, horticultural or agribusiness operation for the purpose of enjoyment, education or active involvement in the activities of the farm or operation.

"Amendment" means a revision, update, addition, deletion, and/or reenactment to an existing shoreline master program. "Applicant" means a person, party, firm, corporation, or other legal entity that proposes a development, construction or use on a site.

"Approval" means an official action by a local government legislative body agreeing to submit a proposed SMP or amendments to the Department of Ecology for review and official action pursuant to this chapter; or an official action by the Department of Ecology to make a local government SMP effective, thereby incorporating the approved SMP or amendment into the state master program.

"Appurtenance, residential" is necessarily connected to the use and enjoyment of a single-family residence and is located landward of the ordinary high water mark and the perimeter of a wetland. Normal appurtenance includes a garage; deck; driveway; utilities; fences; installation of a septic tank and drainfield and grading which does not exceed two hundred fifty cubic yards and which does not involve placement of fill in any wetland or waterward of the ordinary high water mark.

"Aquaculture" means the culture and/or farming of fish, shellfish, or other aquatic plants and animals. Aquaculture is dependent on the use of the water area and, when consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the environment, is a preferred use of the water area. Commercial aquaculture is conducted to produce products for market with the objective of earning a profit. Non-commercial aquaculture is conducted for the benefit of native fish recovery, education and interpretation, or other public benefit or use.

"Aquifer" means a body of rock or soil that contains sufficient saturated permeable material to conduct groundwater and to yield economically significant quantities of groundwater to wells and springs.

"Aquifer confined" means groundwater overlain by a confining bed, such as an impermeable layer of clay or rock.

"Aquifer Recharge/Interchange Area" means those natural and man-made land features that hold or convey surface waters having connectivity to groundwater.

"Aquifer unconfined" means groundwater lying between the soil profile and the shallowest impermeable layer (i.e., clay, basalt).

"Archaeologist, professional" means a person who meets qualification standards promulgated by DAHP and the National Park Service and published in 36 CFR Part 61, and which define minimum education and experience required to perform identification, evaluation, registration and treatment activities for archaeological sites. In

some cases, additional areas or levels of expertise may be needed, depending on the complexity of the task and the nature of the properties involved.

"Area of Special Flood Hazard", which designation on the Flood Insurance Rate Maps always includes the letter A or V, means the land in the floodplain within a community subject to a one percent or greater chance of flooding in any given year.

"Base Flood" or "100-year Flood" means the designation on the Federal Emergency Management Act (FEMA) Flood Insurance Maps that denote areas subject to floods having a one (1) percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The base flood is determined for existing conditions, unless a basin plan including project flows under future developed conditions has been completed and adopted by Benton County; in these cases, future flow projections shall be used. In areas where the Flood Insurance Study includes detailed base flood calculations, those calculations may be used until projections of future flows are completed and approved by Benton County.

"Best Management Practices" or "BMPs" means physical, structural and/or managerial practices, that, when used singly or in a combination protect the functions and values of critical resources. BMPs are current and evolving conservation practices, systems of practices, management and operational measures, design and construction techniques, or normal and accepted industry standards that are applied to land uses and land use activity in a manner which:

- A. controls soil loss and reduces water surface and ground-water quality degradation caused by nutrients, animal wastes, toxins, and sediment; and,
- B. mitigates adverse impacts to the natural chemical, physical and biological environment of the County; and,
- C. facilitates the utilization of the County's natural resources on a long term, sustainable yield basis.

~~"Board of Adjustment" means the County board which hears applications for variances, conditional use permits, and other quasi-judicial matters assigned to it by the legislative body.~~ "Hearings Examiner" means an examiner appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, authorized to hear and make decisions on variances, land use permits, and certain appeals. Appeals may be taken to the ~~Board~~ Hearings Examiner by any person aggrieved, or by any officer, department, board or bureau of the county affected by appealable decisions of the director.

"Boating Facilities" means developments and uses that support access to shoreline waters for purposes of boating, including marinas, community docks serving more than four single-family residences or multi-family units, public piers, and community or public boat launch facilities.

"Breakwater" means a fixed or floating offshore structure that protects the shore from wave action or currents.

"Buffer" means a designated area used to separate incompatible uses or protect resources or development. Buffers are generally undeveloped areas. There are different types of buffers for different purposes:

- A. buffers which protect sensitive natural resources (critical areas) from the adverse impacts of development are generally undeveloped open space which are ecologically part of the protected resource;
- B. buffers which protect the integrity of development from certain natural hazards such as slope instability, floods or fire prone areas, and which ensure that buildings and development avoid the hazardous condition;
- C. buffers to separate incompatible uses, such as residential from industrial, airports, or certain activities common to commercial agriculture, are generally open or sparsely populated.

"Building Setback" means a line which establishes a definite point beyond which the foundation of a building shall not extend; this line is measured from the upland edge of the shoreline buffer.

"Bulkhead" means a vertical or nearly vertical erosion protection structure placed parallel to the shore consisting of concrete, timber, steel, rock, or other permanent material not readily subject to erosion.

"Candidate" means any species officially designated as "Candidate" by the appropriate agency of the federal government or by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife.

"Channel migration zone (CMZ)" means the area along a river within which the channel(s) can be reasonably predicted to migrate over time as a result of natural and normally occurring hydrological and related processes when considered with the characteristics of the river and its surroundings.

"Clearing" means the cutting or removal of vegetation or other organic plant material by physical, mechanical, chemical, or any other means.

"Commercial" means those activities engaged in commerce and trade and involving the exchange of money, including but not limited to, retail, services, wholesale, or business trade activities. Examples include, but are not limited to, hotels, motels, or other commercial accommodations, grocery stores, restaurants, concessions, shops, commercial recreation facilities such as marinas, boat repair, boat, canoe, or kayak rentals, and offices.

"Comprehensive master program update" means a master program that fully achieves the procedural and substantive requirements of the Department of Ecology's SMP Guidelines effective January 17, 2004, as now or hereafter amended.

"Comprehensive Plan" means the Benton County Comprehensive Land Use Plan and any amendments, addenda, or supplemental plans that are duly adopted under Chapter 36.70 RCW (as amended), for Benton County or any portion thereof.

"Conditional use" means a use, development, or substantial development which is classified as a conditional use or is not classified within the applicable master program.

"Creeks" mean those areas of Benton County where surface waters form or have formed a defined channel or bed and for which the State Department Fish and Wildlife has Hydraulic Permit Authority. The channel or bed need not contain water year-round. This definition is not meant to include irrigation ditches, channels, storm or surface water runoff devices or other entirely artificial watercourses unless they are, or have been, used by salmonids or used to convey streams naturally occurring prior to construction in such water course.

"Critical Aquifer Recharge/Interchange Areas" means those aquifer recharge/interchange areas that have an effect on, or are associated with, aquifers used for potable water in community water systems.

"Critical Areas" means those specific resources which are subject to protection by regulation under Section 15.07 (e.g., wetlands, geologically hazardous areas, fish and wildlife conservation areas, frequently flooded areas, critical aquifer recharge/interchange areas).

"Critical Areas Overlay Maps" were developed from and are augmented by resource and technical studies, aerial photographs, and other resource maps, such as the:

- A. Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA), 100-year flood maps,
- B. County and other agency Geologic Hazards Map(s),
- C. U.S.D.A. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Soils Capabilities Map(s),
- D. Slope Stability Map(s),
- E. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory Map(s),
- F. Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), Priority Habitats and Species Maps (PHS),
- G. County Shoreline Management Map(s), and
- H. other maps as are appropriate.

"Cumulative impact" means the impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time.

"Department" means the Benton County Planning Department.

"Development" means a use consisting of the construction or exterior alteration of structures; dredging; drilling; dumping; filling; removal of any sand, gravel, or minerals; bulkheading; driving of piling; placing of obstructions; or any project of a permanent or temporary nature which interferes with the normal public use of the surface of the waters overlying lands subject to the act at any stage of water level. See also "Substantial Development."

Development does not include the following activities:

- A. Interior building improvements that do not change the use or occupancy;
- B. Exterior structure maintenance activities, including painting and roofing as long as it does not expand the existing footprint of the structure;
- C. Routine landscape maintenance of established, ornamental landscaping, such as lawn mowing, pruning and weeding; and
- D. Maintenance of the following existing facilities that does not expand the affected area: septic tanks (routine cleaning); wells; and individual utility service connections; and,
- E. [Dismantling or removing structures if there is no other associated development or re-development.](#)

"Development regulations" means the controls placed on development or land uses by a county or city, including, but not limited to, zoning ordinances, critical areas ordinances, all portions of a SMP other than goals and policies approved or adopted under chapter 90.58 RCW, planned unit development ordinances, subdivision ordinances, and binding site plan ordinances together with any amendments thereto.


"Development Site" means the legal boundaries of the parcel or parcels of land for which an applicant has applied for authority from Benton County to carry out a development proposal.

"Diversity (ecological)" refers to the variety of species of plants and animals that compose a biotic community or ecosystem, often expressed as total number of different species.

"Dock" means a structure built over or floating upon the water and used as a landing place for boats and other marine transport, fishing, swimming, and other recreational uses. A dock typically consists of the combination of one or more of the following elements: pier, ramp, and/or float.

"Dredging" means removal of earth from the bed of a stream, lake, or pond for the purpose of flood control; navigation; utility installation (excluding on-site utility features serving a primary use, which are "accessory utilities" and shall be considered a part of the primary use); the construction or modification of essential public facilities and regional transportation facilities; restoration (of which the primary restoration element is

sediment/soil removal rather than being incidental to the primary restoration purpose); and/or obtaining minerals, construction aggregate, or landfill materials. This definition does not include excavation for mining within a pond created by a mining operation approved under this title or under a local zoning ordinance, or a mining operation in existence before Zoning, Shorelines, or Critical Areas permits were required for such operations. Dredging, as regulated in this SMP under Section 15.07.60, is not intended to cover other excavations waterward of the ordinary high-water mark that are incidental to construction of an otherwise authorized use or



modification (e.g., bulkhead replacements, large woody debris installations, boat launch ramp installation, pile placement).

"Ecological functions" or "shoreline functions" means the work performed or role played by the physical, chemical, and biological processes that contribute to the maintenance of the aquatic and terrestrial environments that constitute the shoreline's natural ecosystem. Shoreline ecological functions include, but are not limited to hydrologic (transport of water and sediment across the natural range of flow variability; attenuating flow energy; developing pools, riffles, gravel bars, nutrient flux, recruitment and transport of large woody debris and other organic material), shoreline vegetation (maintaining temperature; removing excessive nutrients and toxic compound, sediment removal and stabilization; attenuation of high stream flow energy; and provision of woody debris and other organic matter), hyporheic functions (removing excessive nutrients and toxic compounds, water storage, support of vegetation, and sediment storage and maintenance of base flows), and habitat for native aquatic and shoreline-dependent birds, invertebrates, mammals; amphibians; and anadromous and resident native fish (e.g., space or conditions for reproduction; resting, hiding and migration; and food production and delivery).

"Ecologically intact" means shoreline areas that retain the majority of their natural shoreline functions, as evidenced by the shoreline configuration and the presence of native vegetation. Generally, but not necessarily, ecologically intact shorelines are free of structural shoreline modifications, structures, and intensive human uses. In forested areas, they generally include native vegetation with diverse plant communities, multiple canopy layers, and the presence of large woody debris available for recruitment to adjacent waterbodies. Recognizing that there is a continuum of ecological conditions ranging from near natural conditions to totally degraded and contaminated sites, this term is intended to delineate those shoreline areas that provide valuable functions for the larger aquatic and terrestrial environments which could be lost or significantly reduced by human development. Whether or not a shoreline is ecologically intact is determined on a case-by-case basis.

"Ecosystem-wide processes" means the suite of naturally occurring physical and geologic processes of erosion, transport, and deposition; and specific chemical processes that shape landforms within a specific shoreline ecosystem and determine both the types of habitat and the associated ecological functions.

"Erosion" means the process in which soil particles are mobilized and transported by natural agents such as wind, rain, splash, frost action or stream flow.

"Exempt" developments are those set forth in WAC 173-27-040 and RCW 90.58.030(3)(e), 90.58.140(9), 90.58.147, 90.58.355, and 90.58.515, as hereafter amended, which are not required to obtain a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit, but which must otherwise comply with applicable provisions of the SMA and this Master Program.

"Fair market value" of a development is the open market bid price for conducting the work, using the equipment and facilities, and purchase of the goods, services and materials necessary to accomplish the development. This would normally equate to the cost of hiring a contractor to undertake the development from start to finish, including the cost of labor, materials, equipment and facility usage, transportation and contractor overhead and profit. The fair market value of the development shall include the fair market value of any donated, contributed or found labor, equipment or materials.

"Feasible" means that an action, such as a development project, mitigation, or preservation requirement, meets all of the following conditions:

- A. The action can be accomplished with technologies and methods that have been used in the past in similar circumstances, or studies or tests have demonstrated in similar circumstances that such approaches are currently available and likely to achieve the intended results;
- B. The action provides a reasonable likelihood of achieving its intended purpose; and

C. The action does not physically preclude achieving the project's primary intended legal use.

In cases where these Guidelines require certain actions unless they are infeasible, the burden of proving infeasibility is on the applicant. In determining an action's infeasibility, the County may weigh the action's relative public costs and public benefits, considered in the short- and long-term time frames.

"Fill" means the addition of soil, sand, rock, gravel, sediment, earth retaining structure, or other material to an area waterward of the OHWM, in wetlands, or on shorelands in a manner that raises the elevation or creates dry land.

"Fish and Wildlife" mean any member of the animal kingdom, including without limitation, any vertebrate, mollusk, crustacean, arthropod, or other invertebrate, and includes any part, product, egg, or offspring thereof, or the dead body parts thereof.

"Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas" refer to the following:

- A. Those areas shown on the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas Map in the Benton County Comprehensive Plan;
- B. Areas identified on the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) Map within which a Priority Species is known to have a Primary Association;
- C. Naturally occurring ponds under twenty acres and their submerged aquatic beds that provide fish or wildlife habitat. These do not include ponds deliberately designed and created from dry sites such as canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, temporary construction ponds (of less than three years duration) and landscape amenities. However, naturally occurring ponds may include those artificial ponds intentionally created from dry areas in order to mitigate conversion of ponds, if permitted by a regulatory authority;
- D. Lakes, ponds, creeks and rivers planted with native fish populations, including fish planted under the auspices of federal, state, local or tribal programs or which supports priority fish species as identified by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife;
- E. Washington State Wildlife Areas as identified on Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife maps; and
- F. Washington State Natural Area Preserves and Natural Resource Conservation Areas as identified on Washington Department of Natural Resources maps.

"Float" means an anchored (not directly to the shore) floating platform that is free to rise and fall with water levels and is used for water-dependent recreational activities such as boat mooring, swimming or diving. Floats may stand alone with no over-water connection to shore or may be located at the end of a pier or ramp.

"Flood" or "Flooding" means a general and temporary condition of partial or complete inundation of normally dry land areas from:

- A. The overflow of inland or tidal waters and/or
- B. The unusual and rapid accumulation of runoff of surface waters from any source.

"Flood insurance rate map (FIRM)" means the official map on which the Federal Emergency Management Agency has delineated both the areas of special flood hazards and the risk premium zones applicable to the community.

"Flood Insurance Study" means the official report provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency that includes flood profiles, the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (FBFM), and the water surface elevation of the base flood.

"Floodplain" is synonymous with the one hundred-year floodplain and means that land area susceptible to inundation with a one percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The limit of this area shall be based upon flood ordinance regulation maps or a reasonable method which meets the objectives of the SMA.

"Floodway" means the area, as identified in this Master Program, that either:

- A. Has been established in Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Maps or floodway maps; or
- B. Consists of those portions of a river valley lying streamward from the outer limits of a watercourse upon which flood waters are carried during periods of flooding that occur with reasonable regularity, although not necessarily annually, said floodway being identified, under normal condition, by changes in surface soil conditions or changes in types or quality of vegetative ground cover condition, topography, or other indicators of flooding that occurs with reasonable regularity, although not necessarily annually.

Regardless of the method used to identify the floodway, the floodway shall not include those lands that can reasonably be expected to be protected from flood waters by flood control devices maintained by or maintained under license from the federal government, the state, or a political subdivision of the state.

"Frequently Flooded Areas" means those areas of Benton County subject to inundation by a base flood (100-Year Flood) and other flood hazard areas such as creeks, wasteways, wetlands, canyons, and closed depressions which are shown on the County's Geologic Hazards Maps. See also "Area of Special Flood Hazard."

"Geologically Hazardous Areas" are areas which pose potential threats to life or property because of unstable soil, geologic or hydrologic conditions, or steep slopes. Geologically Hazardous Areas shall include, but are not limited to, all landslide and seismic hazard areas.

"Geotechnical report" or "geotechnical analysis" means a scientific study or evaluation conducted by a qualified expert that includes a description of the ground and surface hydrology and geology, the affected land form and its susceptibility to mass wasting, erosion, and other geologic hazards or processes, conclusions and recommendations regarding the effect of the proposed development on geologic conditions, the adequacy of the site to be developed, the impacts of the proposed development, alternative approaches to the proposed development, and measures to mitigate potential site-specific and cumulative geological and hydrological impacts of the proposed development, including the potential adverse impacts to adjacent and down-current properties. Geotechnical reports shall conform to accepted technical standards and must be prepared by qualified professional engineers or geologists who have professional expertise about the regional and local shoreline geology and processes.

"Grade" means the vertical location of the ground surface. "Natural grade" is the grade as it exists or may have existed in its original undisturbed condition. "Existing grade" is the current grade in either its undisturbed, natural condition or as disturbed by some previous modification. "Rough grade" is a stage where grade conforms approximately to an approved plan. "Finish grade" is the final grade of the site which conforms to an approved plan. "Average grade level" is the average of the natural or existing topography of the portion of the lot, parcel, or tract of real property which will be directly under the proposed building or structure. In the case of structures to be built over water, average grade level shall be the elevation of the ordinary high-water mark. Calculation of the average grade level shall be made by averaging the ground elevations at the midpoint of all exterior walls of the proposed building or structure.

"Grading" means the movement or redistribution of the soil, sand, rock, gravel, sediment, or other material on a site in a manner that alters the natural contour of the land

"Groin" means a barrier type of structure that extends from the stream bank into a waterbody for the purpose of the protection of a shoreline and adjacent uplands by influencing the movement of water or deposition of

materials. Groins may serve a variety of functions, including bank protection, pool formation, and increased roughness, and may include rock structures, debris jams, or pilings that collect wood debris. See also "Weir."

"Groundwater" means the supply of fresh water under the surface of the ground in an aquifer that forms a natural reservoir of potable water.

"Guidelines" means those standards adopted by the Department of Ecology into the Washington Administrative Code (WAC) to implement the policy of Chapter 90.58 RCW for regulation of use of the shorelines of the state prior to adoption of master programs. Such standards also provide criteria for local governments and the Department of Ecology in developing and amending master programs.

"Hard structural shoreline stabilization" means shoreline erosion control practices using hardened structures that armor and stabilize the shoreline from further erosion. Hard structural shoreline stabilization typically uses concrete, boulders, dimensional lumber or other materials to construct linear, vertical or near-vertical faces. These include bulkheads, rip-rap, and similar structures.

"Height" is measured from average grade level to the highest point of a structure: Provided, that television antennas, chimneys, and similar appurtenances shall not be used in calculating height, except where such appurtenances obstruct the view of the shoreline of a substantial number of residences on areas adjoining such shorelines, or the SMP specifically requires that such appurtenances be included: Provided further, that temporary construction equipment is excluded in this calculation.

"Houseboat" or "floating home" means a dwelling unit constructed on a float that is moored, anchored, or otherwise secured in the water and is not designed for navigation under its own power.

"Hyporheic" means a groundwater area adjacent to and below channels where water is exchanged with channel water and water movement is mainly in the downstream direction

"Impervious Surface" means any material which reduces or prevents absorption of water into previously undeveloped land.

"Industry" means facilities for processing, manufacturing, and storage of finished or semi-finished goods, wholesale trade or storage, together with necessary accessory uses such as parking, loading, and waste storage and treatment.

"In-stream structures" are structure placed by humans within a stream or river waterward of the OHWM that either causes or has the potential to cause water impoundment or the diversion, obstruction, or modification of water flow. In-stream structures may include those for hydroelectric generation, irrigation, water supply, flood control, transportation, utility service transmission, fish habitat enhancement, recreation, or other purpose.

"Landslide" means episodic downslope movement of a mass of soil or rock.

"Landslide Hazard Area" refers to those areas of Benton County subject to a severe risk of landslide which include the following:

- A. Any areas with a combination of:
 - 1. Slopes greater than fifteen (15) percent;
 - 2. Impermeable soils (typically silt and clay) frequently inter-bedded with granular soils (predominately sand and gravel); or,
 - 3. Springs or ground water seepage.
- B. Any area which has shown movement during the Holocene epoch (from ten thousand 10,000 years ago to present) or which is underlain by mass wastage debris of that epoch;

- C. Any area potentially unstable as a result of rapid stream incision, stream bank erosion or undercutting by water action, including stream channel migration zones, or surcharge by upslope irrigation district canals or waterworks;
- D. Any area located on an alluvial fan, presently subject to or potentially subject to inundation by debris flows or deposition of stream-transported sediments.

"Maintenance, Normal" means those usual acts to prevent a decline, lapse, or cessation from a legally established condition. See "Repair, Normal."

"Manufactured Home" means a structure, transportable in one or more sections, which is built on a permanent chassis and designed to be used with or without a permanent foundation when connected to the required utilities. The term "manufactured home" does not include a recreational vehicle.

"Manufactured Home Park" or "Subdivision" means a parcel (or contiguous parcels) of land divided into two or more manufactured home lots for rent or sale.

"May" means the action is acceptable, provided it conforms to the provisions of this chapter.

"Mining" means the removal of naturally occurring minerals and materials from the earth for commercial value. Mining includes processing and batching. Mining does not include large excavations for structures, foundations, parking areas, etc.

"Mitigation (sequencing)" means the use of any or all of the following actions that are listed in descending order of preference:

- A. avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
- B. minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, by using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;
- C. rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating or restoring the affected sensitive area;
- D. reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation or maintenance operations during the life of the development proposal;
- E. compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing or providing substitute sensitive areas and environments;
- F. monitoring the impact and taking appropriate corrective measures.

"Monitoring" means the ongoing evaluation of the impacts of a development proposal on the biological, hydrologic and geologic conditions of Critical Areas. Monitoring includes the gathering of baseline data and the assessment of the performance of required mitigation measures through the collection and analysis of data for the purposes of understanding and documenting changes in natural ecosystems and features.

"Moorage facility" means a marina, pier, dock, mooring buoy, or any other similar fixed moorage site.

"Must" means a mandate; the action is required.

"Native vegetation" refers to plant species which are indigenous to the Central Basin region and which reasonably could have been expected to naturally occur on the site. Native vegetation does not include noxious weeds.

"Natural or existing topography" means the topography of the lot, parcel, or tract of real property immediately prior to any site preparation or grading, including excavation or filling.

"New Construction" means structures for which the "start of construction" commenced on or after the effective date of this Title.

"Nonconforming," when used in reference to a use or structure, means a land use or structure that was lawful when established, but which does not now conform to the use regulations of the zone in which it is located. A use or structure shall be considered established if it conformed to applicable development regulations at any time or if it commenced or was constructed under a permit that has not expired.

"Non water-oriented uses" means those uses that are not water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment.

"No net loss of ecological functions" means a public policy goal and requirement to maintain the aggregate total of the County's shoreline ecological functions at its current level. For purposes of reviewing and approving this SMP, "current" is equivalent to the date of the Final Shoreline Analysis Report (April 2013). As a development standard, it means the result of the application of Mitigation Sequencing, in which impacts of a particular shoreline development and/or use, whether permitted or exempt, are identified and addressed, such that there are no adverse impacts on shoreline ecological functions or processes relative to the legal condition just prior to the proposed development and/or use.

"Ordinary High Water Mark" (OHWM) means that mark on lakes and streams which will be found by examining the bed and banks and ascertaining where the presence and action of waters are so common and usual, and so long continued in ordinary years, as to mark upon the soil a character distinct from that of the abutting upland.

"Outcrop" refers to a geologic layer exposed at the earth's surface.

"Permit", for the purposes of this SMP, means any substantial development, variance, conditional use permit, or revision authorized under chapter 90.58 RCW.

"Pier" means a fixed platform above the water and supported by piles, usually perpendicular to the shoreline. See also "Dock."

"Preferred uses" are those uses which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment or are unique to or dependent upon use of the shoreline. "Preferred" uses include single-family residences, ports, shoreline recreational uses, water-dependent industrial and commercial developments, and other developments that provide public access opportunities.

"Priority habitat" means a habitat type with unique or significant value to one or more species. An area classified and mapped as priority habitat must have one or more of the following attributes: Comparatively high fish or wildlife density; comparatively high fish or wildlife species diversity; fish spawning habitat; important wildlife habitat; important fish or wildlife seasonal range; important fish or wildlife movement corridor; rearing and foraging habitat; refuge; limited availability; high vulnerability to habitat alteration; unique or dependent species; or shellfish bed. A priority habitat may be described by a unique vegetation type or by a dominant plant species that is of primary importance to fish and wildlife. A priority habitat may also be described by a successional stage. Alternatively, a priority habitat may consist of a specific habitat element (such as talus slopes, caves, snags) of key value to fish and wildlife. A priority habitat may contain priority and/or non-priority fish and wildlife.

"Priority species" means species requiring protective measures and/or management guidelines to ensure their persistence at genetically viable population levels. Priority species are those that meet any of the criteria listed below:

- A. State-listed or state proposed species. State-listed species are those native fish and wildlife species legally designated as endangered (WAC 232-12-014), threatened (WAC 232-12-011), or sensitive (WAC 232-12-011). State proposed species are those fish and wildlife species that will be reviewed by the Department of Fish and Wildlife (POL-M-6001) for possible listing as endangered, threatened, or sensitive according to the process and criteria defined in WAC 232-12-297.
- B. Vulnerable aggregations. Vulnerable aggregations include those species or groups of animals susceptible to significant population declines, within a specific area or statewide, by virtue of their inclination to congregate. Examples include heron colonies, seabird concentrations, and marine mammal congregations.

- C. Species of recreational, commercial, and/or tribal importance. Native and nonnative fish, shellfish, and wildlife species of recreational or commercial importance and recognized species used for tribal ceremonial and subsistence purposes that are vulnerable to habitat loss or degradation.
- D. Species listed under the federal Endangered Species Act as either proposed, threatened, or endangered.

"Provisions" means policies, regulations, standards, guideline criteria or environment designations.

"Public interest" means the interest shared by the citizens of the state or community at large in the affairs of government, or some interest by which their rights or liabilities are affected including, but not limited to, an effect on public property or on health, safety, or general welfare resulting from a use or development.

"Public access" means the ability of the general public to reach, touch, and enjoy the water's edge, to travel on the waters of the state, and to view the water and the shoreline from adjacent locations.

"Public Trust Doctrine" is a common law principle generally holding that the waters of the state are a public resource owned by and available to all citizens equally for the purposes of navigation, conducting commerce, fishing, recreation and similar uses. While the doctrine protects public use of navigable water bodies below the ordinary high-water mark, the doctrine does not allow the public to trespass over privately owned uplands to access the tidelands.

"Qualified Professional" means an accredited or licensed professional with a combination of education and experience in the discipline appropriate for the subject matter under review, or someone who would qualify as an expert in their field.

"Recharge Area" refers to an area in which water is absorbed and added to the groundwater reservoir.

"Recreation" means an experience or activity in which an individual engages for personal enjoyment and satisfaction. Shore-based outdoor recreation includes but is not limited to fishing; various forms of boating, swimming, hiking, bicycling, horseback riding, picnicking, watching or recording activities such as photography, painting, bird watching or viewing of water or shorelines, nature study and related activities.

"Recreational uses" refers to public, private, or commercial uses which offer activities, pastimes, and experiences that allow for the refreshment of mind and body. Examples include, but are not limited to, parks, viewpoints, trails, public access facilities, public parks, and other low intensity use outdoor recreation areas. Recreational uses that do not require a shoreline location, nor are related to the water, nor provide significant public access, are considered non-water oriented. For example, a recreation use solely offering indoor activities would be considered non-water-oriented.

"Recreational Vehicle" is a vehicle which is a travel trailer, motor home, truck camper, or camping trailer that is designed and used as temporary living quarters or overnight camping, is either self-propelled or mounted on or drawn by another vehicle, has a body length of no more than forty-five (45) feet; or, any structure inspected, approved and designated as a recreational vehicle by an bearing the insignia of the State of Washington or any other state or federal agency having the authority to approve recreational vehicles.

"Regulated Substance" means the toxic or natural substances and dangerous waste which have the potential to cause adverse impacts to ground and surface water quality and are controlled to ensure proper management and handling. Toxic and dangerous substances are listed in but not limited to Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-201A-040, and WAC 173-303-080.

"Repair, Normal" means to restore a development or structure to a state comparable to its original, legally established condition, including but not limited to its size, shape, configuration, location and external appearance, within a reasonable period after decay or partial destruction, except where repair causes substantial adverse effects to shoreline resource or environment. Replacement of a structure or development may be authorized as repair where such replacement is the common method of repair for the type of structure or development and the

replacement structure or development is comparable to the original structure or development including but not limited to its size, shape, configuration, location and external appearance and the replacement does not cause substantial adverse effects to shoreline resources or environment. See also "Maintenance, Normal."

"Residential" means buildings, structures or portions thereof that are designed and used as a place for human habitation. Included are single, duplex or multi-family dwellings, manufactured homes, and other structures that serve to house people, as well as the creation of new residential lots through land division. This definition includes accessory uses common to normal residential use, including but not limited to, residential appurtenances, accessory dwelling units, and home occupations.

"Restore," "restoration" or "ecological restoration" means the reestablishment or upgrading of impaired ecological shoreline processes or functions. This may be accomplished through measures including, but not limited to, revegetation, removal of intrusive shoreline structures, and removal or treatment of toxic materials. Restoration does not imply a requirement for returning the shoreline area to aboriginal or pre-European settlement conditions.

"Riparian Corridor" means the natural vegetation which lines the sides and tops of banks along rivers, creeks and streams. Typical vegetation includes willows, cottonwood, maples, alder and other brushy understory which transitions into upland vegetation as distance from the bank increases.

"River" means the Yakima and Columbia Rivers.

"Salmonid" means a member of the fish family salmonidae. In Benton County, these include, but are not limited to, coho, Chinook, sockeye, resident rainbow, brown trout, steelhead, and whitefish.

"Seismic Hazard Areas" mean those areas of Benton County that are potentially subject to severe risk of earthquake damage as a result of seismically induced ground shaking, slope failure, settlement, soil liquefaction or surface faulting.

"Setback". See "Building Setback."

"Shall" means a mandate; the action must be done

"Shorelands" or "shoreland areas" means those lands extending landward for two hundred feet in all directions as measured on a horizontal plane from the ordinary high water mark; floodways and contiguous floodplain areas landward two hundred feet from such floodways; and all wetlands and river deltas associated with the streams and lakes which are subject to the provisions of this chapter; the same to be designated as to location by the Department of Ecology.

"Shorelines" means all of the water areas of the state, including reservoirs, and their associated shorelands, together with the lands underlying them; except (i) shorelines of statewide significance; (ii) shorelines on segments of streams upstream of a point where the mean annual flow is twenty cubic feet per second or less and the wetlands associated with such upstream segments; and (iii) shorelines on lakes less than twenty acres in size and wetlands associated with such small lakes.

"Shoreline areas" and "shoreline jurisdiction" means all "shorelines of the state" and "shorelands" as defined in RCW 90.58.030.

"Shorelines of statewide significance" means the following shorelines of the state:

- A. Those lakes, whether natural, artificial, or a combination thereof, with a surface acreage of one thousand acres or more measured at the ordinary high-water mark;
- B. Those natural rivers or segments east of the crest of the Cascade range downstream of a point where the annual flow is measured at two hundred cubic feet per second or more, or those portions of rivers east of the crest of the Cascade range downstream from the first three hundred square miles of drainage area, whichever is longer; and

C. Those shorelands associated with A and B, above.

"Shorelines of the state" are the total of all "shorelines" and "shorelines of statewide significance" within the state.

"Shoreline environment designations" are a classification of shorelines established by this SMP in order to provide a uniform basis for applying policies and use regulations within distinctively different shoreline areas.

"Shorelines Hearings Board", a quasi-judicial body within the state Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office, which hears appeals by any aggrieved party on the issuance of a shoreline permit. See RCW 90.58.170 et seq. for the role of the Washington State Shorelines Hearings Board.

"Shoreline modifications" means those actions that modify the physical configuration or qualities of the shoreline area, usually through the construction of a physical element such as a dike, breakwater, pier, weir, dredged basin, fill, bulkhead, or other shoreline structure. They can include other actions, such as clearing, grading, or application of chemicals.

"Shoreline stabilization" means structural or non-structural modifications to the existing shoreline intended to address erosion impacts to property and dwellings, businesses, or structures caused by natural processes, such as current, flood, wind, or wave action. They are generally located parallel to the shoreline at or near the OHWM.

"Should" means that the particular action is required unless there is a demonstrated, compelling reason, based on policy of the Shoreline Management Act and this chapter, against taking the action.

"Significant vegetation removal" means the removal or alteration of trees, shrubs, and/or ground cover by clearing, grading, cutting, burning, chemical means, or other activity that causes significant ecological impacts to functions provided by such vegetation. The removal of invasive or noxious weeds does not constitute significant vegetation removal. Tree pruning, not including tree topping, where it does not affect ecological functions, does not constitute significant vegetation removal.

"Slide" refers to the downward mass movement of soil, rock, or snow resulting from failure of that material under stress.

"Slope" refers to the inclination of the surface of the land from the horizontal.

"SMA" means the Washington State Shoreline Management Act, chapter 90.58 RCW.

"Soft structural shoreline stabilization" means shoreline erosion control and restoration practices that contribute to restoration, protection or enhancement of shoreline ecological functions. Soft structural shoreline stabilization typically includes a mix of gravels, cobbles, boulders, logs and native vegetation placed to provide shore stability in a non-linear, generally sloping arrangement. Linear, vertical faces are an indicator of Hard Structural Shoreline Stabilization (see above definition).

"State master program" is the cumulative total of all shoreline master programs and amendments thereto approved or adopted by rule by Ecology.

"Structure" means a permanent or temporary edifice or building, or any piece of work artificially built or composed of parts joined together in some definite manner, whether installed on, above, or below the surface of the ground or water, except for vessels.

"Substantial development" shall mean any development of which the total cost or fair market value exceeds ~~six thousand, four hundred, and sixteen dollars~~ seven thousand, and forty seven dollars, or any development which materially interferes with the normal public use of the water or shorelines of the state. The dollar threshold must be adjusted for inflation by the Office of Financial Management every five years, beginning July 1, 2007, based upon changes in the consumer price index during that time period. "Consumer price index" means, for any calendar year, that year's annual average consumer price index, Seattle, Washington area, for urban wage earners and clerical workers, all items, compiled by the Bureau of Labor and Statistics, United States Department of Labor.

The Office of Financial Management must calculate the new dollar threshold and transmit it to the Office of the Code Reviser for publication in the Washington State Register at least one month before the new dollar threshold is to take effect. For purposes of determining whether or not a permit is required, the total cost or fair market value shall be based on the value of development that is occurring on shorelines of the state as defined in RCW 90.58.030(2)(c). The total cost or fair market value of the development shall include the fair market value of any donated, contributed or found labor, equipment or materials. See WAC 173-27-040 for a list of developments that are not considered substantial.

"Substantially degrade" means to cause significant ecological impact.

"Transportation" means roads and railways, related bridges and culverts, fills, embankments, causeways, parking areas, and trails.

"Use" means the activity or purpose for which land or structures or combination of land and structures are designed, arranged, occupied, or maintained together with any associated site improvement. This definition includes the construction, erection, placement, movement or demolition of any structure or site improvement and any physical alteration to land itself including any grading, leveling, paving or excavation. Use also means any existing or proposed configuration of land, structures, and site improvements, and the use thereof.

"Utility" means a primary or accessory service or facility that produces, transmits, stores, processes, or disposes of electrical power, gas, water, sewage, communications, oil, and the like.

"Vadose Zone Analysis" means the characterization of the soil profile above the water table.

"Variance" is a means to grant relief from the specific bulk, dimensional or performance standards set forth in this Master Program and not a means to vary a use of a shoreline.

"Vegetation" means any and all organic plant life growing at, below, or above the soil surface.

"Vessel" includes ships, boats, barges, or any other floating craft which are designed and used for navigation and do not interfere with the normal public use of the water.

"Water-dependent use" means a use or portion of a use which cannot exist in a location that is not adjacent to the water and which is dependent on the water by reason of the intrinsic nature of its operations.

"Water-enjoyment use" means a recreational use or other use that facilitates public access to the shoreline as a primary characteristic of the use; or a use that provides for recreational use or aesthetic enjoyment of the shoreline for a substantial number of people as a general characteristic of the use and which through location, design, and operation ensures the public's ability to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of the shoreline. In order to qualify as a water-enjoyment use, the use must be open to the general public and the shoreline-oriented space within the project must be devoted to the specific aspects of the use that fosters shoreline enjoyment.

"Water-oriented use" means a use that is water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment, or a combination of such uses.

"Water quality" means the physical characteristics of water within shoreline jurisdiction, including water quantity, hydrological, physical, chemical, aesthetic, recreation-related, and biological characteristics. Where used in this chapter, the term "water quantity" refers only to development and uses regulated under this chapter and affecting water quantity, such as impermeable surfaces and storm water handling practices. Water quantity, for purposes of this chapter, does not mean the withdrawal of ground water or diversion of surface water pursuant to RCW 90.03.250 through 90.03.340.

"Water-related use" means a use or portion of a use which is not intrinsically dependent on a waterfront location but whose economic viability is dependent upon a waterfront location because:

- A. The use has a functional requirement for a waterfront location such as the arrival or shipment of materials by water or the need for large quantities of water; or

- B. The use provides a necessary service supportive of the water-dependent uses and the proximity of the use to its customers makes its services less expensive and/or more convenient.

“Weir” means a structure generally built perpendicular to the shoreline for the purpose of diverting water or trapping sediment or other moving objects transported by water.

“Wetland” or “wetlands” means that area inundated or saturated by surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs and similar areas. Wetlands do not include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from non-wetland sites, including, but not limited to, irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, and landscape amenities, or those wetlands created after July 1, 1990, that were unintentionally created as a result of the construction of a road, street, or highway. However, wetlands may include those artificial wetlands specifically intentionally created from non-wetland areas to mitigate conversion of wetlands.

“Wetland Edge” means the line delineating the outer edge of a wetland established by using the procedures in the currently approved Federal Wetland Delineation Manual.

“Wetland Functions” refer to the natural processes performed by wetlands and include functions which are important in facilitating food chain production, providing habitat for nesting, rearing and resting site for aquatic, terrestrial or avian species, maintaining the availability and quality of water such as purifying water, acting as recharge and discharge areas for groundwater aquifers and moderating surface water and storm water flows as well as performing other functions including but not limited to those set out in U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regulations at 33 C.R.R. Section 320.4(b)(2) (1988).

Section 15.03 Shoreline Jurisdiction and Use Preferences

03.010 Definition

- (a) As defined by the Shoreline Management Act of 1971, shorelines include certain waters of the State plus their associated “shorelands.” The waterbodies designated as shorelines of the State are streams whose mean annual flow is 20 cubic feet per second (cfs) or greater and lakes whose area is greater than 20 acres. In Benton County, only the Yakima River and the Columbia River meet shoreline criteria.
- (b) Shorelands, as adopted by Benton County and indicated on the Official Shoreline Maps are available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System, are defined as:

“those lands extending landward for 200 feet in all directions as measured on a horizontal plane from the ordinary high water mark; floodways and contiguous floodplain areas landward 200 feet from such floodways; and all wetlands and river deltas associated with the streams, lakes, and tidal waters which are subject to the provisions of this chapter....” (RCW 90.58.030)

- (c) The extent of shoreline jurisdiction is indicated on the Official Shoreline Maps available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System. The purpose of the Official Shoreline Maps is to identify Environment Designations (Section 15.04 below). The maps only approximately identify or depict the lateral extent of shoreline jurisdiction. The actual lateral extent of the shoreline jurisdiction shall be determined on a site-specific basis based on the location of the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM), floodway, floodplain, and presence of associated wetlands.
- (d) In circumstances where shoreline jurisdiction does not include an entire parcel, only that portion of the parcel within shoreline jurisdiction and any use, activity or development proposed within shoreline jurisdiction on that portion of the parcel is subject to this Shoreline Master Program.

03.020 General Shoreline Use Preferences

- (a) This SMP adopts the following policy provided in RCW 90.58.020, and fully implements it to the extent of its authority under this SMP:

It is the policy of the State to provide for the management of the shorelines of the State by planning for and fostering all reasonable and appropriate uses. This policy is designed to insure the development of these shorelines in a manner which, while allowing for limited reduction of rights of the public in the navigable waters, will promote and enhance the public interest. This policy contemplates protecting against adverse effects to the public health, the land and its vegetation and wildlife, and the waters of the State and their aquatic life, while protecting generally public rights of navigation and corollary rights incidental thereto...

In the implementation of this policy, the public's opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of natural shorelines of the State shall be preserved to the greatest extent feasible consistent with the overall best interest of the State and the people generally. To this end uses shall be preferred which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment or are unique to or dependent upon use of the state's shoreline. Alterations of the natural condition of the shorelines of the state, in those limited instances when authorized, shall be given priority for single family residences and their appurtenant structures, ports, shoreline recreational uses including but not limited to parks, marinas, piers, and other improvements facilitating public access to shorelines of the state, industrial and commercial developments which are particularly dependent on their location on or use of the shorelines of the state and other development that will provide an opportunity for substantial numbers of the people to enjoy the shorelines of the state....

Permitted uses in the shorelines of the State shall be designed and conducted in a manner to minimize, insofar as practical, any resultant damage to the ecology and environment of the shoreline area and any interference with the public's use of the water.

- (b) When determining allowable uses and resolving use conflicts on shorelines within jurisdiction consistent with the above policy, the following preferences and priorities as listed in WAC 173-26-201(2)(d) shall be applied in the order presented below:
- (1) Reserve appropriate areas for protecting and restoring ecological functions to control pollution and prevent damage to the natural environment and public health.
 - (2) Reserve shoreline areas for water-dependent and associated water related uses ... Local governments may prepare master program provisions to allow mixed-use developments that include and support water-dependent uses and address specific conditions that affect water-dependent uses.
 - (3) Reserve shoreline areas for other water-related and water-enjoyment uses that are compatible with ecological protection and restoration objectives.
 - (4) Locate single-family residential uses where they are appropriate and can be developed without significant impact to ecological functions or displacement of water-dependent uses.
 - (5) Limit non-water-oriented uses to those locations where the above described uses are inappropriate or where non-water-oriented uses demonstrably contribute to the objectives of the Shoreline Management Act.

03.030 Shorelines of Statewide Significance

03.030.01 Designation Criteria

Certain shoreline waterbodies and their associated shorelands have elevated status under the SMA if they are streams and rivers in Eastern Washington that are "...downstream of a point where the annual flow is measured at two hundred cubic feet per second or more, or those portions of rivers east of the crest of the Cascade range

downstream from the first three hundred square miles of drainage area, whichever is longer” (RCW 90.58.030(2)(e)(v)(B)). These waterbodies are considered to be “shorelines of statewide significance,” and have unique supplemental provisions outlined in Sections 15.03.030.02 and 15.03.030.03 below. All of Benton County’s shorelines, the Yakima and Columbia Rivers, are Shorelines of Statewide Significance.

03.030.02 *Use Preferences*

- (a) In accordance with RCW 90.58.020, the following management and administrative policies are hereby adopted for all Shorelines of Statewide Significance in the County and UGAs, as defined in RCW 90.58.030(2)(e). Consistent with the policy contained in RCW 90.58.020, preference shall be given to the uses in the following order that are consistent with the statewide interest in Benton County’s shorelines. These are uses that:
 - (1) Recognize and protect the statewide interest over local interest;
 - (2) Preserve the natural character of the shoreline;
 - (3) Result in long term over short term benefit;
 - (4) Protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline;
 - (5) Increase public access to publicly owned areas of the shorelines;
 - (6) Increase recreational opportunities for the public in the shoreline;
 - (7) Provide for any other element as defined in RCW 90.58.100 deemed appropriate or necessary. (WAC 173-26-251(2))
- (b) Uses that are not consistent with these preferences should not be permitted on shorelines of statewide significance.

03.030.03 *Policies*

Consistent with the use preferences for Shorelines of Statewide Significance contained in RCW 90.58.020 and identified in Section 15.03.030.02, the County will base decisions administering this SMP on the following policies in order of decreasing priority:

- (c) Recognize and protect the state-wide interest over local interest.
 - (1) Solicit comments and opinions from groups and individuals representing state-wide interests by circulating amendments to the Master Program, and any proposed amendments affecting Shorelines of Statewide Significance, to state agencies, affected Tribes, adjacent local governments’, citizen’s advisory committees and local officials, and state-wide interest groups.
 - (2) Recognize and take into account state agencies’ policies, programs and recommendations in developing and administering use regulations and in approving shoreline permits.
 - (3) Solicit comments, opinions and advice from individuals with expertise in ecology and other scientific fields pertinent to shoreline management.
- (d) Preserve the natural character of the shoreline.
 - (1) Designate and administer shoreline environments and use regulations to protect and restore the ecology and environment of the shoreline as a result of human intrusions on shorelines.
 - (2) Restore, enhance, and/or redevelop those areas where intensive development already exists in order to reduce adverse impact on the environment and to accommodate future growth rather than allowing high-intensity uses to extend into low-intensity use or underdeveloped areas.
 - (3) Protect and restore existing diversity of vegetation and habitat values, wetlands, and riparian corridors associated with shoreline areas.
 - (4) Protect and restore habitats for State-listed “priority species.”
- (e) Support actions that result in long-term benefits over short-term benefits.

- (1) Evaluate the short-term economic gain or convenience of developments relative to the long-term and potentially costly impairments to the natural shoreline.
 - (2) Preserve resources and values of Shorelines of Statewide Significance for future generations and restrict or prohibit development that would irretrievably damage shoreline resources.
 - (3) Ensure the long-term protection of ecological resources of statewide importance, such as anadromous fish habitats and unique environments.
- (f) Protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline.
- (1) All shoreline development should be located, designed, constructed and managed consistent with mitigation sequencing provisions outlined in Section 15.05.020 to minimize adverse impacts to regionally important wildlife resources, including spawning, nesting, rearing and habitat areas, and migratory routes and result in no net loss of shoreline ecosystems and ecosystem-wide processes.
 - (2) Actively promote aesthetic considerations when contemplating new development, redevelopment of existing facilities, or general enhancement of shoreline areas.
- (g) Increase public access to publicly owned areas of the shoreline.
- (1) Give priority to developing paths and trails to shoreline areas and linear access along the shorelines, especially those trail corridors that would be a regional recreational and transportation resource.
 - (2) Locate development landward of the OHWM so that access is enhanced and opportunities for access are not precluded.
 - (3) Increase public access opportunities for those with disabilities consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act.
 - (4) Provide incentives to landowners that provide shoreline public access, such as development incentives, tax reductions, or other measures.
- (h) Increase recreational opportunities for the public on the shoreline.
- (1) Plan for and encourage development of facilities for public recreational use of the shoreline, including facilities for boating, swimming, fishing, and other water-oriented activities.
 - (2) Reserve areas for lodging and related facilities on uplands with provisions for appropriate public access to the shoreline.

Section 15.04 Shoreline Environment Designations

04.010 Urban Transition Area

04.010.01 Purpose:

The purpose of assigning an area to an Urban Transition Area environment designation is to:

- (a) Ensure optimum utilization of shorelines occurring within designated Urban Growth Areas by managing development and uses so that they enhance and maintain shorelines for a variety of future urban uses, and
- (b) Protect and restore ecological functions of open space, flood plain and other sensitive lands where they exist in urban and developed settings, while allowing a variety of compatible uses.

The Urban Transition Area designation also reflects Benton County's coordinated planning with its cities.

04.010.02 Designation Criteria:

Assign an Urban Transition Area environment designation to Urban Growth Areas, where high intensity land-uses, including residential, commercial, recreational and industrial development or supporting utilities and transportation exist or are planned for in the future or where there is existing or planned development that is compatible with maintaining or restoring the ecological functions of the area.

04.010-03 *Management Policies:*

- (c) Recognize the cities' SMP development standards in Urban Transition Area areas. Shoreline regulations should reflect each UGA's unique character. Major Urban Transition Areas are described below:
 - (1) Richland UGA North: Richland intends this shoreline have a natural character. The shoreline in this area has a low level of human disturbance or has been disturbed in the past but either has been isolated from human activity in the near past or is subject to a restoration program designed to restore natural ecological processes and functions. Scientific, historical, cultural, educational research uses, and low-intensity water-oriented recreational access uses may be allowed provided that no significant ecological impact on the area will result.
 - (2) Benton City UGA: UGA lands vary in existing and planned character, allowing a range of residential, suburban agricultural, commercial, recreational, and transportation uses, designed in a manner to achieve no-net-loss of ecological function.
 - (3) Kennewick UGA: UGA lands are suitable for urban development that is compatible with maintaining or restoring of the ecological functions of the area.
 - (4) Prosser UGA: The Prosser UGA shorelines are intended for residential, industrial, and other low intensity development compatible with maintaining or restoring of the ecological functions of the area.
- (d) In regulating uses in the Urban Transition Area environment, first priority should be given to water-dependent uses. Second priority should be given to water-related and water-enjoyment uses. Non water-oriented uses should be allowed in limited situations where they do not conflict with or limit opportunities for water-oriented uses or on sites where there is no direct access to the shoreline.
- (e) When expanding the Urban Transition Area environment, first consider the availability of existing Urban Transition Area land for water-oriented development.
- (f) Uses that preserve the natural character of the area or promote preservation of open space, floodplain or sensitive lands either directly or over the long term are encouraged. Uses that result in restoration of ecological functions should be allowed if the use is otherwise compatible with the purpose of the environment and the setting.
- (g) Policies and regulations shall assure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions as a result of new development.
- (h) Public access should be required on public lands. Private development that creates a demand for shoreline access should provide visual or physical access unless there are constitutional or legal limitations, safety, security, environment, or other similar factors that limit its feasibility.

04.020 Rural Industrial

04.020.01 *Purpose:*

The purpose of the Rural Industrial environment designation is to provide for intensive water-oriented commercial, transportation, power production, and industrial uses, while protecting existing ecological functions. This designation will provide the opportunity for the development, redevelopment and infill of existing rural industrial and commercial developments or former industrial or commercial sites consistent with the rural character of Benton County.

04.020.02 *Designation Criteria*

Assign a Rural Industrial environment designation to shoreline areas in industrial or commercial areas of intensive rural development if they currently support concentrations of commerce, transportation, power production, or navigation; or are suitable and planned for intensive water-oriented uses.

04.020.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) In regulating uses in the Rural Industrial environment, first priority should be given to water-dependent uses. Second priority should be given to water-related and water-enjoyment uses. Non water-oriented uses should be allowed in limited situations where they do not conflict with or limit opportunities for water-oriented uses or on sites where there is no direct access to the shoreline.
- (b) Policies and regulations shall assure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions as a result of new development. Where applicable, new development shall include environmental cleanup and restoration of the shoreline to comply with any relevant state and federal law.
- (c) Public access should be required on public lands. Private development that creates a demand for shoreline access should provide visual or physical access unless there are constitutional or legal limitations, safety, security, environment, or other similar factors that limit its feasibility.
- (d) Full utilization of existing industrial areas and altered lands should be achieved before further expansion of intensive development is allowed.

04.030 Residential**04.030.01 *Purpose:***

The purpose of the Residential environment designation is to accommodate residential development and accessory structures that are consistent with this chapter. An additional purpose is to provide appropriate public access and recreational uses.

04.030.02 *Designation Criteria:*

Assign a Residential environment designation to shoreline areas that are predominantly single-family residential development or are planned and platted for residential development.

04.030.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) Shoreline development standards should ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions, taking into account the environmental limitations and sensitivity of the shoreline area, the level of infrastructure and services available, and other comprehensive planning considerations.
- (b) Subdivisions and recreational developments should provide public or community access.
- (c) Access, utilities, and public services should be available and adequate to serve existing needs and those planned for future development.
- (d) Commercial development, including commercial recreation and agri-tourism, should be consistent with underlying rural zoning and limited to water-oriented uses within shoreline jurisdiction.

04.040 Rural**04.040.01 *Purpose:***

The purpose of assigning an area to a Rural environment designation is to promote agricultural use and activities, including associated irrigation and support facilities, and accommodate low-density rural home sites, function as a separation between urban areas, and maintain an open space character and provide opportunities for recreational uses compatible with agricultural activities.

04.040.02 *Designation Criteria:*

Assign a Rural environment designation to those areas characterized by:

- (a) agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance and low-density rural home sites;
- (b) commercial agricultural potential; or
- (c) parallel roads, railroads, canals, levees or other alterations in shoreline jurisdiction that limit shoreline ecological functions.

04.040.03 *Management Policies:*

- (d) Promote agricultural activities on agricultural lands.
- (e) Allow new agricultural activities and expansions of current agricultural activities on previously un-farmed lands consistent with this SMP.
- (f) Non-agricultural uses should be limited to those compatible with agriculture. Shoreline development within or adjacent to designated agricultural resource lands should incorporate measures to reduce compatibility impacts, such as open space landscaped separations or other measures to address impacts to agricultural operations.
- (g) Development standards should seek to conserve soils and water resources suitable for agricultural purposes.
- (h) Activities and uses should be designed for compatibility with the rural character, including the overall density pattern.

04.050 Hanford

04.050.01 *Purpose:*

The purpose of the Hanford environment is to recognize and foster the unique economic, environmental, and recreational values of the area as it transitions over time from federal energy purposes to other land uses and management consistent with the Hanford Reach National Monument designation.

04.050.02 *Designation Criteria:*

Assign a "Hanford" environment designation to shoreline areas located in the U.S. Department of Energy's Hanford site.

04.050.03 *Management Policies:*

To the extent that this SMP is applicable to federal lands, the following policies should guide uses in shoreline jurisdiction:

- (a) Predominant shoreline uses should include preservation of cultural, ecological and natural resources with limited public access where appropriate.
- (b) High intensity uses in shoreline jurisdiction should be limited to heavy and light industry, energy generation and transmission, research and development, and environmental cleanup.
- (c) High-intensity and low-intensity public access and recreation should be accommodated where consistent with local environmental conditions, and safety and security concerns.
- (d) Uses and activities should be consistent with the Benton County Comprehensive Land Use Plan and Benton County zoning regulations.

04.060. Conservancy

04.060.01 *Purpose:*

The purpose of the Conservancy environment is to:

- (a) protect ecological functions of open space, floodplain and other sensitive public or protected lands and conserve existing natural resources and valuable historic and cultural areas while allowing a variety of compatible uses; and
- (b) Ensure appropriate management and development of existing and future public parks and recreation areas.

04.060.02 *Designation Criteria:*

Assign a Conservancy environment designation if any of the following characteristics apply:

- (a) They are within existing or planned public parks or public lands intended to accommodate public access and recreational developments;
- (b) They are suitable for water-related or water-enjoyment uses;
- (c) They are open space, floodplain or other sensitive areas that should not be more intensively developed;
- (d) They have potential for ecological restoration;
- (e) They retain important ecological functions, even though partially developed; or
- (f) They have the potential for development that is compatible with ecological restoration.

04.060.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) Uses in the Conservancy environment should be limited to those which sustain the shoreline area's physical and biological resources and uses of a non-permanent nature that do not substantially degrade ecological functions or the rural or natural character of the shoreline area.
- (b) Except in support of agriculture, aquaculture, and recreation uses, commercial and industrial uses should not be allowed.
- (c) Water-oriented uses should be given priority over Non water-oriented uses. Water-dependent and water- enjoyment recreation facilities and uses that do not deplete the resource over time, such as boating facilities, fishing, hunting, wildlife viewing trails, swimming beaches, and scientific, historical, cultural, and educational research uses, are preferred, provided adverse impacts to the shoreline are mitigated.
- (d) Shoreline development standards should ensure that new development does not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions or further degrade other shoreline values.
- (e) Existing uses and development, including roadways and railroads, may be maintained and expanded consistent with provisions of this SMP.
- (f) Public access and public recreation objectives on public lands should be implemented when appropriate and when adverse ecological impacts can be mitigated.
- (g) Construction of new structural shoreline stabilization and flood control works should only be allowed where there is a documented need to protect an existing structure or ecological functions, and only when mitigation is applied.

04.070. Natural

04.070.01 *Purpose:*

The purpose of the Natural environment is to protect those public shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions intolerant of human use. These systems require that only very low-intensity uses be allowed in order to maintain the ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes.

04.070.02 *Designation Criteria:*

A Natural environment designation should be assigned to shoreline areas if any of the following characteristics apply:

- (a) The shoreline is ecologically intact and therefore currently performing an important, irreplaceable function or ecosystem-wide process;
- (b) The shoreline is considered to represent ecosystems and geologic types that are of particular scientific and educational interest; or
- (c) The shoreline is a publicly managed portion of the Umatilla National Wildlife Refuge

04.070.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) Any use that would substantially degrade the ecological functions or natural character of the shoreline area should not be allowed.
- (b) The following new uses should not be allowed in the Natural environment:

- (1) Commercial uses.
 - (2) Industrial uses.
 - (3) Non water-oriented recreation with no relationship to the shoreline and waterbody.
 - (4) Roads, utility corridors, and parking areas that can be located outside of "Natural" designated shorelines.
- (c) Single-family residential development may be allowed as a conditional use within the Natural environment if the density and intensity of such use is limited as necessary to protect ecological functions and be consistent with the purpose of the environment.
- (d) Irrigation withdrawals and other agricultural uses of a very low-intensity nature may be consistent with the Natural environment when such use is subject to appropriate limitations or conditions to assure that the use does not expand or alter practices in a manner inconsistent with the purpose of the designation.
- (e) Scientific, historical, cultural, educational research uses, and low-intensity water-oriented recreational access uses, including non-motorized trails, may be allowed provided that no significant ecological impact on the area will result.
- (f) New development or significant vegetation removal that would reduce the capability of vegetation to perform normal ecological functions should not be allowed. Do not allow the subdivision of property in a configuration that, to achieve its intended purpose, will require significant vegetation removal or shoreline modification that adversely impacts ecological functions. That is, each new parcel must be able to support its intended development without significant ecological impacts to the shoreline ecological functions.
- (g) Consistent with the policies of the designation, the County should include planning for restoration of degraded shorelines within this environment.

04.080 Aquatic

04.080.01 *Purpose:*

The purpose of the Aquatic environment is to protect, restore, and manage the unique characteristics and resources of the areas waterward of the ordinary high-water mark.

04.080.02 *Designation Criteria:*

Assign an Aquatic environment designation to lands waterward of the ordinary high-water mark.

04.080.03 *Management Policies:*

- (a) Allow new over-water structures only for water-dependent uses, including docks associated with single-family residences; public access; or ecological restoration.
- (b) The size of new over-water structures should be limited to the minimum necessary to support the structure's intended use.
- (c) In order to reduce the impacts of shoreline development and increase effective use of water resources, multiple use of over-water facilities should be encouraged.
- (d) All developments and uses on navigable waters or their beds should be located and designed to minimize interference with surface navigation, to consider impacts to public views, and to allow for the safe, unobstructed passage of fish and wildlife, particularly those species dependent on migration.
- (e) Shoreline uses and modifications should be designed and managed to prevent adverse impacts to ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes, including degradation of water quality and alteration of natural hydrographic conditions. Adverse impacts should not be allowed except where necessary to achieve the objectives of the Shoreline Management Act, and then only when mitigated as necessary to assure no net loss of ecological functions.

04.090 Environment Designation Interpretation

- (a) If disagreement develops as to the exact location of an environment designation boundary line, the Official Shoreline Maps shall prevail consistent with the following rules:
 - (1) Boundaries indicated as approximately following lot, tract, or section lines shall be so construed.
 - (2) In cases where boundary line adjustments or subdivisions occur, the designation applied to the parent parcel prior to the boundary line adjustment or subdivision shall not change as a result. The shoreline designation can be re-designated through an SMP amendment.
 - (3) Boundaries indicated as approximately following roads and railroads shall be respectively construed to follow the nearest right-of-way edge.
 - (4) Boundaries indicated as approximately parallel to or extensions of features indicated in (1), (2), or (3) above shall be so construed.
- (b) In the event of an environment designation mapping error where the SMP update or amendment record, including the public hearing process, is clear in term of the correct environment designation to apply to a property, the Shoreline Administrator shall apply the environment designation approved through the SMP Update or Amendment process and correct the map. Appeals of such interpretations may be filed pursuant to Section 15.09 and the County's appeal procedures referenced in Section 15.09 of this SMP. If the environment designation criteria were misapplied, but the map does not show an unintentional error (e.g. the SMP hearing and adoption record does not indicate another designation was intended), a SMP amendment may be obtained consistent with WAC 173-26-100 and Section 15.09.130.
- (c) All shoreline areas waterward of the OHWM shall be designated Aquatic.
- (d) Upland environment designations shall apply to shorelands.
- (e) Only one environment designation shall apply to a given shoreland area. In the case of parallel designations, designations shall be divided along an identified linear feature and the boundary shall be clearly noted on the map (for example: "boundary is 100 feet upland from the OHWM").

04.100 Official Shoreline Maps and Unmapped or Undesignated Shorelines

- (a) The Official Shoreline Maps at the time of SMP adoption, which illustrate the delineation of shoreline jurisdiction and environment designations in the County and UGAs, are available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System. The official map shall include the following language: "We hereby certify that this map constitutes the Official Shoreline Map as approved by Ordinance 2014-440 of the Board of County Commissioners and signed by its chairman dated this 3rd day of June 2014." The Official Shoreline Maps may be updated administratively or through an SMP amendment as indicated in 04.100(b), (c) and (d) below. The Department of Ecology will be provided with electronic files of the Official Shoreline Maps when any updates are made. Minor mapping errors corrected administratively shall not be greater than 1.0 acre in size. If greater than 1.0 acre in size, a SMP amendment shall be completed within three years of finding the mapping error.
- (b) Any areas within shoreline jurisdiction that are not mapped and/or designated due to minor mapping inaccuracies in the lateral extent of shoreline jurisdiction from the shoreline waterbody related to site- specific surveys of OHWM, floodway, and/or floodplain are automatically assigned the category of the contiguous waterward shoreline environment designation. Where the mapping inaccuracy results in inclusion of an unmapped associated wetland, that wetland shall be assigned a Conservancy designation. Correction of these minor mapping inaccuracies may be made and incorporated into the Official Shoreline Maps without an SMP amendment.
- (c) All other areas of shoreline jurisdiction that were neither mapped as jurisdiction nor assigned an environment designation shall be assigned a Conservancy designation until the shoreline can be re-designated through an SMP amendment process conducted consistent with WAC 173-26-100 and SMP Section 15.09.130.

- (d) The actual location of the OHWM, floodplain, floodway, and wetland boundaries must be determined at the time a development is proposed. Wetland boundary and OHWM determinations are valid for five years from the date the determination is made. Floodplain and floodway boundaries should be assessed using FEMA maps or the most current technical information available.
- (e) In addition, any property shown in shoreline jurisdiction that does not meet the criteria for shoreline jurisdiction (e.g., is more than 200 feet from the OHWM or floodway, is no longer in floodplain as documented by a Letter of Map Revision from FEMA, and does not contain associated wetlands) shall not be subject to the requirements of this SMP. Revisions to the Official Shoreline Maps may be made as outlined in this Section 15.04.100(e) without an SMP amendment.

04.110 Use and Modifications Matrix

- (a) Table 04.110-1 indicates which shoreline activities, uses, developments, and modifications may be allowed or are prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction within each shoreline environment designation. Activities, uses, developments, and modifications are classified as follows:
- (1) Uses allowed by Shoreline Substantial Development Permit are indicated by an "S" on the use matrix.
 - (2) Uses allowed by Shoreline Conditional Use Permit are indicated by a "C" on the use matrix.
 - (3) Prohibited activities, uses, developments, and modifications are not allowed and are shown as an "X" on the use matrix.
 - (4) Uses or activities not applicable to the shoreline environment designation in question are shown as "N/A" on the matrix.
 - (5) Uses in the Urban Transition Area shall be allowed subject to the most restrictive of the City or County Shoreline Master Program use allowances.

Table 04.110-1 Use and Modification Matrix

Shoreline Use or Modification	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable								
Agriculture								
Agricultural Activities, Existing and New	S	S	S	S	S	C	X	N/A
Commercial Dairying, Poultry Raising, Commercial Hog Ranches, Animal Feedlots and Stockyards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	N/A
Agricultural Stands	S	S	S	S	X	X	X	N/A
Agricultural Related Industries	C	S	S	X	X	X	X	N/A
Agri-tourism	C	S	S	X	X	X	X	N/A
Aquaculture								
Commercial	X	C	C	X	X	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Non-commercial	S	C	S	X	C	C	S	see adjacent upland environment
Boating and Private Moorage Facilities								
Boat Launches								

Shoreline Use or Modification	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable								
Public	S	X	S	C	C	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Commercial/Industrial	C	S	C	X	C	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Other private	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Residential, including community	S	S	S	S	S	S	X	see adjacent upland environment
Commercial, industrial, aquaculture, recreational or public access use	S	S	S	C	C	C	S	see adjacent upland environment
Marinas	C	C	C	X	X	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Breakwaters, Jetties, and Groins								
To protect or restore ecological functions	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
To maintain existing water-dependent uses	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	see adjacent upland environment
All other purposes	C	C	C	C	X	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Commercial and Service Uses								
Visitor-serving uses	S	S	S	S	X	X	X	C
Recreation concessions	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Other retail, trade or service	C	C	C	C	X	X	X	C
Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal								
Dredging for water-dependent use and public access	S	S	S	S	C	C	C	see adjacent upland environment
Dredging for existing navigation uses	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	S
Dredging or disposal of dredged material for habitat restoration	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Dredging, other	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	C
Disposal of dredged material inside CMZ	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
Disposal of dredged material outside CMZ	S	S	C	C	X	X	X	see adjacent upland environment
Implementation of dredging maintenance plan	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Fill								
Waterward of the OHWM - restoration	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	S
Waterward of the OHWM	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	C
Upland of the OHWM	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	N/A

BENTON COUNTY SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM

Shoreline Use or Modification	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable								
Flood Hazard Reduction Measures								
Modification of Existing Flood Hazard Facilities (including relocation farther landward)	S	S	S	S	C	C	C	N/A
New Facilities	S	S	C	C	C	C	C	N/A
Forest Practices								
Forest Practices	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	N/A
Industry / Manufacturing / Storage								
Water-Oriented	S	S	S	X	X	X	S	C
Non-Water-Oriented								
General	X	C	X	X	X	X	C	X
Separated from Shoreline ¹	S	S	S	X	X	X	S	N/A
Mixed-use project that includes a Water-Dependent Use	S	S	S	X	X	X	S	C
In-Stream Structures								
To protect public facilities	S	S	S	S	C	C	S	see adjacent upland environment
To protect, restore, or monitor ecological functions or processes	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
To support agriculture	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	see adjacent upland environment
Other	S	S	S	S	C	C	N/A	see adjacent upland environment
Mining								
Mining that creates, restores or enhances habitat for priority species	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Other mining and on-site processing	C	S	C	X	X	X	C	C
All mining in channel migration zone	C	C	C	X	X	X	C	C
Recreational Development								
Water-Oriented	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Non-Water-Oriented								
General	C	C	C	C	C	X	C	X
Sites separated from shoreline	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	N/A
Residential Development								
Single-Family Dwelling	S	X	S	S	S	C	X	N/A
Accessory Dwelling Unit	S	X	S	S	C	X	X	N/A
Duplex	S	X	S	S	X	X	X	N/A
Houseboats and Over-Water Residential Uses	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	X

Shoreline Use or Modification	Urban Transition Area	Rural Industrial	Rural	Residential	Conservancy	Natural	Hanford	Aquatic
Key: S = Shoreline Substantial Development Permit or Exemption C = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit X = Not allowed N/A = Not Applicable								
Shoreline Habitat and Natural Systems Enhancement Projects								
Shoreline Habitat and Natural Systems Enhancement Projects	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Shoreline Stabilization								
New Hard Stabilization	S	S	S	S	C	X	S	see adjacent upland environment
New Soft Stabilization	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	see adjacent upland environment
Repair and Replacement	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	see adjacent upland environment
Transportation and Parking								
Access Roads Serving Permitted Uses	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	N/A
Highways, Freeways, Arterials & Collectors	S	S	S	S	C	C	S	N/A
Bridges	S	S	S	S	C	C	S	C
Railways	S	S	S	S	C	C	S	C
Airstrips	S	S	C	X	X	X	S	N/A
Trails	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	N/A
Parking for Authorized Use	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	N/A
Park and Ride lots and Similar Stand-Alone Parking	C	C	C	X	X	X	C	N/A
Utilities								
Utility Services Accessory to Individual Shoreline Projects	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	C
Utility Services to Projects outside Shoreline Jurisdiction	S	S	S	C	C	X	S	C
Power Generating Facilities	S	S	C	X	C	X	C	C
Wind Turbine and Related Support Structures (Zoning Code)	S	S	C	C	C	X	C	C
Utility Transmission Lines	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	C
Utility Services, General	S	S	S	S	S	C	S	C
Wastewater Treatment Facility	S	S	C	X	C	X	C	C

¹ Applies when a proposed development is physically separated from the shoreline by another property or public right of way.

04.120 Developments Not Required to Obtain Shoreline Permits or Local Reviews

- (a) Requirements to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other review to implement the Shoreline Management Act do not apply to the following:
- (1) Remedial actions. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person conducting a remedial action at a facility pursuant to a consent decree, order, or agreed order issued pursuant to chapter 70.105D RCW, or to the department of ecology when it conducts a remedial action under chapter 70.105D RCW.

- (2) [Boatyard improvements to meet NPDES permit requirements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.355, any person installing site improvements for storm water treatment in an existing boatyard facility to meet requirements of a national pollutant discharge elimination system storm water general permit](#)
- (3) [WSDOT facility maintenance and safety improvements. Pursuant to RCW 90.58.356, Washington State Department of Transportation projects and activities meeting the conditions of RCW 90.58.356 are not required to obtain a substantial development permit, conditional use permit, variance, letter of exemption, or other local review.](#)
- (4) [Projects consistent with an environmental excellence program agreement pursuant to RCW 90.58.045.](#)
- (5) [Projects authorized through the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council process, pursuant to chapter 80.50 RCW.](#)

04.130 Development Standards

- (a) There shall be a thirty-five (35) foot maximum building height for all structures, except that utility facilities and bridges are not required to meet this standard. To exceed 35 feet, an applicant must apply for a Shoreline Variance, and comply with the following criteria in addition to standard Shoreline Variance criteria:
 - (1) Demonstrate overriding considerations of the public interest will be served, and
 - (2) Demonstrate that the proposal will not obstruct the view of a substantial number of residences on areas adjoining such shorelines or impair views from public lands or impair scenic vistas.
- (b) Minimum shoreline lot frontage shall be consistent with underlying zoning and be no less in width than the following by shoreline environment:
 - (1) Urban Transition Area: 70 feet
 - (2) Rural Industrial: 70 feet
 - (3) Rural: 90 feet
 - (4) Residential: 70 feet
 - (5) Conservancy: 90 feet
 - (6) Natural: 90 feet
 - (7) Hanford: 90 feet
- (c) Shoreline buffers: See Section 15.06.030(a).
- (d) Minimum structure setbacks from side property lines in shoreline jurisdiction shall be consistent with the underlying zoning and no less than 5 feet.

Section 15.05 General Regulations and Performance Standards

05.010 Archaeological and Historic Resources

- (a) The County shall require development applicants to consult with DAHP to access data so that every proposal can be screened, and archaeological sites are not disturbed. Review of data and other consultation may occur directly with DAHP or through a professional archaeologist recognized by the State of Washington. Permits issued in areas documented to contain archaeological resources require a site inspection or evaluation by a professional archaeologist. Auger tests may be required before construction and representatives of the DAHP and affected tribes may be invited to observe any tests and construction work, or the County may send results of the test to affected tribes. If auger or historical data indicate probable presence of cultural resources which may be disturbed by excavation, the County shall inform the shoreline permit applicant and may impose conditions on any shoreline permit to assure that such resources are protected, preserved or collected.
- (b) Developers and property owners shall immediately stop work and notify the County, DAHP, and affected tribes if archaeological resources are uncovered during excavation. Following such notification, the County shall require a developer or property owner follow the provisions of Subsection (c).

- (c) Where a professional archaeologist or historian, recognized by the State of Washington, has identified an area or site as having significant value, or where an area or site is listed in, or determined eligible for listing in, national, state or local historical registers, or where through the development application state data has identified the potential for cultural resources, the County shall, with additional DAHP consultation, require a development application to provide an evaluation of the resource, and appropriate conditions, which may include preservation and/or retrieval of data, proposal modifications to reduce impacts, or other mitigation authorized through the State Environmental Policy Act, or other local, state, or federal laws.
- (d) Applicants shall be required to follow applicable provisions of federal and state laws, including but not limited to: Chapter 27.44 RCW Indian Graves and Records and Chapter 27.53 RCW Archaeological Sites and Resources.

05.020 Environmental Protection

- (a) Ecological Functions. Uses and developments on Benton County shorelines must be designed, located, sized, constructed and maintained to achieve no net loss of shoreline ecological functions necessary to sustain shoreline natural resources. New uses and developments must not have an unmitigated adverse impact on other shoreline functions fostered by this SMP.
- (b) Protection of Critical Areas and Buffers. Critical areas, critical areas buffers, and shoreline buffers must be protected in accordance with the provisions of SMP Section 15.06, Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction.
- (c) Mitigation Requirement. If a proposed shoreline use or development is entirely addressed by specific, objective standards (such as setback distances, pier dimensions, or materials requirements) contained in this SMP, then the mitigation sequencing analysis described in Section 15.05.020(d) is not required. In the following circumstances, the applicant must provide a mitigation sequencing analysis as described in Section 15.05.020(d):
 - (1) if a proposed shoreline use or development is addressed in any part by discretionary standards (such as standards requiring a particular action "if feasible" or requiring the minimization of development size) contained in this Chapter, then the mitigation sequencing analysis is required for the discretionary standard(s); or
 - (2) when an action requires a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit or Shoreline Variance Permit; or
 - (3) when specifically required by regulations contained in Sections 15.05, 15.06, 15.07 or 15.08 of this SMP.
- (d) Mitigation Sequence. In order to ensure that development activities contribute to meeting the no net loss provisions by avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating for adverse impacts to ecological functions or ecosystem-wide processes, an applicant required to complete a mitigation analysis pursuant to Section 15.05.020(c) must describe how the proposal will follow the sequence of mitigation as defined below:
 - (1) Avoid the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
 - (2) Minimize the impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation by using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;
 - (3) Rectify the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment to the conditions existing at the time of the initiation of the project or activity;
 - (4) Reduce or eliminate the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action;
 - (5) Compensate for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources or environments; and
 - (6) Monitor the impact and the compensation projects and take appropriate corrective measures.
- (e) Adverse Impacts. Example of common actions that may result in adverse ecological impacts include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (1) Removal of native plant communities in shoreline jurisdiction,
 - (2) Removal of native or non-native trees that overhang the water,
 - (3) Removal of native or non-native vegetation on slopes if that vegetation supports maintenance of slope stability and prevents surface erosion,
 - (4) Removal or alteration of priority habitats or habitat for priority species,
 - (5) Construction of new or expanded in- and over-water structures,
 - (6) Construction of new or expanded shoreline stabilizations,
 - (7) New discharges of water into the Yakima or Columbia Rivers that may introduce pollutants,
 - (8) Construction of new impervious surfaces whose discharges are not infiltrated and thus may alter hydrologic conditions of shoreline waterbodies,
 - (9) Changes in grading or fill that reduce floodplain capacity.
- (f) Mitigation Plan. All proposed alterations to shoreline jurisdiction that may have adverse effects on ecological functions require mitigation sufficient to provide for and maintain the functions and values of the shoreline area or to prevent risk from a critical areas hazard. The applicant must develop and implement a mitigation plan prepared by a qualified professional. Mitigation in excess of that necessary to ensure that development will result in no net loss of ecological functions will not be required by Benton County, but may be voluntarily performed by an applicant. In addition to any requirements found in Section 15.07, Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction, a mitigation plan must include:
- (1) An inventory and assessment of the existing shoreline environment including relevant physical, chemical and biological elements;
 - (2) A discussion of any federal, state, or local management recommendations which have been developed for critical areas or other species or habitats located on the site;
 - (3) A discussion of proposed measures which mitigate the adverse impacts of the project to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions;
 - (4) A discussion of proposed management practices which will protect fish and wildlife habitat both during construction, and after the project site has been fully developed;
 - (5) Scaled drawings of existing and proposed conditions, materials specifications, and a minimum three-year maintenance and monitoring plan, including performance standards;
 - (6) A contingency plan if mitigation fails to meet established success criteria; and
 - (7) Any additional information necessary to determine the adverse impacts of a proposal and mitigation of the impacts.
- (g) Alternative Mitigation. When compensatory measures are appropriate pursuant to the mitigation priority sequence above, preferential consideration shall be given to measures that replace the impacted functions on site and in kind. To provide for flexibility in the administration of the ecological protection provisions of this SMP, alternative mitigation approaches may be approved within shoreline jurisdiction where such approaches provide increased protection of shoreline ecological functions and processes over the standard provisions of this SMP and are scientifically supported, or are consistent with the Shoreline Restoration Plan or watershed-level management plans. Potential alternative mitigation tools include in-lieu-fee, advance mitigation, and mitigation banking. Authorization of alternative compensatory mitigation measures may require appropriate safeguards, terms or conditions as necessary to ensure no net loss of ecological functions and may require approval by other state or federal agencies.

05.030 Shoreline Vegetation Conservation

- (a) Vegetation conservation standards do not apply retroactively to existing legally established uses and developments. Vegetation associated with existing structures, uses and developments may be maintained within shoreline jurisdiction.

- (b) Vegetation within shoreline buffers, other stream buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers, WDFW-mapped priority habitats and species areas, and other critical areas must be managed consistent with Section 15.06, Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction. Regulations specifying establishment and management of shoreline buffers (buffers associated with the Yakima and Columbia Rivers) are located in Section 15.06.030, Rivers and Creeks.
- (c) Other vegetation within shoreline jurisdiction, but outside of shoreline buffers, creek buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers, and other WDFW-mapped priority habitats and species areas must be managed according to Section 15.05.020, Environmental Protection, and any other regulations specific to vegetation management contained in this SMP and Benton County Code.
- (d) Vegetation clearing must be limited to the minimum necessary to accommodate approved shoreline development that is consistent with all other provisions of this SMP and Benton County Code. Mitigation sequencing per Section 15.05.020(d), Environmental Protection, must be applied unless specifically excluded by this SMP, so that the design and location of the structure or development, including septic drainfields, minimizes short- and long-term vegetation removal. The County may approve modifications or require minor site plan alterations to achieve maximum tree retention.
- (e) Where vegetation removal conducted consistent with this Section results in adverse impacts to shoreline ecological function, new developments or site alterations are required to develop and implement a supplemental mitigation plan. Examples of actions that may result in adverse impacts include:
 - (1) Removal of native trees, shrubs or groundcovers;
 - (2) Removal of non-native trees or shrubs that overhang aquatic areas or stabilize slopes; or
 - (3) Removal of native or non-native trees or shrubs that disrupts an existing vegetation corridor connecting the property to other critical areas or buffers.

Mitigation plans must be prepared by a qualified professional and must contain information required in Section 15.05.020(e). Performance standards shall require 100 percent survival in Year 1, with 100 percent tree survival and 80 percent shrub and groundcover survival at the end of the monitoring period. Mitigation measures must be maintained over the life of the use or development.

- (f) Shoreline vegetation may be removed to accommodate a temporary staging area when necessary to implement an allowed use or modification, but mitigation sequencing must be utilized and the area must be immediately stabilized and restored with native vegetation once its use as a staging area is complete.
- (g) Native tree removal in shoreline jurisdiction must be mitigated by installation of a similar native tree at a 1:1 impact to mitigation ratio. Non-native tree removal in shoreline buffers must be mitigated by installation of a native or suitable non-native tree at a 1:1 impact to mitigation ratio. All mitigation trees shall be preferentially placed in the shoreline buffer, unless the trees provide connectivity to upland habitats or other critical areas and shall be held to a 100% survival standard at the end of three years.
- (h) Where a tree poses a safety hazard, it may be removed or converted to a wildlife snag if the hazard cannot be eliminated by pruning, crown thinning, or other technique that maintains some habitat function. If a safety hazard cannot be easily determined by the County, a written report by a certified arborist or other qualified professional is required to evaluate potential safety hazards.
- (i) Selective pruning of trees for views is allowed. Selective pruning of trees for views does not include removal of understory vegetation and must not compromise the health of the tree.
- (j) Hand removal or spot-spraying of invasive species (such as Russian olive) or noxious weeds included on the Washington State Noxious Weed List as a Class A, B or C weed on shorelands outside of steep or unstable slope areas is encouraged.
- (k) Mechanical removal or large-scale chemical treatment of invasive species.
 - (1) Mechanical removal or large-scale chemical treatment of invasive species (such as Russian olive) or noxious weeds included on the Washington State Noxious Weed List as a Class A, B or C weed on shorelands outside of steep or unstable slope areas is encouraged.

- (2) Coordination with Benton Conservation District is encouraged prior to undertaking invasive or noxious weed removal projects to ensure that the control and disposal technique is appropriate.
 - (3) Where noxious weeds and invasive species removal results in bare soils that may be subject to erosion or recolonization by invasive or noxious species, the area must be stabilized using best management practices and replanted with native plants (in or outside of shoreline or critical area buffers) or suitable non-native plants (outside of shoreline or critical area buffers). The replanted vegetation must be similar in size and structure at maturity to the removed vegetation.
 - (4) Invasive species removal efforts that exceed one-quarter acre should be phased if feasible to minimize potential erosion and sedimentation impacts.
- (l) Aquatic weed control must only be permitted where the presence of aquatic weeds will adversely affect native plant communities, fish and wildlife habitats, or an existing water-dependent recreational use. Aquatic weed control efforts must comply with all applicable laws and standards.

05.040 Water Quality, Stormwater, and Nonpoint Pollution

- (a) Do not degrade ecological functions. Design, construction and operation of shoreline uses and developments shall incorporate measures to protect and maintain surface and groundwater quantity and quality in accordance with all applicable laws, so that there is no net loss of ecological functions.
- (b) Do not degrade views and recreation opportunities. Design, construction and operation of shoreline uses and developments shall incorporate measures to protect and maintain surface and groundwater quantity and quality in accordance with all applicable laws, so that significant impacts to aesthetic qualities or recreational opportunities do not occur. A significant impact to aesthetics or recreation would occur if a stormwater facility and appurtenant structures such as fences or other features have the potential to block or impair a view of shoreline waters from public land or from a substantial number of residences per RCW 90.58.320, or if water quality were visibly degraded such that the color and character were unattractive and discouraged normal uses such as swimming, fishing, boating, or viewing.
- (c) Requirements for new development.
 - (1) New development and re-development shall manage short-term and long-term stormwater runoff to avoid and minimize potential adverse effects on shoreline ecological functions through compliance with the latest edition of the Benton County Hydrology Manual or approved equivalent. If certain thresholds are not met by a development that trigger compliance with the Benton County Hydrology Manual or approved equivalent, best management practices (BMPs) must still be employed to avoid and minimize potential adverse effects.
 - (2) When the Benton County Hydrology Manual applies, deviations from the standards may be approved where it can be demonstrated that off-site facilities would provide better treatment, or where common retention, detention and/or water quality facilities meeting such standards have been approved as part of a comprehensive stormwater management plan.
- (d) Sewage management. To avoid water quality degradation, sewer service is subject to the requirements outlined below.
 - (1) Any existing septic system or other on-site system that fails or malfunctions will be required to connect to an existing municipal sewer service system if feasible or make system corrections approved by Benton-Franklin Health District.
 - (2) Any new development, business, single-family or multi-family unit in an Urban Growth Area will be required to connect to an existing municipal sewer service system if feasible, or install an on-site septic system approved by Benton-Franklin Health District.
- (e) Materials requirements. All materials that may come in contact with water shall be untreated or approved treated wood, concrete, approved plastic composites or steel, that will not adversely affect water quality or aquatic plants or animals.

05.050 Public Access

- (a) Efforts to implement the public access provisions of this Section shall be consistent with all relevant constitutional and other legal limitations on regulation of private property and the principles of nexus and proportionality.
- (b) Public access does not include the right to enter upon or cross private property, except on dedicated public rights-of-way or easements or where development is specifically designed to accommodate public access.
- (c) The County adopts the following policies and plans as collectively constituting a shoreline public access plan for Benton County shorelines:
 - (1) Benton County Comprehensive Plan Parks and Recreation Element
 - (2) Benton County Comprehensive Parks Plan
 - (3) Hanford Site Comprehensive Land Use Plan Map
- (d) The Shoreline Administrator may approve a public access plan not otherwise listed in Subsection (c) if it:
 - (1) Meets the requirements of WAC 173-26-221(4); and
 - (2) Is developed through an open public process as provided in WAC 173-26-201(3)(b)(i).
- (e) Shoreline development shall not interfere with public access and enjoyment of any nearby publicly owned land areas.
- (f) The County shall not vacate any road, street, or alley abutting a body of water except as provided under RCW 36.87.130 County Roads.
- (g) Shoreline public access shall be required for the following shoreline uses and activities, unless excepted by Subsection (h):
 - (1) Shoreline recreation pursuant to Section 15.07.110;
 - (2) New structural public flood hazard reduction measures, such as dikes and levees;
 - (3) Shoreline development proposed or financed by public entities, including local governments, port districts, state agencies, and public utility districts;
 - (4) New marinas when water-enjoyment uses are associated with the marina;
 - (5) Where commercial use is proposed on land in public ownership;
 - (6) Where the nature of the proposed use, activity or development will likely generate a public demand for one or more forms of physical or visual access to the shoreline;
 - (7) When the proposed use, activity or development is not a water-oriented or other preferred shoreline use, activity or development under the SMA, such as a Non water-oriented commercial or industrial use; or
 - (8) When the proposed use, activity or development will interfere with the public use, activity and enjoyment of shoreline areas or waterbodies subject to the public trust doctrine (see Section 15.02).
- (h) Notwithstanding the applicability of Subsection (g), an applicant shall not be required to provide public access where the County determines that one or more of the following conditions apply:
 - (1) Reasonable, safe and convenient public access to the shoreline is accessible within one-quarter mile (1,320 feet) of the site;
 - (2) The County's shoreline public access plan defined in Subsection (c) does not indicate a need for public access at the property;
 - (3) The site is within or part of an overall development which has previously provided public access through other application processes;
 - (4) The economic cost of providing for public access upon the site is unreasonably disproportionate to the total long-term economic value of the proposed use, activity or development;

- (5) The proposed use, activity or development only involves the construction of four or fewer single-family or multifamily dwellings;
 - (6) The proposed use, activity or development only involves agricultural activities;
 - (7) The proposal consists of a new or expanded road or utility crossing through shoreline jurisdiction serving development located outside of shoreline jurisdiction;
 - (8) The nature of the use, activity or development or the characteristics of the site make public access requirements inappropriate due to health, safety or environmental hazards based on evidence provided in the proposed application;
 - (9) The proposed use, activity or development has security requirements that are not feasible to address through the application of alternative design features or other measures;
 - (10) Significant and unmitigable harm to the shoreline environment would be likely to result from an increase, expansion or extension of public access upon the site; or
 - (11) Public access is deemed detrimental to threatened and/or endangered species under the Endangered Species Act.
- (i) Public Access Standards. When public access is provided, the following standards shall apply.
- (1) Physical public access is preferred to solely visual access. Where physical public access is not feasible, the applicant shall incorporate visual public access. Visual public access may consist of view corridors, viewpoints, or other means of visual approach to public waters. Physical public access may consist of a dedication of land or easement and a physical improvement in the form of a trail, park, or other area serving as a means of physical approach to public waters.
 - (2) Physical public access shall be designed to connect to existing or future public access features on adjacent or abutting properties or shall connect to existing public rights-of-way or access easements, consistent with design and safety standards.
 - (3) Public access proposals shall be designed consistent with parks and recreation standards or plans contained in applicable County, State, or Federal codes or approved plans.
- (j) Shared community access may be allowed if there is no existing or planned public access along the shoreline as determined by a review of adopted parks and recreation plans. Where provided, community access is subject to all applicable development standards of this Section. Preference shall be given for consolidated community access over individual lot by lot access in new multi-lot or multi-unit development.
- (k) Where public access is required pursuant to Subsection (g) and not exempt through Subsection (h), an applicant may request that the public access requirement be fulfilled through developing public access on another site – otherwise called off-site public access or by payment of a fee in lieu.
- (1) Off-site public access, either physical or visual, may be permitted by the County where it results in an equal or greater public benefit than on-site public access, or when on-site limitations of security, environment, or feasibility are present. Off-site public access is preferred where it implements adopted County shoreline public access plans defined in Subsection (c). Off-site public access may include, but is not limited to, enhancing a nearby public property (e.g. existing public recreation site; existing public access; road, street or alley abutting a body of water; or similar) in accordance with County standards; providing, improving or enhancing public access on another property under the control of the applicant/proponent; or another equivalent measure.
 - (2) Instead of on-site or off-site public access improvements, the County may require, or an applicant may propose a fee-in-lieu. A fee-in-lieu may be assessed where the off-site improvement is best accomplished by the County or another agency at a later date or better implements the County Public Access Plans listed in Section 15.05.050. The cost of providing the off-site public access shall be

proportionate to the total long-term cost of the proposed development or use. The fee-in-lieu agreements, conditions of approval, or mitigation measures shall address the responsibility and cost for operation and maintenance.

- (l) The County may condition public access proposals to ensure compatibility with existing public access or transportation facilities, address environmental conditions or environmental impacts, and/or address compatibility with adjacent properties. Public access facilities shall be made compatible with adjacent private properties through the use of techniques to define the separation between public and private space, including but not limited to, fencing, vegetation, and elevation separations.

05.060 Flood Hazard Reduction

- (a) Development in floodplains shall avoid significantly or cumulatively increasing flood hazards. Development shall be consistent with this SMP, including Section 15.06.050, as well as applicable guidelines of the Federal Emergency Management Agency and an approved flood hazard management plan.
- (b) The channel migration zone (CMZ) is considered to be that area of a stream channel which may erode as a result of normal and naturally occurring processes and has been mapped consistent with WAC 173-26-221(3)(b). The Channel Migration Zone Maps are available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System. Applicants for shoreline development or modification may submit a site-specific CMZ study if they believe these conditions do not exist on the subject property and the map is in error. The CMZ study must be prepared consistent with WAC 173-26-221(3)(b), and may include, but is not limited to, historic aerial photographs, topographic mapping, flooding records, and field verification. The CMZ study must be prepared by a licensed geologist or engineer with at least five years of applied experience in assessing fluvial geomorphic processes and channel response.
- (c) The following uses and activities may be authorized within the CMZ or floodway, provided they are also consistent with Section 15.06.050:
 - (1) Actions that protect or restore the ecosystem-wide processes or ecological functions or development with a primary purpose of protecting or restoring ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes.
 - (2) New development or redevelopment landward of existing legal structures, such as levees, that prevent active channel movement and flooding.
 - (3) Existing and ongoing agricultural activities provided that no new restrictions to channel movement are proposed.
 - (4) Development of new or expansion or redevelopment of existing bridges, utility lines, public stormwater facilities and outfalls, and other public utility and transportation structures, including trails, where no other feasible (see definition in Section 15.02) alternative exists or the alternative would result in unreasonable and disproportionate costs¹. Where such structures are allowed, mitigation shall address adversely impacted functions and processes in the affected shoreline.
 - (5) New or redeveloped measures to reduce shoreline erosion, provided that it is demonstrated that the erosion rate exceeds that which would normally occur in a natural condition, that the measures do not interfere with fluvial hydrological and geo-morphological processes normally

¹ For the purposes of this Section “unreasonable and disproportionate” means that locations outside of the floodway or CMZ would add more than 20% to the total project cost. Other methods to determine unreasonable and disproportionate cost may be used on a case-by-case basis with approval of the Shoreline Administrator. [20% has been used as a threshold by WSDOT and the Federal Department of Justice for ADA standards]

- acting in natural conditions, and that the measures include appropriate mitigation of adverse impacts on ecological functions associated with the river or stream.
- (6) Water-dependent installations which by their very nature must be in the floodway.
 - (7) Modifications or additions to an existing nonagricultural legal use, provided that channel migration is not further limited and that the modified or expanded development includes appropriate protection of ecological functions.
 - (8) Repair and maintenance of existing legally established use and developments, provided that channel migration is not further limited, flood hazards to other uses are not increased, and significant adverse ecological impacts are avoided.
 - (9) Uses and developments allowed in the floodway under BCC 3.26, provided they are otherwise consistent with all provisions of this SMP.
- (d) Flood hazard reduction measures shall not result in channelization of normal stream flows, interfere with natural hydraulic processes such as channel migration, or undermine existing structures or downstream banks.
 - (e) New development in shoreline jurisdiction, including the subdivision of land, shall not be permitted if it is reasonably foreseeable that the development or use would require structural flood hazard reduction measures within the channel migration zone or floodway.
 - (f) New public and private structural flood hazard reduction measures:
 - (1) shall not be approved, unless a scientific and engineering analysis demonstrates the following:
 - a. that they are necessary to protect existing development;
 - b. that nonstructural measures, such as buffers and setbacks, land use controls, wetland restoration, dike removal, use or structure removal or relocation, biotechnical measures, and stormwater management programs are not feasible;
 - c. that adverse effects upon adjacent properties will not result relative to increased floodwater depths and velocities during the base flood or other more frequent flood occurrences;
 - d. that the ability of natural drainage ways to adequately drain floodwaters after a flooding event is not impaired;
 - e. that the proposal has been coordinated through the appropriate diking district where applicable, and that potential adverse effects upon other affected diking districts have been documented; and,
 - f. that adverse impacts on ecological functions and priority species and habitats can be successfully mitigated so as to assure no net loss.
 - (2) shall be consistent with an approved comprehensive flood hazard management plan.
 - (3) shall be placed landward of associated wetlands and designated shoreline buffers, except for actions that increase ecological functions, such as wetland restoration, or when no other alternative location to reduce flood hazard to existing development is feasible as determined by the Shoreline Administrator.
 - (g) New public structural flood hazard reduction measures, such as levees, shall dedicate and improve public access pathways unless public access improvements would cause unavoidable health or safety hazards to the public, inherent and unavoidable security problems, unacceptable and unmitigable significant adverse ecological impacts, unavoidable conflict with the proposed use, or a cost that is disproportionate and unreasonable to the total long-term cost of the development.
 - (h) In those instances where management of vegetation as required by this SMP conflicts with vegetation provisions included in state, federal or other flood hazard agency documents governing County-authorized, legal flood hazard reduction measures, the vegetation requirements of this SMP will not

apply. However, the applicant shall submit documentation of these conflicting provisions with any shoreline permit applications and shall comply with all other provisions of this Section and this SMP that are not strictly prohibited by the approving flood hazard agency.

- (i) The removal of gravel or other riverbed material for flood management purposes shall be consistent with Section 15.07.060, Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal, and be allowed only after a biological and geomorphological study shows that extraction has a long-term benefit to flood hazard reduction, does not result in a net loss of ecological functions, and is part of a comprehensive flood management solution.

Section 15.06 Critical Areas in Shoreline Jurisdiction

06.010 General

- (a) Applicability. This chapter applies to any real property located within the shoreline jurisdiction of unincorporated Benton County.
- (b) Purpose. The purpose of this chapter is to meet the minimum requirements of the Washington State Growth Management Act, Chapter 36.70A RCW, and the Shoreline Management Act, Chapter 90.58 RCW, by designating the Critical Areas located in unincorporated Benton County and providing, through the use of the best science available, for the protection of the functions and values of those resources from incompatible and injurious use, encouraging the development of strategies to conserve and protect such resources, and preventing cumulative adverse environmental impacts to ground and surface water availability, to water quality, and to wetlands and streams, thereby ensuring the public health, safety, and general welfare while attempting to minimize public expenditures and efforts in response to floods, geological activity, and other natural disasters.
- (c) Identification of Critical Areas - Maps.
 - (1) The Critical Areas Overlay Maps for critical areas are used as a general guide to the location, type and extent of critical areas. If present, whether mapped or not, critical areas are protected under all the provisions of this title and all related titles.
 - (2) The Critical Areas Overlay Maps are available for review in the Planning Department as either hard copy or computer-generated images of the County's Geographic Information System. The maps will be amended over time to accurately reflect improvements in the accuracy of the data base.
 - (3) The Critical Areas Overlay Maps are also intended to alert the development community, appraisers, and current and prospective property owners of the potential encounter with natural site constraints due to critical areas, which may limit or cause alterations of development plans.
 - (4) If the SMP Administrator has reason to believe that the property proposed for development contains a critical resource based on other map or data sources or review of aerial photographs, then the SMP Administrator may require that additional information be provided prior to the County's acceptance of a development application as complete and ready for processing under current Benton County Codes.
 - (5) When any other title of the Benton County Code conflicts with this chapter, the more restrictive provision will apply.
- (d) Initial Review. The SMP Administrator shall perform an initial Critical Areas Review of any application for development or use. The initial review shall accomplish the following:
 - (1) identify which critical areas or their buffers are present on the site;
 - (2) determine whether or not the development falls within the potential critical area(s) and potential buffer(s);
 - (3) in the case of a wetland, determine if it is subject to review under this chapter and if a delineation and wetland rating are necessary to establish whether a development may affect the wetland or its buffer;

- (4) determine if the development is likely to have an adverse impact on the functions and values of the critical area(s). Development consisting of new construction or a related activity connected with an existing single-family residence shall not be considered an adverse impact to, or displacement of, the functions and values of a critical area if ground coverage is not increased by more than twenty (20) percent, native vegetation is not altered, and no portion of any new construction is located closer to a critical area than the existing principal structure;
 - (5) refer the applicant to such mitigating measures sufficient to protect the functions and values of the critical area and shall assist the applicant in the modification of the development to include specific measures, and appropriate monitoring strategy (where necessary), which meet the title's standards for the protection of the resource(s); and
 - (6) determine if a Critical Areas Special Study is required.
- (e) Critical Areas Special Study - Requirements.
- (1) The SMP Administrator may require an applicant to conduct a "Critical Areas Special Study" if the Administrator determines that the development could have adverse impacts on a critical area. The purpose of a Critical Areas Special Study is to adequately evaluate the proposal and all potential adverse impacts on a critical area. The study may be included as part of the environmental review process under SEPA as administrated by the County, in accordance with the provisions of this title.
 - (2) The study shall be performed by a professional who is licensed or qualified as an expert in the critical areas at issue. The study shall include the following where applicable:
 - a. the resume of the principal author(s) which disclose(s) their technical training and experience and demonstrates their stature as a qualified professional;
 - b. identification and characterization of the critical area;
 - c. an assessment of any potential hazards associated with the proposed development;
 - d. an assessment of the impacts of the development proposal on any critical area; and
 - e. a mitigation plan which specifies maintenance, monitoring and bonding measures (where necessary).
- (f) Buffer Requirements.
- (1) For any development or use subject to the requirements to provide a buffer around critical areas, the SMP Administrator may allow buffer width averaging when the project proponent can demonstrate application of mitigation sequencing and that project elements would provide an equal or greater contribution to permanent critical resource protection than would the application of the standard buffer. The maximum reduction allowed in any location is 25 percent.
 - (2) The SMP Administrator may require a wider than standard buffer when analysis of impacts by qualified individuals indicates that the standard requirement will not protect a critical area's functions and values.
 - (3) Where a legally established road or railway crosses a shoreline or critical area buffer, the SMP Administrator may approve a modification of the minimum required buffer width to the waterward edge of the improved road if a study submitted by the applicant and prepared by a qualified professional demonstrates that the part of the buffer on the upland side of the road sought to be reduced:
 - a. does not provide additional protection of the shoreline waterbody; and
 - b. provides insignificant biological, geological or hydrological buffer functions relating to the waterward portion of the buffer adjacent to the shoreline waterbody.

If the improved roadway corridor is wider than 20 feet, a study is not required.

- (g) Critical Areas Resource Mitigation Fund. There is hereby created a Critical Areas Resource Mitigation Fund which shall be administered by the Benton County Treasurer's Office. All funds derived from payments received in-lieu of on/off-site mitigation shall be deposited in the fund which shall be used for off-site critical area enhancement or critical area lands acquisition. Monies in said fund not needed for immediate expenditure shall be invested for the benefit of the Critical Areas Resource Mitigation Fund pursuant to RCW 36.29.020. For investment purposes, the Benton County Treasurer is hereby designated the fund manager.
- (h) Permit Issuance.
 - (1) The SMP Administrator may issue, issue with conditions, or deny the issuance of a permit, or its extension, in order to comply with and carry out the goals, purposes, objectives and requirements of this chapter. The permit shall include the findings listed in Section 15.06.010(h)(2).
 - (2) A permit may be issued if:
 - a. after consideration of all feasible Best Management Practices, including alternative designs, scale (size), locations, and management plans, the proposed development meets the standards of this title, protects the functions and values of critical areas, and that required mitigation reduces impacts to insignificant levels on an individual and/or cumulative project basis; or,
 - b. adverse impacts to critical area functions and values are both unavoidable and necessary because of public health and safety, or if specific local or regional economic considerations override the public interest in the protection of the critical areas, or because all reasonable economic uses for the property would be denied as a result of circumstances peculiar to the subject property; and all unavoidable adverse impacts are offset by enhancement of other critical areas on or off-site.

06.020 Wetlands

- (a) Applicability. This chapter applies to wetlands and all development activities within or adjacent to such wetlands located within the shoreline jurisdiction of unincorporated Benton County. The following activities are subject to permitting if they occur in a regulated wetland or its buffer:
 - (1) The removal, excavation, grading, or dredging of soil, sand, gravel, minerals, organic matter, or material of any kind.
 - (2) The dumping of, discharging of, or filling with any material.
 - (3) The draining, flooding, or disturbing the water level or water table.
 - (4) Pile driving.
 - (5) The placing of obstructions.
 - (6) The construction, reconstruction, demolition, or expansion of any structure.
 - (7) The destruction or alteration of wetland vegetation through clearing, harvesting, shading, intentional burning, or planting of vegetation that would alter the character of a regulated wetland.
 - (8) Activities that result in:
 - a. A significant change of water temperature.
 - b. A significant change of physical or chemical characteristics of the sources of water to the wetland
 - c. A significant change in the quantity, timing or duration of the water entering the wetland.
 - d. The introduction of pollutants.
- (b) Developments Permitted. Developments within wetlands or their buffers as set forth in this chapter are permitted when sited, designed, and operated in a manner which protects the functions and values of the wetland when such developments meet the requirements of this title.

- (c) Identification and Delineation. Wetlands shall be identified and delineated by a qualified professional in accordance with WAC 173-22-035 and designated based on the definitions, methods, and standards set forth in the currently approved Federal Wetland Delineation Manual and applicable regional supplement.
- (d) Categories. Criteria for categorizing a wetland are those specified in the Washington State Department of Ecology's Washington State Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington, or as revised (Publication #14-06-030).
 - (1) Category I Wetlands are: 1) alkali wetlands; 2) wetlands that are identified by scientists of the Washington Natural Heritage Program/DNR as wetlands of high conservation value; 3) bogs; 4) mature and old-growth forested wetlands over ¼ acre with slow-growing trees; 5) forests with stands of aspen; and 6) wetlands that perform many functions very well. These wetlands are those that 1) represent a unique or rare wetland type; or 2) are more sensitive to disturbance than most wetlands; or 3) are relatively undisturbed and contain ecological attributes that are impossible to replace within a human lifetime; or 4) provide a high level of function.
 - (2) Category II Wetlands are: 1) forested wetlands in the floodplains of rivers; 2) mature and old-growth forested wetlands over ¼ acre with fast-growing trees; 3) vernal pools; and 4) wetlands that perform functions well.
 - (3) Category III Wetlands are: 1) vernal pools that are isolated and 2) wetlands with a moderate level of functions. These wetlands generally have been disturbed in some ways and are often less diverse or more isolated from other natural resources in the landscape than Category II wetlands.
 - (4) Category IV Wetlands are wetlands that should be able to be replaced, and in some cases improved. However, experience has shown that replacement cannot be guaranteed in any specific case. These wetlands may provide some important functions and also need to be protected.
- (e) Buffer Requirements for Designated Wetlands.
 - (1) Vegetative buffers shall be measured from the wetland edge. The width of the buffer shall be determined according to the wetland type. The standard buffer widths are provided in Table 06.020-1 below.
 - (2) The use of the standard buffer widths requires the implementation of the measures in Table 06.020-2, where applicable, to minimize the impacts of the adjacent land uses.
 - (3) If an applicant chooses not to apply the minimization measures in Table 06.020-2, then a 33% increase in the width of all buffers is required. For example, a 75-foot standard buffer would become a 100-foot buffer if the minimization measures are not implemented.
 - (4) The standard buffer widths assume that the buffer is vegetated with a native plant community appropriate for the ecoregion. If the buffer is unvegetated, sparsely vegetated, or vegetated with invasive species that do not perform needed functions, the buffer should either be planted to create the appropriate plant community or the buffer should be widened to ensure that adequate functions of the buffer are provided.

Table 06.020 – 1. Wetland Buffers

Wetland Category	Standard Buffer Width Scores 3-4 habitat points	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 5 habitat points*	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 6-7 habitat points*	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 8-9 habitat points*
Category I: Based on total score	75 ft	Add 15 ft	Add 45 ft	Add 75 ft
Category I: Forested	75 ft	Add 15 ft	Add 45 ft	Add 75 ft
Category I: Bogs	190 ft	NA	NA	NA
Category I: Alkali	150 ft	N/A	NA	NA
Category I: Natural Heritage Wetlands	190 ft	N/A	NA	NA
Category II: Based on total score	75 ft	Add 15 ft	Add 45 ft	Add 75 ft
Category II: Vernal pool	150	NA	NA	<u>NA</u>
Category II: Forested	75 ft	Add 15 ft	Add 45 ft	Add 75 ft
Wetland Category	Standard Buffer Width Scores 3-4 habitat points	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 5 habitat points*	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 6-7 habitat points*	Additional buffer width if wetland scores 8-9 habitat points*
Category III (all)	60 ft	Add 30 ft	Add 60 ft	NA
Category IV (all)	40 ft	NA	NA	NA

* When the Department of Ecology updates its Wetland Rating Forms, these point ranges should be modified using Ecology's conversion table once developed.

Table 06.020-2. Required measures to minimize impacts to wetlands

(Measures are required, where applicable to a specific proposal)

Disturbance	Required Measures to Minimize Impacts
Lights	Direct lights away from wetland
Noise	■ Locate activity that generates noise away from wetland ■ If warranted, enhance existing buffer with native vegetation plantings adjacent to noisefsource ■ For activities that generate relatively continuous, potentially disruptive noise, such as certain heavy industry or mining, establish an additional 10' heavily vegetated buffer strip immediately adjacent to the outer wetland buffer
Toxic runoff	■ Route all new, untreated runoff away from wetland while ensuring wetland is not dewatered ■ Establish covenants limiting use of pesticides within 150 ft of wetland ■ Apply integrated pest management
Stormwater runoff	■ Retrofit stormwater detention and treatment for roads and existing adjacent development ■ Prevent channelized flow from lawns that directly enters the buffer ■ Use Low Intensity Development techniques (per PSAT publication on LID techniques)

Change in water regime	Infiltrate or treat, detain, and disperse into buffer new runoff from impervious surfaces and new lawns
Pets and human disturbance	■ Use privacy fencing OR plant dense vegetation to delineate buffer edge and to discourage disturbance using vegetation appropriate for the ecoregion. ■ Place wetland and its buffer in a separate tract or protect with a conservation easement
Dust	Use best management practices to control dust
Disruption of corridors or connections	■ Maintain connections to offsite areas that are undisturbed ■ Restore corridors or connections to offsite habitats by replanting

- (5) Increased Wetland Buffer Width. Buffer widths shall be increased on a case-by-case basis as determined by the SMP Administrator when a larger buffer is necessary to protect wetland functions and values. This determination shall be supported by appropriate documentation showing that it is reasonably related to protection of the functions and values of the wetland. The documentation must include but not be limited to the following criteria:
- a. The wetland is used by a plant or animal species listed by the federal government or the state as endangered, threatened, candidate, sensitive, monitored or documented priority species or habitats, or essential or outstanding habitat for those species or has unusual nesting or resting sites such as heron rookeries or raptor nesting trees; or
 - b. The adjacent land is susceptible to severe erosion, and erosion-control measures will not effectively prevent adverse wetland impacts; or
 - c. The adjacent land has minimal vegetative cover or slopes greater than 30 percent.
- (6) Buffer averaging to *improve wetland protection* may be permitted when all of the following conditions are met:
- a. The wetland has significant differences in characteristics that affect its habitat functions, such as a wetland with a forested component adjacent to a degraded emergent component or a “dual-rated” wetland with a Category I area adjacent to a lower-rated area.
 - b. The buffer is increased adjacent to the higher-functioning area of habitat or more-sensitive portion of the wetland and decreased adjacent to the lower-functioning or less-sensitive portion as demonstrated by a critical areas special study from a qualified wetland professional.
 - c. The total area of the buffer after averaging is equal to the area required without averaging.
 - d. The buffer at its narrowest point is never less than either $\frac{3}{4}$ of the required width or 75 feet for Category I and II, 50 feet for Category III and 25 feet for Category IV, whichever is greater.
- (7) All other proposals to reduce a wetland buffer may only be approved through the Shoreline Variance process.
- (f) Protection of Water Quality. The following provisions shall be followed to ensure the protection of the quality of water.
- (1) New surface water discharged to wetlands from developments, including retention/detention facilities, pre-settlement ponds, or other surface water management structures may be allowed provided that the discharge does not decrease the water quality of the wetland;
 - (2) Category I and II wetlands may be used for regional retention/detention facilities only when the use will employ the use of pre-settlement ponds and the use will not lower the wetland's level of function and value, or its category;
 - (3) Use of wetland buffers for surface water management activities other than retention/detention facilities, such as energy dissipators and associated pipes, may be allowed only if:

- a. no practicable alternative exists; and,
 - b. the functions of the buffer or the wetland are not adversely impacted.
- (g) Subdivisions. The subdivision and/or short subdivision of land in wetlands and associated buffers are subject to the following:
 - (1) Land that is located wholly within a wetland or its buffer may not be subdivided.
 - (2) Land that is located partially within a wetland or its buffer may be subdivided provided that an accessible and contiguous portion of each new lot is:
 - a. Located outside of the wetland and its buffer; and
 - b. Meets the minimum lot size requirements of the underlying zoning district.
- (h) Allowed Uses in Wetlands and Buffers
 - (1) Buffers and application of the normal mitigation sequencing process in Section 05.020, Environmental Protection, is not required of isolated Category III and IV wetlands less than 1,000 square feet that are not associated with a riparian area or buffer, are not part of a wetland mosaic, do not contain habitat identified as essential for local populations of priority species, and are not a vernal pool or alkali wetland. They may be filled if impacts are fully mitigated based on provisions in Section 15.06.020(i). If available, impacts should be mitigated through the purchase of credits from an in-lieu fee program or mitigation bank, consistent with the terms and conditions of the program or bank. In order to verify the following conditions, a critical area special study for wetlands meeting the requirements in Section 15.06.010(e) must be submitted.
 - (2) Activities Allowed in Wetlands and Buffers. The activities listed below are allowed in wetlands and buffers without submission of a Critical Areas Special Study, except where such activities result in a loss of the functions and values of a wetland or wetland buffer. These activities include:
 - a. Conservation or preservation of soil, water, vegetation, fish, shellfish, and/or other wildlife that does not entail changing the structure or functions of the existing wetland or buffer.
 - b. The harvesting of wild crops in a manner that is not injurious to natural reproduction of such crops and provided the harvesting does not require tilling of soil, planting of crops, chemical applications, or alteration of the wetland or buffer by changing existing topography, water conditions, or water sources.
 - c. Passive recreation. Passive recreation facilities, including:
 - 1. Walkways and trails, provided that those pathways are limited to minor crossings having no adverse impact on water quality. They should be generally parallel to the perimeter of the wetland, located only in the outer twenty-five percent (25%) of the wetland buffer area except for crossings and infrequent viewpoints, and located to avoid removal of significant trees. They should be limited to pervious surfaces no more than five (5) feet in width for pedestrian use only. In wetlands, raised boardwalks utilizing non-treated pilings may be acceptable.
 - 2. Wildlife-viewing structures.
 - d. Drilling for utilities/utility corridors under a wetland or wetland buffer, with entrance/exit portals located completely outside of the wetland buffer, provided that the drilling does not interrupt the groundwater connection to the wetland or percolation of surface water down through the soil column. Specific studies by a hydrologist are necessary to determine whether the groundwater connection to the wetland or percolation of surface water down through the soil column will be disturbed.

- e. Enhancement of a wetland or wetland buffer through the removal of non-native invasive plant species. Removal of invasive plant species shall be restricted to hand removal unless permits from the appropriate regulatory agencies have been obtained for approved biological or chemical treatments. All removed plant material shall be taken away from the site and appropriately disposed of. Plants that appear on the Washington State Noxious Weed Control Board list of noxious weeds must be handled and disposed of according to a noxious weed control plan appropriate to that species. Re-vegetation with appropriate native species at natural densities is allowed in conjunction with removal of invasive plant species.
 - f. Educational and scientific research activities
 - g. Normal and routine maintenance and repair of any existing public or private facilities within an existing right-of-way, provided that the maintenance or repair does not expand the footprint or use of the facility or right-of-way.
- (3) Stormwater management facilities. Stormwater management facilities are limited to stormwater dispersion outfalls and bioswales. They may be allowed within the outer twenty-five percent (25%) of the wetland buffer of Category III or IV wetlands only, provided that:
- a. No other location is feasible; and
 - b. The location of such facilities will not degrade the functions or values of the wetland; and
 - c. Stormwater management facilities are not allowed in buffers of Category I or II wetlands.
- (i) Compensatory Mitigation.
- (1) Projects that propose compensation for wetland acreage and/or functions are subject to State and Federal regulations. Compensatory mitigation for alterations to wetlands shall provide for no net loss of wetland functions and values and must be consistent with the mitigation plan requirements of Section 15.05.020(f). The following documents were developed to assist applicants in meeting the above requirements.
- a. Compensatory mitigation for alterations to wetlands shall be used only for impacts that cannot be avoided or minimized and shall achieve equivalent or greater biologic functions. Compensatory mitigation plans shall be consistent with Wetland Mitigation in Washington State – Part 2: Developing Mitigation Plans--Version 1, (Ecology Publication #06-06-011b, Olympia, WA, March 2006 or as revised), and Selecting Wetland Mitigation Sites Using a Watershed Approach (Eastern Washington) (Publication #10-06-07, November 2010).
 - b. Wetland mitigation ratios shall be consistent with Table 06.020-3.

Table 06.020-3. Wetland Mitigation Ratios

Category and Type of Wetland	Creation or Re-establishment	Rehabilitation	Enhancement
Category I: Bog, Natural Heritage site	Not considered possible	Case by case	Case by case
Category I: Mature Forested	6:1	12:1	24:1
Category I: Based on functions	4:1	8:1	16:1
Category II	3:1	6:1	12:1
Category III	2:1	4:1	8:1
Category IV	1.5:1	3:1	6:1

- c. To more fully protect functions and values, and as an alternative to the mitigation ratios in Table 06.020-3, the SMP Administrator may allow mitigation based on the “credit/debit” method developed by the Department of Ecology in “Calculating Credits and Debits for Compensatory Mitigation in Wetlands of Eastern Washington: Final Report” (Ecology Publication #11-06-015, August 2012, or as revised).
 - d. Impacts to wetland buffers shall be mitigated at a 1:1 ratio. Compensatory buffer mitigation shall replace those buffer functions lost from development.
- (2) Wetland Mitigation Banks.
- a. Credits from a wetland mitigation bank may be approved for use as compensation for unavoidable impacts to wetlands when:
 1. The bank is certified under RCW Ch. 90.84 or WAC Ch. 173-700,
 2. The SMP Administrator determines that the wetland mitigation bank can provide appropriate compensation for the authorized impacts, and
 3. The proposed use of credits is consistent with the terms and conditions of the bank’s certification.
 - b. Replacement ratios for projects using bank credits shall be consistent with replacement ratios specified in the bank’s certification.
 - c. Credits from a certified wetland mitigation bank may be used to compensate for impacts located within the service area specified in the bank’s certification. In some cases, bank service areas may include portions of more than one adjacent drainage basin for specific wetland functions.
- (3) Advance Mitigation. Mitigation for projects with pre-identified impacts to wetlands may be constructed in advance of the impacts if the mitigation is implemented according to federal rules, State policy on advance mitigation, and State water quality regulations. If the project with impacts would take place in shoreline jurisdiction, it must also be evaluated via the appropriate shoreline permit process.
- (4) Monitoring. Mitigation monitoring shall be required for a period necessary to establish that performance standards have been met, but not for a period less than five years. If a scrub-shrub or forested vegetation community is proposed, monitoring may be required for ten years or more. The project mitigation plan shall include monitoring elements that ensure certainty of success for the project’s natural resource values and functions. If the mitigation goals are not obtained within the initial five-year period, the applicant remains responsible for restoration of the natural resource values and functions until the mitigation goals agreed to in the mitigation plan are achieved.

06.030 Rivers and Creeks

- (a) Buffer and building setback requirements. The minimum buffers for above ground development located in the vicinity of rivers and creeks are as follows:

Table 06.030 – 1. River and Creek Buffers

Environment Designation	Columbia River	Yakima River	Other Creeks
Urban Transition Area	Consistent with interlocal agreement to apply city SMP. If no agreement is in place: • Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 50 • Other: 50	Consistent with interlocal agreement to apply city SMP. If no agreement is in place: • Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 50 • Other: 50	Consistent with interlocal agreement to apply city SMP. If no agreement is in place: • Fish-bearing: 100 • Non-fish-bearing: 50

Rural Industrial	• Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 50 • Non water-oriented: 100	NA	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50
Residential	50 buffer + 50 building setback	75 buffer + 25 building setback	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50
Rural	• Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 50 • Other: 100	• Water-dependent: 0 • Water-related: 75 • Other: 100	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50
Hanford	200	NA	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50
Conservancy	• Water-dependent: 0 • Other water-oriented: see Section 15.06.030(e)(4) • Non water-oriented: 200	• Water-dependent: 0 • Other water-oriented: see Section 15.06.030(e)(4) • Non water-oriented: 150	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50
Natural	200	NA	Fish-bearing: 100 Non-fish-bearing: 50

*All dimensions measured in feet horizontally upland of the ordinary high water mark.

- (b) The introduction of any vegetation or wildlife which is not indigenous to the Central Basin region into any river or creek or its nearshore riparian area is prohibited unless authorized by a State of Washington or a federal license or permit. This provision does not apply to vegetation alterations to existing landscaped or agricultural areas.
- (c) Alterations to buffers that occur incidental to construction of an approved use or structure upland of the buffer must be restored to the condition prior to the construction activity once construction is concluded.
- (d) Use of river and creek buffers for surface water management activities other than retention/detention facilities, such as energy dissipators and associated pipes, may be allowed only if the applicant demonstrates that no practicable alternative exists.
- (e) The following uses are allowed in river and creek buffers and building setbacks provided that mitigation sequencing (see Section 15.05.020(d)) is demonstrated and any adverse impacts to ecological functions are mitigated.
 - (1) Water-dependent uses. Consistent with the use allowances for each environment designation, water-dependent uses, modifications and activities may be located in shoreline buffers at the water's edge.
 - (2) Accessories to water-dependent uses. Uses, developments and activities accessory to water-dependent uses should be located outside any applicable standard or reduced shoreline buffer unless at least one of the following is met:
 - a. proximity to the water-dependent project elements is critical to the successful implementation of the facility's purpose and the elements are supportive of the water-dependent use and have no other utility (e.g., a road to a boat launch facility, facilities that support non-commercial aquaculture);
 - b. in parks or on other public lands where high-intensity recreational development is already legally established and whose use is primarily related to access to, enjoyment and use of the water, they do not conflict with or limit opportunities for other water-oriented uses; or
 - c. the applicant's lot/site has topographical constraints where no other location of the development is feasible (e.g., the water-dependent use or activity is located on a parcel entirely or substantially encumbered by the required buffer).

In these circumstances, uses and modifications accessory to water-dependent uses must be designed and located to minimize intrusion into the buffer. All other accessory uses, developments and activities proposed to be located in a shoreline buffer must obtain a Shoreline Variance unless otherwise allowed by other regulations in this Section or in this SMP.

- (3) In the Residential environment, the shoreline building setback may contain lawn, landscaping, decks, patios and other alterations that are no taller than 36 inches in height. Minor non-permanent structures taller than 36 inches that are normal residential accessories, such as play structures, picnic tables and benches, or trellises, may also be located in the shoreline building setback. All alterations in shoreline jurisdiction, including the shoreline buffer and the building setback, must also comply with requirements of Section 15.05.030 (Shoreline Vegetation Conservation).
- (4) Water-oriented public access and recreation facilities.
 - a. In recognition of the existing condition of current and planned public shoreline parks and recreation facilities located in the Conservancy environment designation, the following standards shall guide new development and redevelopment of water-oriented public access and recreation facilities in lieu of shoreline buffers. Applicants shall submit a management plan that addresses compliance with each of the following applicable standards and principles, and contains additional information listed in Subsection (4)b below. The County may review and condition the project to more fully implement the principles below.

**Table 06.030 – 2. Water-Oriented Public Access and Recreation Facilities:
Design and Management Standards in Lieu of Shoreline Buffers**

Design Element	Design and Management Standards
i. Category of Use	The following use preferences apply in priority order: • Water-dependent uses located waterward, at or immediately upland of the OHWM • Water-related and/or water-enjoyment uses located upland of water-dependent uses. Water-related and water-enjoyment uses shall not displace existing or planned water-dependent uses. If water-dependent uses are not feasible, then water-related or water-enjoyment uses are allowed consistent with applicable performance standards. • Non water-oriented recreation uses located upland of water-oriented recreation uses. The preference is that Non water-oriented uses occupy existing structures upland of water-oriented recreation uses rather than be established in new structures. Where new Non water-oriented uses are proposed upland of water-oriented uses, but will not occupy existing structures, they shall avoid native and riparian vegetation consistent with Subsection iv below. • Accessory, Non water-oriented uses located upland of water-oriented uses. However, parking for those with disabilities, when no other location is feasible, may be located per “iii” below. • Existing primary Non water-oriented uses may only expand if they are located upland of water-oriented uses and if the expansion does not displace water-oriented uses. • Water-enjoyment recreational uses may be expanded. • Existing water-oriented uses may not be converted to a Non water-oriented use except when the existing water-oriented use is separated from the OHWM by another property.

Design Element	Design and Management Standards
ii. Impervious Surface and Stormwater Management	• New and expanded pollution-generating impervious surfaces (e.g., surfaces used predominantly by vehicles, such as parking areas, roads) must provide water quality treatment before discharging stormwater through use of oil-water separators, bioswales, or other approved technique. This provision does not apply to boat launches. • Treated runoff from pollution-generating impervious surfaces and runoff from non-pollution-generating impervious surfaces shall be infiltrated if feasible. • New or expanded pollution-generating impervious surfaces within 50 feet of the OHWM or within already disturbed areas shall be limited to those necessary to provide vehicle access to boat launches, to improve existing informal parking areas, to expand existing parking, or to provide ADA parking as outlined below under iii. Parking. • New or expanded trail systems shall avoid existing riparian areas and comply with vegetation management requirements below. Existing trail systems may only be expanded in response to increased demand and shall be expanded landward of existing trail where feasible. Parallel trails shall be placed at least 50 feet upland of the OHWM in the Conservancy environment, when feasible. Parallel portions of trails may be constructed closer to the aquatic area if the trail is located on or upland of previously disturbed rights-of-way, access and/or utility easements, and legally altered sites. Viewing platforms and crossings are allowed in buffers, provided they are also located to avoid significant vegetation removal.
iii. Parking	• New parking accessory to shoreline parks shall be at least 100 feet upland of the OHWM, except where a minimum number of parking spaces are provided closer than 100 feet to accommodate those with disabilities or where parking is provided on existing impervious surfaces. • Existing parking closer than 100 feet upland of the OHWM may only be expanded in response to increased demand. Expanded parking shall be expanded in the following order of preference, with 1) being the most preferred: 1) landward of existing parking and 2) laterally of the existing parking, if it is serving a previously existing authorized use and is located on existing impervious surface. Parking shall not be located closer than 50 feet upland of the OHWM unless the proposed expansion area is already an impervious surface or is necessary to accommodate those with disabilities.
iv. Vegetation Management	• New and expanded uses in shoreline jurisdiction shall be located to avoid and minimize intrusion into riparian areas, as well as avoid tree and shrub removal. • New and expanded uses in shoreline jurisdiction shall comply with Section 15.05.030, Shoreline Vegetation Conservation. • Landscape designs for new and modified recreation facilities in shoreline jurisdiction shall incorporate the following. ▪ Select species that are suitable to the local climate, having minimal demands for water, minimal vulnerability to pests, and minimal demands for fertilizers. Native species shall comprise 50 percent of the landscaped area, not counting lawn area. Redevelopment of lawn areas shall be no closer than 20 feet from the OHWM. Native grasses may be used within the first 20 feet landward of the OHWM. If lawn areas are not currently established within 50 feet of the OHWM, the existing riparian vegetation within 50 feet of the OHWM shall be maintained, unless a mitigation plan demonstrates improved ecological function.

Design Element	Design and Management Standards
	▪ Preserve existing soil and vegetation (especially trees) where possible. Amend disturbed soils with compost. Mulch existing and proposed landscapes regularly with wood chips, coarse bark, leaves or compost. ▪ Group plants by water need, use more efficient irrigation methods like drip and soakers under mulch, and design and maintain irrigation systems to reduce waste. ▪ Place vegetation to maximize the following benefits: – development or supplementation of a native vegetated wildlife corridor, – development or supplementation of riparian vegetation adjacent to the water's edge, – screening parking areas from views from the water or the park, and/or – discouragement of wildlife that may directly or indirectly interfere with park use or human health (e.g., geese), • While a specified buffer is not required for certain water-oriented recreational uses and developments in public access and recreation areas, recreational improvement projects shall place an emphasis on shoreline restoration/enhancement within 50 feet of the OHWM. This emphasis shall not require the removal of existing lawn areas, but should place an emphasis on incorporation of riparian plantings if the public access area is underutilized or public access would not be impaired by the plantings.
v. Chemical Applications	A lawn and landscape management strategy for any allowed uses in shoreline jurisdiction shall be developed that incorporates the following: • A site-specific plan for use of integrated pest management technique, if applicable. • A detailed plan identifying anticipated use of fertilizers, herbicides and pesticides, to include method of application that ensures these materials will not enter the water. Phosphorus-containing fertilizer treatments shall not be applied to turf or landscaping within 50 feet of the OHWM. Natural applications and hand removal are preferred over synthetic applications.
vi. Lighting	• Outdoor lighting fixtures and accent lighting must be shielded and aimed downward and shall be installed at the minimum height necessary. The shield must mask the direct horizontal surface of the light source. The light must be aimed to ensure that the illumination is only pointing downward onto the ground surface, with no escaping direct light permitted to contribute to light pollution by shining upward into the sky. • Outdoor lighting fixtures and accent lighting shall not directly illuminate the shoreline waterbody, unless it is a navigational light subject to state or federal regulations.
vii. Campgrounds	Proposed new campgrounds and their associated parking areas shall be a minimum of 50 feet from the OHWM, unless buffer averaging or reduction is applied.

b. Application requirements:

1. Drawings of existing park facilities, including a narrative that identifies area (sq. feet) and description of trails, parking, riparian vegetation, campsites, recreational facilities (ball parks, picnic table, grilling areas), upland vegetation and lawn areas.
2. Drawings of proposed park facilities, including a narrative that identifies area (sq. feet) and description of trails, parking, riparian vegetation, campsites, recreational facilities (ball parks, picnic table, grilling areas), upland vegetation and lawn areas.

3. Any increases in impervious surfaces (trail size, parking facilities, recreational facilities, etc.) shall include an explanation as part of the application that addresses the requirement for increased public facilities, what size facilities are needed by existing and projected park users, and the nearest locations of similar facilities.
 4. Expansion of public/park facilities shall be accompanied by a mitigation plan that addresses the design elements and the design and management standards above, addresses any critical area impacts, addresses mitigation sequencing, and demonstrates no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
- (5) Shoreline residential access.
- (a) A private access pathway constructed of pervious materials may be installed, a maximum of four (4) feet wide, through the shoreline buffer to the OHWM. Impervious materials may be used only as needed to comply with ADA requirements to construct a safe, tiered pathway down a slope. A railing may be installed on one edge of the pathway, a maximum of 36 inches tall and of open construction. Pathways to the shoreline should take the most direct route feasible consistent with any applicable ADA standards.

06.040 Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas

- (a) Permitted Development. Developments are permitted when sited, designed, and operated in a manner which protects the functions and values of critical aquifer recharge/interchange areas and when such developments meet the requirements of this title.
- (b) Site Analysis Required. An additional site analysis is required for the following types of activities if such activities have the potential to impact recharge/interchange areas:
 - (1) divisions of land;
 - (2) commercial, industrial, manufacturing, and multiple residential projects in excess of four (4) units; or
 - (3) projects or land use activities which process, stockpile, store, receive, transport, discharge, or produce any chemical or organic product or by-product which may contaminate ground or surface water, except where those projects have the primary purpose of water conservation.
- (c) General Information Requirements for Unconfined Aquifers. The SMP Administrator may require some or all of the following information relative to any unconfined aquifer in order to conduct the site analysis:
 - (1) depth to groundwater;
 - (2) hydro-geological susceptibility to contamination and contamination loading potential;
 - (3) hydraulic conductivity and gradient on-site and for relevant adjacent land;
 - (4) soil permeability and contamination attenuation;
 - (5) a vadose zone analysis including permeability and attenuation properties;
 - (6) existing aquifer water quality analysis; and
 - (7) a summary of the proposed activity's potential effect on the water quality of any unconfined aquifer.
- (d) General Information Requirements for Regulated Substances. The SMP Administrator may require any of the following where regulated substances are associated with a development which has potential to impact an aquifer:
 - (1) a description of operations and an identification of regulated substances associated with the project;

- (2) a list of names and volumes of toxic or concentrated organic substances which will be used on the property;
 - (3) a list of all substances to be monitored;
 - (4) a detailed description of how substances are to be handled at the site;
 - (5) a description of the containment devices to be used to comply with the requirements of this chapter and other applicable state and federal requirements;
 - (6) a proposed "Regulated Substance Management Plan" or a "Site Management Plan";
 - (7) a description of the procedures for inspection and maintenance to assure the proper functioning of containment devices and systems;
 - (8) a site map showing the location of the facility and property boundaries and the locations within the facility where regulated substances in containers larger than five (5) gallons or forty (40) pounds are stored, unloaded, tested, used, and/or produced. The location of each containment device (system if there is one) shall also be shown.
- (e) Protection of Water Quality.
- (1) The contamination of groundwater by surface water use, discharge, or runoff shall be prevented.
 - (2) New developments, during both construction and operational phases, which generate surface drainage or runoff to ground or surface water shall:
 - a. assure that the use, handling, discharge, or disposal of regulated substances be accomplished in a manner which prevents their entry into ground or surface waters;
 - b. retain and clean, to current state discharge standards, runoff prior to its discharge into ground or surface water; and
 - c. ensure that runoff or stormwater drainage will not result in soil erosion or water quality degradation.
 - (3) Water quality standards for critical aquifer re-charge/interchange areas shall correspond with appropriate State and Federal standards.

06.050 Frequently Flooded Areas

Benton County Code 3.26 BCC (Flood Hazard Prevention, adopted 1987, revised 2010) and 15.~~30~~30 BCC (Frequently Flooded Areas, adopted 1994, revised 1997) are adopted by reference.

06.060 Geologically Hazardous Areas

- (a) Applicability. This chapter applies to development activities within or adjacent to geologically hazardous areas in shoreline jurisdiction, including steep slopes, channel migration zones, or hillsides located in unincorporated Benton County. A steep slope is defined as one with a slope of fifteen (15) percent or more or where Critical Areas Overlay Maps indicate potentially hazardous conditions.
- (b) Permitted Development. Development as set forth in this chapter is permitted when sited, designed, and operated in a manner which protects life, property, and the public welfare and when such development meets the requirements of this title.
- (c) Prohibited Development. New development and creation of new lots that would cause foreseeable risk from geological conditions during the life of the development or would require structural shoreline stabilization over the life of the development (except as allowed under ~~BCC~~ Section 15.07.140) is prohibited.
- (d) Minimum hazard setback requirements. For the purposes of this chapter, a minimum hazard setback for development within or adjacent to a Geologically Hazardous Area shall be the hazard setback recommended in the Site Analysis and/or by the Building Department.
- (e) Site Analysis – General Requirements. A site analysis is required within geologically hazardous areas and within 200 feet of geologically hazardous areas. In order to complete an analysis, the SMP Administrator may require any of the following:

- (1) the physical features of the site, including identification of surface and subsurface soil types, vegetation, streams, canyons, alluvial fans, and drainage ways. Topography shall be shown in five (5) foot contours unless prior approval is received for contours greater than five (5) feet;
 - (2) lot and parcel sizes, proposed lot coverage, type of dwelling units, square footage, dimensions, general type of construction and location of all structures, the existing and proposed utility systems including wells, sanitary sewers, electric, gas, and telephone, and other pertinent information requested by the SMP Administrator;
 - (3) the general location and different circumstances that might be expected to precipitate a geological event;
 - (4) the geologic, topographic, and hydrologic factors that might contribute to slope instability and the location of the site susceptible to instability;
 - (5) suitable buildable areas taking into consideration the long-term stability and maintenance of access roads and all other permanent infrastructure needs that would be affected by both the underlying geology and soils;
 - (6) recommended hazard setbacks to protect the geologic and topographic features;
 - (7) relying on existing data, areas with known or potential for seismic hazard;
 - (8) the rate and extent of any potential hazards such as erosion, sliding, slumping etc., must be analyzed in light of the potential to impact the public health, safety and welfare;
 - (9) the potential impact of residential landscape irrigation, drain-fields, upslope and off-site irrigation activities, storm water generation from upslope properties and proposed impervious surfaces on-site, and the influence of street conveyance on slope stability;
 - (10) proposed access, parking, and basic internal vehicle/pedestrian circulation system;
 - (11) the proposed system for retention and release (detention) of storm and surface water runoff generated from the site;
 - (12) general landscaping plan indicating the type and placement of materials used around all structures, parking areas and other cleared portions of the site;
 - (13) the relationship between the proposed development and existing and proposed adjacent areas;
 - (14) where development is proposed downslope of lands in, or with the potential for agriculture, analysis of the impact of surface and subsurface movement of waste irrigation water on the proposed development site shall be provided. The analysis shall include descriptions of the relevant soils, geologic, and hydrologic conditions of the project site and the upslope lands;
 - (15) for public buildings and facilities: identification of minimum design standards where seismic activity has the potential to occur.
- (f) Required Plans.
- (1) A site development and grading plan which meets the requirements of ~~BCC~~ [Section](#) 06.060(e) and accomplishes the following objectives shall be developed and submitted to the SMP Administrator for projects within 200 feet of geologically hazardous areas:
 - a. assure long term structural integrity of all development;
 - b. protect the public health, safety, and welfare by minimizing the potential for public expenditures for post-project geologic, soils, and hydrology hazards remediation;
 - c. avoid documented seismic and landslide hazard areas as locations for building construction, roads or utility systems where mitigation is not feasible;
 - d. eliminate as completely as practicable, any public or private exposure to landslide hazards or to abnormal maintenance or repair costs through the application of post construction slope stabilization and appropriately upgraded road construction specifications where appropriate;
 - e. minimize storm water runoff and soil erosion impacts;

- f. control dust during all construction phases;
 - g. achieve maximum feasible retention, in their natural condition, of existing topographic features such as drainage swales, streams, slopes, structurally important ridge lines and rock outcroppings; and
 - h. minimize grading where it will adversely impact slope stability.
- (2) All development and grading plans shall be approved by the appropriate County departments in order to ensure compliance with the current application of the County's Side Hill Development Standards.
 - (3) All development and grading plans shall adhere to the requirements of the Benton-Franklin Health District.
 - (4) In areas of steep slopes and natural drainages, when construction will extend into the rainy season and potentially cause eroded sediments to move offsite, the storm and surface water runoff retention and detention system must be completed before other phases of site development are begun so that it can serve as a sediment trap during the remainder of the construction.

06.070 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas

- (a) Applicability. The provisions of this chapter shall apply within unincorporated Benton County to upland Priority Species and Priority Habitats of Priority Species. While wetlands, rivers and creeks, and their buffers may also be considered Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas, other provisions of this SMP and Section 15.06 provide specific standards for study, protection and application of mitigation sequencing to those types of Fish and Wildlife Conservation Areas.
- (b) Permitted Development. Developments adjacent to upland Priority Species or adjacent to or within Priority Habitats of Priority Species and their buffers are permitted when sited, designed, and operated in a manner which protects the functions and values of upland Priority Species and their Priority Habitats, and when such development meets the requirements of this title.
- (c) Minimum Buffer Requirements. Buffers for upland Priority Species and Priority Habitats shall be determined by the SMP Administrator based upon Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife recommendations after consultation with the applicant, state, and where appropriate, federal agencies and the Yakama Nation.
- (d) Site Analysis Required.
 - (1) Where a regulated development or use is proposed on a parcel containing a mapped upland Priority Species or wholly or partially within a mapped upland Priority Habitat, the parcel shall be surveyed to determine if the following are associated with the parcel:
 - a. federal and state listed endangered, threatened, sensitive, or candidate species; and
 - b. any listed plant or animal species on the Washington Department of Natural Resources Natural Heritage Program lists.
 - (2) A Critical Area Special Study shall be performed if the resources identified in ~~BCC~~ [Section 15.06.070\(d\)\(1\)](#) are found to be associated with the parcel. The following shall be identified:
 - a. the nature and extent of the species' primary association with the habitat area;
 - b. the relative density and species richness, breeding, habitat, seasonal range dynamics and movement corridors;
 - c. the relative tolerance of species to human activities;
 - d. the influence of the project, individually and cumulatively, on the wildlife and associated habitats;
 - e. mitigative measures for any project element that would potentially threaten baseline populations and reproduction rates over the long term; and
 - f. information about the presence of migratory species and any migratory patterns.

- (e) General Standards for Habitat Management. The maintenance of sufficient habitat to support baseline populations for all species identified in BCC 15.06.070(d)(1) shall be the objective pursued through the application of flexible site planning and timing of construction, Best Management Practices, and habitat management programs.

Section 15.07 Use-Specific and Modification Regulations and Performance Standards

07.010 Agriculture

- (a) For Shoreline purposes, Section 15.02 (Definitions), WAC 173-26-020 (Definitions), and WAC 173-26-241(3)(a)(ii) (Agriculture) shall determine the need for shoreline review for agricultural activities.
- (b) The provisions of this SMP do not limit or require modification of agricultural activities on agricultural lands as of the date of adoption of the SMP. In determining whether lands meet the definition of agricultural activities, the Shoreline Administrator shall consider laws and rules included in Subsection (a) and information regarding typical agricultural practices for the subject agricultural use, current use taxation records, conservation easements, farm plans, and other relevant information. Examples of agricultural practices that could vary by the type of agriculture include but are not limited to: rotations of fields for grazing, cultivation, production, and harvests; animal breeding, feeding, or forage activities; type and frequency of maintenance, repair and replacement of agricultural facilities; and other typical practices.
- (c) SMP provisions shall apply in the following cases:
 - (1) new agricultural activities on land not meeting the definition of agricultural land;
 - (2) expansion of agricultural activities on non-agricultural lands, or conversion of non-agricultural lands to agricultural activities;
 - (3) conversion of agricultural lands to other uses;
 - (4) other development on agricultural land that does not meet the definition of agricultural activities;
 - and
 - (5) agricultural development and uses not specifically excluded by the SMA and WAC 173-26-020 (Definitions), and WAC 173-26-241(3)(a)(ii).
- (d) Feed lots and stockyards are prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction.
- (e) New agricultural activities and facilities subject to the SMP in Section 15.07.010(c) shall comply with water quality provisions of Section 15.05.040 and Shoreline Vegetation Conservation provisions in Section 15.05.030.
- (f) Vegetative buffers consistent with Section 15.06.030 shall be maintained between the ordinary high-water mark and cultivated ground for purposes of erosion control and riparian vegetation protection, and shall apply to uses and activities subject to the SMP in Section 15.07.010(c).
- (g) Diversion of water for agricultural purposes shall be consistent with federal and state water rights laws and rules.
- (h) No equipment or material shall be abandoned or disposed of in shoreline jurisdiction.
- (i) Development in support of agricultural uses shall be consistent with the environment designation intent and management policies, located and designed to assure no net loss of ecological functions, and shall not have a significant adverse impact on other shoreline resources and values.

07.020 Aquaculture

- (a) Aquacultural facilities must be designed and located to avoid:
 - (1) The spreading of disease, especially to native aquatic life;
 - (2) Introducing new non-native species which cause significant ecological impacts;

- (3) Significantly conflicting with navigation and other water-dependent uses;
 - (4) A net loss of ecological functions; or
 - (5) Significantly impacting the aesthetic qualities of the shoreline.
- (b) Potential locations for aquaculture are relatively restricted due to specific requirements for water quality, temperature, flows, oxygen content, adjacent land uses, wind protection, and commercial navigation. The technology associated with some forms of present-day aquaculture is still in its formative stages and experimental. Therefore, some latitude in the development of this use shall be given, while the potential impacts on existing uses and natural systems are recognized.
- (c) Aquaculture structures and activities that do not require a waterside location must be located landward of the shoreline buffers required by this SMP.

07.030 Boating Facilities and Private Moorage Structures

- (a) Applicability.
- (1) This Section applies to all over- and in-water structures and uses that facilitate as their primary purpose the launching or mooring of vessels or serve some other water-dependent purpose.
 - (2) Uses and modifications covered in this Section include private residential docks (including community docks); docks for commercial, industrial, aquaculture, recreational or public access use; marinas; and boat launches.
- (b) General regulations.
- (1) New docks shall be allowed only for water-dependent uses or public access. As used here, a dock associated with a single-family residence is a water-dependent use provided that it is designed and intended as a facility for access to watercraft and otherwise complies with the provisions of this SMP.
 - (2) No single-use residential docks may be authorized unless the applicant can demonstrate that reasonable community dock options have been investigated and found infeasible.
 - (3) For all new residential development of two or more waterfront dwelling units or subdivisions or other divisions of land occurring after the effective date of this SMP, only community docks may be allowed.
 - (4) No more than one private, noncommercial dock is permitted per platted or subdivided shoreline lot or unplatted shoreline tract owned for residential or recreational purposes.
 - (5) Floating and other over-water homes, including liveaboards, are prohibited.
 - (6) Extended moorage on waters of the state without a lease or permission is prohibited except as allowed by applicable state regulations. When allowed per state regulations and this SMP, mitigation of any adverse impacts to navigation and public access is required.
 - (7) Overwater structure design, construction, and use must:
 - a. Minimize degradation of aquatic habitats.
 - b. Not impede any juvenile or adult salmonid life stage, including migration, rearing, and spawning.
 - c. Not enhance habitats used by potential salmonid predators (especially fishes and birds).
 - d. Be engineered or use proven methods to maximize human safety and minimize potential for flood-related detachment of the facility from shore.
 - (8) Consistent with requirements for mitigation sequencing, all boating facilities and private moorage structures must be the minimum size necessary and designed to avoid and then minimize potential adverse impacts. All unavoidable adverse impacts must be mitigated, and a mitigation plan submitted.
- (c) General location regulations. New and expanded boating facilities and private moorage structures must be located to:

- (1) Minimize hazards and obstructions to public navigation rights.
 - (2) Avoid blocking or obstructing lawfully existing or planned public shoreline access.
 - (3) Minimize the need for new or maintenance dredging.
 - (4) Eliminate the need for new shoreline stabilization, if feasible. Where the need for stabilization is unavoidable, only the minimum necessary shoreline stabilization to adequately protect facilities, users, and watercraft may be allowed.
- (d) General materials regulations.
- (1) Boating facilities and private moorage structures shall be built with materials that do not leach preservatives or other chemicals.
 - (2) No treated wood of any kind shall be used on any boating facilities and private moorage structures.
 - (3) No paint, stain, or preservative shall be applied to boating facilities and private moorage structures.
- (e) General design and operation regulations.
- (1) Piers and ramps.
 - a. To prevent damage to shallow-water habitat, piers and/or ramps shall extend at least 40 feet perpendicular from the OHWM on the Columbia River and as needed to reach acceptable float conditions on the Yakima River, unless determined to be impractical due to specific site considerations.
 - b. Piers and ramps shall be the minimum size necessary to achieve their intended purpose.
 - c. The bottom of both the pier or landward edge of the ramp shall be elevated at least 2 feet above the plane of OHWM.
 - d. Grating shall cover the entire pier and ramp for residential structures, and as much area as practicable for other structures. Open areas of grating shall be at least 50 percent, as rated by the manufacturer, unless determined to be infeasible due to specific site or project considerations.
 - (2) Floats.
 - a. Floats shall not be located in shallow-water habitat where they could ground or impede the passage or rearing of any salmonid life stage.
 - b. To prevent damage to shallow-water habitat, floats on the Columbia River shall be positioned at least 40 feet horizontally from the OHWM but no more than 100 feet from the OHWM, as measured from the landward-most edge of the float, unless determined to be impractical due to specific site considerations. Floats on the Yakima River must be located to maintain clearance of at least 18 inches between the riverbed and the bottom of the float between April 15 and July 15 in all years.
 - c. Grating shall cover the entire surface area of the float(s) not underlain by float tubs or other material that provides buoyancy. The open area of the grating shall be a minimum of 50 percent, as rated by the manufacturer, or as otherwise required by state or federal agencies during permit review unless determined to be infeasible due to specific site or project considerations.
 - d. Functional grating will cover no less than 50 percent of the float, or as otherwise required by state or federal agencies during permit review, unless determined to be infeasible due to specific site or project considerations.
 - e. Floating docks shall be designed or seasonally removed to prevent the dock from resting on the riverbed during periods of lower flow.
 - f. Flotation materials shall be permanently encapsulated to prevent breakup into small pieces and dispersal in water.

- (3) No new skirting is allowed on any structure.
 - (4) Protective bumper material will be allowed along the outside edge of the float as long as the material does not extend below the bottom edge of the float frame or impede light penetration.
 - (5) Safety railings, if proposed, must meet International Building Code requirements and must be an open framework that does not unreasonably interfere with shoreline views.
 - (6) Boating facilities and private moorage structures must be marked with reflectors, or otherwise identified to prevent unnecessarily hazardous conditions for water surface users during the day or night.
 - (7) Exterior finish of all structures must be generally non-reflective, to reduce glare.
 - (8) New covered moorage is prohibited, except when necessary for operation of a water-dependent use at commercial, industrial, or transportation-related facilities.
 - (9) Shoreline armoring (i.e. bulkheads, riprap, and retaining walls) shall not occur in association with installation of the overwater structure, if feasible.
 - (10) Nothing shall be placed long term on the overwater structure that will reduce natural light penetration through the structure.
 - (11) Pilings.
 - a. New piling for residential docks shall not exceed 8 inches in diameter, except where larger pilings are required for safety or site-specific engineering reasons. New piling for other docks must be the smallest diameter necessary.
 - b. All pilings shall be fitted with devices to prevent perching by piscivorous (fish-eating) birds.
- (f) General construction regulations.
- (1) Construction of overwater structures shall be completed during allowed in-water work windows.
 - (2) Construction impacts shall be confined to the minimum area needed to complete the project.
 - (3) The boundaries of clearing limits associated with site access and construction shall be flagged to prevent ground disturbance of riparian vegetation, wetlands, and other sensitive sites. This action shall be completed before any significant alteration of the project area.
 - (4) All temporary erosion controls shall be in place and appropriately installed downslope of project activities until site restoration is complete.
 - (5) Any large wood, native vegetation, topsoil, and/or native channel material displaced by construction shall be stockpiled for use during site restoration.
 - (6) No existing habitat features (i.e., wood, substrate materials) shall be removed from the shoreland or aquatic environment without approval.
 - (7) If native vegetation is moved, damaged, or destroyed, it shall be replaced with a functionally equivalent native species during site restoration.
 - (8) Project construction shall cease under high flow conditions that could result in inundation of the project area, except for efforts to avoid or minimize resource damage.
 - (9) Temporary moorages are allowed for vessels used in the construction of boating facilities provided:
 - a. Upon termination of the project, the aquatic habitat in the affected area is returned to its pre-construction condition within one year.
 - b. Construction vessels may not ground or otherwise disturb substrates.
 - c. Temporary moorage is located to minimize shading of aquatic vegetation.
- (g) Private residential dock (including community dock) regulations.
- (1) No boat lifts or watercraft lifts of any type will be placed on, or in addition to, the overwater structure unless the applicant can demonstrate that the proposed boat lift meets the intent of the criteria to minimize structure, maximize light penetration, and maximize depth.

- (2) No electricity shall be provided to, or on, the overwater structure.
- (3) Piers and ramps shall be no more than 4 feet in width.
- (4) Shoreline concrete anchors must be placed at least 10 feet landward from the OHWM, if feasible. Shoreline concrete anchors must be sized no larger than 4 feet wide by 4 feet long unless demonstrated insufficient. The maximum anchor height shall be only what is necessary to elevate the bottom of either the pier or landward edge of the ramp at least 2 feet above the plane of OHWM. Alternate anchoring methods may be allowed if approved in advance by WDFW for application on the Yakima River.
- (5) Float components for private docks shall not exceed the dimensions of 8 feet by 20 feet, or an aggregate total of 160 square feet. Float components for community docks shall not exceed the dimensions of 8 feet by 40 feet, or an aggregate total of 320 square feet, for all float components.
- (6) Piling and float anchors.
 - a. Pilings shall be spaced at least 18 feet apart on the same side of any component of the overwater structure. The pier/ramp and float are separate components.
 - b. Each overwater structure shall utilize no more than 4 piles total for the entire project. A combination of two piles and four helical anchors may be used in place of four piles.
 - c. Submerged float anchors will be constructed from concrete; and shall be horizontally compressed in form, by a factor of 5 or more, for a minimum profile above the stream bed (the horizontal length and width will be at least 5 times the vertical height).
- (7) No in-water fill material (including uncured concrete or its by-products) will be allowed, with the exception of pilings and float anchors.
- (h) Docks for commercial, industrial, aquaculture, recreational or public access use.
 - (1) The amounts of overwater cover, including length and width; the number of in-water structures; and the extent of any necessary shoreline stabilization or modification must be minimized.
 - (2) Accessory development may include, but is not limited to, parking, non-hazardous waste storage and treatment, stormwater management facilities, and utilities where these are necessary to support the water-oriented use. Non water-dependent accessory uses must be located outside of shoreline jurisdiction or outside of the shoreline buffer whenever possible.
 - (3) Garbage or litter receptacles must be provided and maintained by the operator at locations convenient to users.
- (i) Marinas.
 - (1) No part of a marina may be wider than 8 feet, except that components up to 10 feet wide may be approved administratively if justified in documentation.
 - (2) New marinas must provide physical and/or visual public access for as many water-oriented recreational uses as possible, commensurate with the scale of the proposal.
 - (3) New marinas must provide adequate restroom and sewage disposal facilities.
 - (4) New or enlarged marinas must provide facilities and procedures for receiving, storing, dispensing, and disposing of oil or hazardous products, as well as a spill response plan.
 - (5) Marina operators must post all regulations pertaining to handling, disposal and reporting of waste, sewage, fuel, oil or toxic materials where all users may easily read them. Rules for spill prevention and response must also be posted on site.
- (j) Boat launch ramps.

- (1) New public, commercial, or industrial boat launch ramps may be approved only if they provide public access to waters that are not adequately served by existing access facilities, if use of existing facilities is documented to exceed the designed capacity, or the ramp is necessary to serve the water-oriented commercial or industrial use.
 - (2) New private boat launches not for commercial or industrial use are prohibited.
 - (3) New public or commercial boat launch facilities must provide adequate restroom facilities.
 - (4) Boat launch ramps must be located where there is adequate water mixing and flushing and where water depths are adequate to eliminate or minimize the need for dredging or filling. Boat launch ramps must be located to minimize the obstruction of currents, alteration of sediment transport, and the accumulation of drift logs and debris.
- (k) Replacement of existing boating facilities and private moorage structures. If any of the following are proposed during a five-year period, the project is considered a new facility and must comply with applicable standards for new facilities.
- (1) Replacement of the entire facility.
 - (2) Replacement of 75 percent or more of support piles.
 - (3) Replacement of 75 percent or more of a boat launch, by area.
- (l) Modification or enlargement of existing boating facilities and private moorage structures.
- (1) Applicants must demonstrate that there is a need for modification or enlargement due to increased or changed use or demand, safety concerns, or inadequate depth of water.
 - (2) Enlarged portions of existing boating facilities and private moorage structures must comply with applicable standards for new facilities.
- (m) Repair of existing boating facilities and private moorage structures.
- (1) Repairs to existing legally established boating facilities and private moorage structures are permitted consistent with all other applicable codes and regulations.
 - (2) All repairs must utilize any material standards specified for new facilities.
- (n) Mitigation.
- (1) Consistent with mitigation sequencing, new or expanded boating facilities and private moorage structures shall be designed to avoid and then minimize impacts, prior to pursuing mitigation.
 - (2) Mitigation proposals must provide impact mitigation at a minimum one-to-one ratio, by area, using one or more of the potential mitigation measures listed below. The ratio should be increased if the measure will take more than one year to provide equivalent function or if the measure does not have a high success rate. Applicants should consult with other permit agencies, such as Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and/or U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, for additional specific mitigation requirements.

- (3) For all new or expanded boating facilities and private moorage structures, appropriate mitigation may include one or more of the following measures. In-kind measures are preferred over out-of-kind measures when consistent with the objective of compensating for adverse impacts to ecological function. Mitigation may not include measures that are already required by regulations.
 - a. Removal of any legal existing over- or in-water structures that are not the subject of the application.
 - b. Replacement of areas of existing solid over-water cover with grated material or use of grating on altered structures.
 - c. Planting of native vegetation along the shoreline immediately landward of the OHWM consisting of a density and composition of trees and shrubs typically found in undisturbed areas adjacent to the subject waterbody.
 - d. Removal or ecological improvement of hardened shoreline. Improvement may consist of softening the face and toe of the hardened shoreline with soil, gravel and/or cobbles, and/or incorporating vegetation or large woody debris.
 - e. Removal of man-made debris waterward of the OHWM.
 - f. Placement of large woody material if consistent with local, state and federal regulations.
 - g. Participation in an approved mitigation program.
- (o) Submittal requirements.
 - (1) For all new or expanded boating facilities and private moorage structures, applicants must provide:
 - a. An assessment of potential impacts to existing ecological processes, including but not limited to sediment transport, hydrologic patterns, and vegetation disturbance.
 - b. A mitigation plan for unavoidable adverse impacts to ecological functions or processes, if applicable.
 - (2) For all new or expanded boating or private moorage facilities other than private residential moorage facilities and commercial or industrial structures, applicants must additionally provide an assessment of need and demand. At a minimum, the assessment shall include the following:
 - a. Existing approved facilities, or pending applications, within the service range of the proposed new facility and relevant characteristics of those facilities, such as level of use and condition.
 - b. The expected service population and relevant characteristics of the population, including any characteristics that justify specific design elements of the proposed facility.
 - c. An assessment of existing water-dependent uses in the vicinity and potential impacts to those uses, and a description of proposed mitigation measures, if applicable.

07.040 Breakwaters, Jetties, Groins, and Weirs

- (a) New, expanded or replacement structures shall only be allowed if it can be demonstrated that they will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions and that they support water-dependent uses, public access, shoreline stabilization, or other specific public purpose.
- (b) Breakwaters, jetties, and groins shall be limited to the minimum size necessary.
- (c) Breakwaters, jetties, and groins must be designed to protect critical areas, and shall implement mitigation sequencing to achieve no net loss of ecological functions.
- (d) Proposed designs for new or expanded structures shall be designed by qualified professionals, including both an engineer and a biologist.

07.050 Commercial Development

- (a) Commercial development in shoreline areas shall be designed, located, and constructed to achieve no net loss of ecological functions.

- (b) Preference shall be given to water-dependent commercial uses over non-water-dependent commercial uses. Water-related uses and water-enjoyment uses shall be given priority over Non water-oriented uses.
- (c) Commercial development that is not water-dependent shall not be allowed over water except where it is located within the same building and is accessory to a water-dependent use.
- (d) Non-water-oriented commercial development shall not be allowed unless:
 - (1) The use is part of a mixed-use project that includes water-dependent uses and provides a significant public benefit with respect to provision of public access or ecological restoration; or
 - (2) Navigability is severely limited at the proposed site, and the commercial use provides a significant public benefit with respect to provision of public access or ecological restoration.
- (e) In areas of the shoreline designated for commercial uses, non-water-oriented commercial uses may be allowed on sites physically separated from the shoreline by another property or public right-of-way.
- (f) New commercial developments shall provide public access to the shorelines, subject to Section 15.05.050, Public Access.

07.060 Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal

- (a) As regulated in this SMP, dredging is the removal of bed material from below the OHWM or wetlands using other than unpowered, hand-held tools for one of the allowed dredging activities listed in Section (d) below. This Section is not intended to cover other removals of bed material waterward of the OHWM or wetlands that are incidental to the construction of an otherwise authorized use or modification (e.g. shoreline crossings, bulkhead replacements). These in-water substrate modifications should be conducted pursuant to applicable general and specific use and modification regulations of this SMP.
- (b) New development must be sited and designed to avoid or, if that is not possible, to minimize the need for new and maintenance dredging.
- (c) Dredging and dredge material disposal must be done in a manner that avoids or minimizes significant ecological impacts. Impacts that cannot be avoided must be mitigated in a manner that assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
- (d) Dredging may only be permitted for the following activities:
 - (1) Development of new or expanded wet moorages, harbors, ports or water-dependent industries of economic importance to the region only when there are no feasible alternatives or other alternatives may have a greater ecological impact.
 - (2) Development of essential public facilities when there are no feasible alternatives.
 - (3) Maintenance of irrigation reservoirs, drains, canals, or ditches for agricultural purposes.
 - (4) Restoration or enhancement of shoreline ecological functions and processes benefiting water quality and/or fish and wildlife habitat.
 - (5) Trenching to allow the installation of necessary underground utilities if no alternative, including boring, is feasible; impacts to fish and wildlife habitat are avoided to the maximum extent possible; and the installation does not alter the natural rate, extent, or opportunity of channel migration.
 - (6) Establishing, expanding, relocating or reconfiguring navigation channels where necessary to assure safe and efficient accommodation of existing navigational uses.
 - (7) Maintenance dredging of established navigation channels and basins when restricted to maintaining previously dredged and/or existing authorized location, depth, and width.
- (e) Dredging for the primary purpose of obtaining fill material is prohibited, except when the material is necessary for the restoration of ecological functions. The site where the fill is to be placed must be located waterward of the OHWM. The project must be either associated with a Model Toxics Control Act or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act habitat restoration project or, if approved through a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit, any other significant habitat enhancement project.

- (f) Dredge material disposal within shoreline jurisdiction is permitted under the following conditions:
 - (1) Shoreline ecological functions and processes will be preserved, restored or enhanced, including protection of surface and groundwater; and
 - (2) Erosion, sedimentation, floodwaters or runoff will not increase adverse impacts to shoreline ecological functions and processes or property.
- (g) Dredge material disposal in open waters may be approved only when authorized by applicable state and federal agencies, and when one of the following conditions apply:
 - (1) Land disposal is infeasible, less consistent with this SMP, or prohibited by law.
 - (2) Nearshore disposal as part of a program to restore or enhance shoreline ecological functions and processes is not feasible.
- (h) All applications for dredging or dredge material disposal shall include the following information, in addition to other application requirements:
 - (1) A description of the purpose of the proposed dredging activities.
 - (2) A site plan outlining the perimeter of the area proposed to be dredged and the dredge material disposal area, if applicable.
 - (3) A description of proposed dredging operations, including, but not limited to:
 - a. The method of removal.
 - b. The length of time required.
 - c. The quantity of material to be initially removed.
 - d. The frequency and quantity of projected maintenance dredging.
 - (4) A description of proposed dredge material disposal, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Size and capacity of disposal site.
 - b. Means of transportation to the disposal site.
 - c. Future use of the site and conformance with land use policies and regulations, if applicable.
 - (5) Plans for the protection and restoration of the shoreline environment during and after dredging operations.
 - (6) An assessment of potential impacts to ecological functions or processes from the proposal.
 - (7) A mitigation plan to address identified impacts, if necessary.

07.070 Fill

- (a) All fills shall be located, designed and constructed to protect shoreline ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes, including channel migration. Any adverse impacts to shoreline ecological functions must be mitigated.
- (b) Fills in wetlands, floodways, channel migration zones or waterward of the OHWM may be allowed only when necessary to support one or more of the following:
 - (1) Water-dependent uses.
 - (2) Public access.
 - (3) Cleanup and disposal of contaminated sediments as part of an interagency environmental clean-up plan.
 - (4) Disposal of dredged material considered suitable under, and conducted in accordance with, the Dredged Material Management Program of the Department of Natural Resources and/or the Dredged Material Management Office of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
 - (5) Expansion or alteration of transportation facilities of statewide significance currently located on the shoreline where alternatives to fill are infeasible.

- (6) Ecological restoration or enhancement when consistent with an approved restoration plan.
- (7) Maintenance or installation of flood hazard reduction measures consistent with a comprehensive flood hazard management plan and this SMP.
- (8) Protection of cultural resources when fill is the most feasible method to avoid continued degradation, disturbance or erosion of a site. Such fills must be coordinated with any affected Indian tribes.
- (c) Upland fills not located within wetlands, floodways, or channel migration zones may be allowed provided they are:
 - (1) Part of an allowed shoreline use or modification, or necessary to provide protection to cultural resources.
 - (2) Located outside applicable buffers, unless specifically allowed in buffers.
- (d) All fills, except fills for the purpose of shoreline restoration, must be designed:
 - (1) To be the minimum size necessary to implement the allowed use or modification.
 - (2) To fit the topography so that minimum alterations of natural conditions will be necessary.
 - (3) To not adversely affect hydrologic conditions or increase the risk of slope failure, if applicable.
- (e) Unless site characteristics dictate otherwise, fill material within surface waters or wetlands shall be sand, gravel, rock, or other clean material with a minimum potential to degrade water quality and shall be obtained from a state-authorized source.
- (f) A temporary erosion and sediment control (TESC) plan, including BMPs, consistent with the latest edition of the Benton County Hydrology Manual or approved equivalent, shall be provided for all proposed fill activities. Disturbed areas shall be immediately protected from erosion using mulches, hydroseed, or similar methods, and revegetated, as applicable.

07.080 Industry

- (a) Over-water construction associated with industrial development that is not water-dependent shall not be permitted. Docks, piers, and boating facilities necessary for operation of ports and water-related or water-dependent uses shall be permitted in accordance with the provisions of this SMP.
- (b) Industrial and port development shall be located, designed, constructed, and operated in a manner that minimizes impacts to the shoreline, provides for no-net-loss of shoreline ecological function, and avoids unnecessary interference with shoreline use by adjacent property owners.
- (c) In the review of shoreline developments, the County shall preference first to water-dependent uses, then to water-oriented industrial uses.
- (d) Non-water-related industrial development shall be prohibited in the shoreline environment, except when:
 - (1) The use is part of a mixed-use project that includes water-dependent uses and provides a significant public benefit with respect to public access or ecological restoration; or
 - (2) Water navigability is severely limited, and the industrial use provides a significant public benefit with respect to public access or ecological restoration.
- (e) Non water-oriented industrial uses may be allowed in shoreline jurisdiction on sites that are physically separated from the shoreline by: 1) another property, 2) public right-of-way, or 3) a levee system maintained by or under license from the federal government, State of Washington, or a local government.
- (f) Industrial and port facilities proposed in areas of the shoreline already characterized by industrial or port development shall be given priority over such facilities proposed in shoreline areas not currently developed for industrial or port uses.
- (g) In the consideration of shoreline environment designation amendments, and in the review of shoreline permits, the County shall encourage Industrial uses and redevelopment to locate where environmental cleanup and restoration can be accomplished.

- (h) New industrial developments shall provide public access to the shorelines, subject to Section 15.05.050, Public Access; exceptions include safety or operational considerations, or other significant impediments as described in Section 15.05.050, Public Access.

07.090 In-Stream Structures

- (a) In-stream structures must provide for the protection and preservation of ecosystem-wide processes, ecological functions, and cultural resources, including, but not limited to, fish and fish passage, priority habitats and species, other wildlife and water resources, shoreline critical areas, hydrogeological processes, and natural scenic vistas.
- (b) New in-stream structures shall not interfere with existing water-dependent uses, including recreation.
- (c) In-water structures shall not be a safety hazard or obstruct water navigation.
- (d) In-stream structures shall be designed by a qualified professional.
- (e) Natural in-water features, such as snags, uprooted trees, or stumps, shall be left in place unless it can be demonstrated that they are actually causing bank erosion or higher flood stages or pose a hazard to navigation or human safety.

07.100 Mining

- (a) All mining proposals in shoreline jurisdiction must demonstrate that the mining is dependent on a shoreline location by evaluating geologic factors such as the distribution and availability of mineral resources in the County, as well as evaluation of need for such mineral resources, economic, transportation, and land use factors.
- (b) Mining proposals shall be consistent with the Washington Department of Natural Resources Surface Mine Reclamation standards (WAC 332-18, RCW 78.44).
- (c) New mining and associated activities shall be designed and conducted to comply with the regulations of the environment designation and the provisions applicable to critical areas where relevant. Meeting the no net loss of ecological functions standard shall include avoidance and mitigation of adverse impacts during the course of mining and reclamation.
- (d) Mining waterward of the OHWM of rivers and streams will not be allowed unless:
 - (1) Removal of specified quantities of sand and gravel or other materials at specific locations will not adversely affect the natural processes of gravel transportation for the system as a whole;
 - (2) the mining and any associated permitted activities will not have significant adverse impacts to habitat for priority species nor cause a net loss of ecological functions of the shoreline.
 - (3) Determinations required by the above requirements must be made consistent with RCW 90.58.100(1) and WAC 173-26-201(2)(a). Such evaluation of impacts should be appropriately integrated with relevant environmental review requirements of SEPA (RCW 43.21C) and the SEPA rules (WAC 197-11).
 - (4) In considering renewal, extension, or reauthorization of other mining operations waterward of the OHWM in locations where they have previously been conducted, the County must require compliance with this Subsection to the extent that no such review has previously been conducted. Where there has been prior review, the County must review previous determinations comparable to the requirements of this Section to assure compliance with this Subsection under current site conditions.
- (e) The proposed subsequent use of mined property must be consistent with the environment designation in which the property is located, and the reclamation of disturbed shoreline areas must provide appropriate ecological functions consistent with the setting.

07.110 Recreational Development

The following provisions apply to any development, construction, or use of land or water for recreational purposes within Shoreline jurisdiction, whether public or commercial.

- (a) Recreational development shall demonstrate achievement of no-net-loss of ecological functions.
- (b) Recreational activities must be compatible with existing or proposed uses in the area and must be consistent with County development standards regarding parking, traffic, noise, building location and size, and others.
- (c) The location, design, and operation of recreational facilities shall be consistent with the purpose of the environmental designation.
- (d) Recreational uses and facilities located within shoreline jurisdiction shall include features that relate to access, enjoyment and use of the water and shorelines of the state. Access to recreational areas should emphasize both consolidated park or open space areas and trail access.
- (e) Commercial components of the use that are not explicitly related to the recreational operation must also conform to the Commercial use standards of Section 15.07.050, Commercial Development.

07.120 Residential Development

- (a) Residential development shall be consistent with applicable environment designations and standards and comply with all applicable subdivision, critical area, and zoning regulations.
- (b) Residential development shall include facilities for water supply, wastewater, stormwater, solid waste, access, utilities and other support facilities in conformance with County standards and which do not result in harmful effects on the shoreline or waters.
- (c) Applications for new shoreline residences shall ensure that shoreline stabilization and flood control structures are not necessary to protect proposed residences.
- (d) New residential developments of five or more units shall provide public access to the shorelines, subject to Section 15.05.050, Public Access.
- (e) Parking areas shall be located upland of the uses they serve.
- (f) Residential development shall be sufficiently set back from steep slopes and shorelines vulnerable to erosion so that structural improvements, including bluff walls and other stabilization structures, are not required to protect such structures and uses.
- (g) Residential development shall be designed, configured and developed in a manner that assures that no net loss of ecological functions results from division of land at full build-out of all lots and throughout all phases of development.
- (h) Single-family residences are considered a priority use only when developed in a manner consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment.
- (i) In the Natural environment, subdivision of property is not allowed if it will require significant vegetation removal or shoreline modification that adversely impacts ecological functions.
- (j) New floating residences and over-water residential structures shall be prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction.

07.130 Shoreline Habitat and Natural Systems Enhancement Projects

- (a) Applicability. Shoreline habitat and natural systems enhancement projects include those activities proposed and conducted specifically for the purpose of establishing, restoring or enhancing habitat for priority species in shorelines. Such projects may include shoreline modification actions such as modification of vegetation, removal of non-native or invasive plants, shoreline stabilization, dredging, and filling, provided that the primary purpose of such actions is clearly restoration of the natural character and ecological functions of the shoreline. This Section does not apply to mitigation.

- (b) Shoreline restoration and enhancement projects must be designed using the best available scientific and technical information and implemented using best management practices.
- (c) All shoreline restoration and enhancement projects must protect the integrity of adjacent natural resources, including aquatic habitats and water quality.
- (d) Shoreline restoration and enhancement shall not significantly interfere with the normal public use of the navigable waters of the state without appropriate mitigation.
- (e) Long-term maintenance and monitoring shall be included in restoration or enhancement proposals.
- (f) Relief for OHWM shifts. Applicants seeking to perform restoration projects are advised to work with the County to assess whether and how the proposed project is allowed relief under RCW 90.58.580, in the event that the project shifts the OHWM landward.

07.140 Shoreline Stabilization

- (a) New development must be located and designed to avoid the need for future shoreline stabilization, if feasible.
 - (1) Land subdivisions must be designed based on a geotechnical report to assure that future development of the created lots will not require shore stabilization for reasonable development to occur.
 - (2) New development adjacent to steep slopes or bluffs must be set back sufficiently to ensure that shoreline stabilization is unlikely to be necessary during the life of the structure, as demonstrated in a geotechnical report.
- (b) New development that would require shoreline stabilization that would cause significant impacts to adjacent or down-current properties and shoreline areas is prohibited.
- (c) All proposals for shoreline stabilization structures, both individually and cumulatively, must not result in a net loss of ecological functions, and must be the minimum size necessary. Soft approaches shall be used unless demonstrated not to be sufficient to protect primary structures, dwellings, and businesses.
- (d) New or enlarged structural shoreline stabilization measures shall not be allowed, except as follows
 - (1) To protect an existing primary structure, including residences, when conclusive evidence, documented by a geotechnical analysis, is provided that the structure is in danger from shoreline erosion caused by currents or waves. Normal sloughing, erosion of steep bluffs, or shoreline erosion itself, without a scientific or geotechnical analysis, is not demonstration of need. The geotechnical analysis must evaluate on-site drainage issues and address drainage problems away from the shoreline edge before considering hard or soft structural shoreline stabilization.
 - (2) In support of new Non water-dependent development, including single-family residences, when all of the conditions below apply:
 - a. The erosion is not being caused by upland conditions, such as loss of vegetation and drainage.
 - b. Nonstructural measures, such as placing the development farther from the shoreline, reducing the size or scope of the proposal, planting vegetation, or installing on-site drainage improvements, are not feasible or not sufficient.
 - c. The need to protect primary structures from damage due to erosion is demonstrated through a geotechnical report. The damage must be caused by natural processes, such as currents or waves.
 - (3) In support of water-dependent development when all of the conditions below apply:
 - a. The erosion is not being caused by upland conditions, such as loss of vegetation and drainage.
 - b. Nonstructural measures, such as planting vegetation, or installing on-sitedrainage improvements, are not feasible over time or sufficient.

- c. The need to protect primary structures from damage due to erosion is demonstrated through a geotechnical report.
- (4) To protect projects for the restoration of ecological functions or for hazardous substance remediation projects pursuant to Chapter 70.105D RCW when nonstructural measures, planting vegetation, or installing on-site drainage improvements, are not feasible or not sufficient to adequately address erosion causes or impacts.
- (e) New hard structural shoreline stabilization measures shall not be authorized, except when a report confirms that there is a significant possibility that a primary structure will be damaged within three years as a result of shoreline erosion in the absence of such hard structural shoreline stabilization measures, or where waiting until the need is immediate results in the loss of opportunity to use measures that would avoid impacts on ecological functions. Where the geotechnical report confirms a need to prevent potential damage to a primary structure, but the need is not as immediate as three years, that report may still be used to justify more immediate authorization to protect against erosion using soft measures.
- (f) An existing shoreline stabilization structure, hard or soft, may be replaced with a similar structure if there is a demonstrated need to protect principal uses or structures from erosion caused by currents or waves. While replacement of shoreline stabilization structures may meet the criteria for exemption from a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit, such activity is not exempt from the policies and regulations of this SMP.
 - (1) For purposes of this Section, "replacement" means the construction of new structure to perform a shoreline stabilization function of existing structure that can no longer adequately serve its purpose. Any additions to or increases in the size of existing shoreline stabilization measures shall be considered new structures.
 - (2) Replacement shall be regulated as a new shoreline stabilization measure, except for the requirement to prepare a geotechnical analysis. A geotechnical analysis is not required for replacements of existing hard or soft structural shoreline stabilization with a similar or softer measure if the applicant demonstrates need to protect principal uses or structures from erosion caused by waves or other natural processes operating at or waterward of the OHWM.
 - (3) Replacement hard structural shoreline stabilization measures shall not encroach waterward of the OHWM or waterward of the existing shoreline stabilization measure unless the residence was occupied prior to January 1, 1992, and there are overriding safety or environmental concerns. In such cases, the replacement structure shall abut the existing shoreline stabilization structure. All other replacement hard structural shoreline stabilization measures shall be located at or landward of the existing shoreline stabilization structure.
 - (4) Hard and soft shoreline stabilization measures may allow some fill waterward of the OHWM to provide enhancement of shoreline ecological functions through creation of nearshore shallow-water habitat and shoreline rearing habitat for salmonids.
- (g) Repair and maintenance of existing shoreline stabilization measures may be allowed, subject to the following standards. While repair and maintenance of shoreline stabilization structures may meet the criteria for exemption from a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit, such activity is not exempt from the policies and regulations of this SMP.
 - (1) Repair and maintenance includes modifications to an existing shoreline stabilization measure that are designed to ensure the continued function of the measure by preventing failure of any part. Limitations on repair and maintenance include:

- (2) If within a three-year time period, more than 50 percent of the length of an existing structure is removed, including its footing or bottom course of rock, prior to placement of new stabilization materials, such work will not be considered repair and maintenance and shall be considered replacement. Work that only involves the removal of material above the footing or bottom course of rock does not constitute replacement.
 - (3) Any additions to or increases in the size of existing shoreline stabilization measures shall be considered new structures.
 - (4) The placement of a new shoreline stabilization structure landward of a failing shoreline stabilization structure shall be considered a new structure, not maintenance or repair.
 - (5) Areas of temporary disturbance within the shoreline buffer shall be expeditiously restored to their pre-project condition or better.
- (h) Structural shoreline stabilization design and construction standards:
- (1) Structural shoreline stabilization measures shall not extend waterward more than the minimum amount necessary to achieve effective stabilization, except for those elements that enhance shoreline ecological functions and minimize impacts.
 - (2) Stairs or other water access measures may be incorporated into shoreline stabilization measures, but shall not extend waterward of the measure or the OHWM.
 - (3) All structural shoreline stabilization measures must minimize and mitigate any adverse impacts to ecological functions resulting from short-term construction activities. Techniques may include compliance with timing restrictions, use of best management practices, and stabilization of exposed soils following construction.
- (i) In addition to other submittal requirements, the applicant shall submit the following as part of a request to construct a new, enlarged, or replacement shoreline stabilization measure:
- (1) For a new or enlarged hard or soft structural shoreline stabilization measure, a geotechnical report prepared by a qualified professional with a Washington state engineering license. The report shall include the following:
 - a. An assessment of the necessity for structural shoreline stabilization by estimating time frames and rates of erosion and reporting on the urgency associated with the specific situation.
 - b. An assessment of the cause of erosion, looking at processes occurring both waterward and landward of the OHWM, and documentation of the OHWM field determination.
 - c. An assessment of alternative measures to shoreline stabilization.
 - d. Where structural shoreline stabilization is determined to be necessary, the assessment must evaluate the feasibility of using soft shoreline stabilization measures in lieu of hard structural shoreline stabilization measures.
 - e. Design recommendations for minimum sizing of hard structural or soft structural shoreline stabilization materials, including gravel and cobble beach substrates necessary to dissipate wave energy, eliminate scour, and provide long-term shoreline stability.
 - (2) For replacements of existing hard structural shoreline stabilization measures with a similar measure, the applicant shall submit a written narrative providing a demonstration of need. The narrative must be prepared by a qualified professional. The demonstration of need shall consist of the following:
 - a. An assessment of the necessity for continued structural shoreline stabilization, considering site-specific conditions such as water depth, orientation of the shoreline, wave fetch or flow velocities, and location of the nearest primary structure.

- b. An assessment of erosion potential resulting from the action of waves or other natural processes operating at or waterward of the OHWM in the absence of the hard-structural shoreline stabilization, and documentation of the OHWM field determination.
 - c. An assessment of alternative measures to shoreline stabilization.
 - d. An assessment of the feasibility of using soft shoreline stabilization measures in lieu of hard structural shoreline stabilization measures.
 - e. Design recommendations for minimizing impacts of any necessary hard structural shoreline stabilization.
 - f. The demonstration of need may be waived when an existing hard structural shoreline stabilization measure is proposed to be repaired or replaced using soft structural shoreline stabilization measures, resulting in significant restoration of shoreline ecological functions or processes.
- (3) For all structural shoreline stabilization measures, including soft structural shoreline stabilization, detailed construction plans, including, but not limited to, the following:
- a. Plan and cross-section views of the existing and proposed shoreline configuration, showing accurate existing and proposed topography and OHWMs.
 - b. Detailed construction sequence and specifications for all materials, including gravels, cobbles, boulders, logs, and vegetation.

07.150 Transportation

This Section addresses all forms of transportation including systems for pedestrian, bicycle, and public transportation as well as roads, railroads, and parking.

- (a) Where other options are available and feasible, new roads, road expansions or railroads shall not be built within shoreline jurisdiction. If subdivisions are being proposed, new road placement shall be evaluated at the time of the plat application, or site development planning.
- (b) When railroads, roads or road expansions are unavoidable in the shoreline jurisdiction, proposed transportation facilities shall be planned, located, and designed to achieve the following:
 - (1) Mitigate possible adverse effects on unique or fragile shoreline features;
 - (2) Maintain no net loss of shoreline ecological functions;
 - (3) Avoid adverse impacts on existing or planned water-dependent uses; and
 - (4) Set back from the OHWM to the maximum feasible to allow for a usable shoreline area for vegetation conservation and planned shoreline uses unless infeasible, standards for ADA accessibility and functionality cannot be met, or the cost is disproportionate to the cost of the proposal.
- (5) Be consistent with critical areas regulations in Section 15.06.
- (c) Public roads within shoreline jurisdiction shall, where possible, provide and maintain visual access to scenic vistas. Visual access may include, but is not limited to, turn-outs, rest areas, and picnic areas.
- (d) Shoreline crossings and culverts shall be designed to mitigate impact to riparian and aquatic habitat and shall allow for fish passage. Crossings shall occur as near to perpendicular with the waterbody as possible, unless an alternate path would minimize disturbance of native vegetation or result in avoidance of other critical areas such as wetlands.
- (e) Crossings that are to be used solely for access to private property shall be designed, located, and constructed to provide access to more than one lot or parcel of property, where feasible, to minimize the number of crossings.
- (f) The provisions of Section 15.06.050, Frequently Flooded Areas shall be addressed in the design of transportation facilities.

- (g) Transportation proposals shall be consistent with circulation system plans for roads, railroads, pedestrian, bicycle, and public transportation. The SMP Administrator shall condition transportation proposals to be consistent with applicable county, city, state, or federal plans and construction standards, as appropriate.
- (h) Public access standards shall be met in Section 15.05.050.
- (i) Parking facilities in shorelines are not a preferred use and shall be allowed only as necessary to support an authorized use and when minimizing environmental and visual impacts. For the purposes of this Section, authorized means a use or activity included in the use matrix in Section 15.04.110 and associated definitions in Section 15.02. New or expanded parking areas shall:
 - (1) Be sited outside of shoreline jurisdiction unless no feasible alternative location exists, for example where a property does not extend outside jurisdiction;
 - (2) Be planted or landscaped to provide a visual and noise buffer for adjoining dissimilar uses or scenic areas; and
 - (3) Observe critical area and shoreline buffers.
- (j) If an applicant proposes to pave a roadway or parking area, the proposal shall comply with applicable water quality, stormwater, landscaping, and other applicable requirements of this SMP and the Benton County Code.
- (k) A driveway for an individual single-family home is considered a residential appurtenance and is considered part of the primary use, and subject to Section 15.07.120. Private driveways or private roads serving more than one home are subject to the standards of Section 15.07.150.
- (l) When a new or expanded roadway or new or expanded parking facility is proposed, the County may condition the proposal to provide a maintenance plan that promotes best management practices to achieve no-net-loss of shoreline ecological function. For example, maintenance standards may include restrictions on the use of herbicides, hazardous substances, sealants or other liquid oily substances, or de-icing practices adjacent to shoreline buffers or critical areas and their buffers.
- (m) [Pursuant to RCW 47.01.485, the County permit review time shall have a target of 90 days for projects on a state highway.](#)

07.160 Utilities

- (a) Utility projects within shoreline jurisdiction shall be designed to achieve no-net-loss of shoreline ecological function.
- (b) If an underwater location is necessary, the design, installation and operation of utilities shall minimize adverse ecological impacts.
- (c) Where utility corridors must cross shoreline jurisdiction, such crossings shall be designed to take the shortest, most direct route feasible, unless such a route would result in loss of ecological function, disrupt public access to the shoreline, or obstruct visual access to the shoreline.
- (d) Utility projects within shoreline jurisdiction shall be located within existing transportation or utility corridors or existing cleared areas to the greatest extent feasible.
- (e) Utility production and processing facilities, such as power plants and sewage treatment plants, or parts of those facilities that are non-water-oriented shall not be allowed in shoreline areas unless it can be demonstrated that no other feasible option is available.
- (f) Upon completion of utility system installation, and any maintenance project, the disturbed area shall be regraded to compatibility with the natural terrain and replanted to prevent erosion and provide appropriate vegetative cover.
- (g) The presence of existing utilities shall not justify more intense development. Rather the development shall be consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, zoning code, and this SMP, and shall be supported by adequate utilities.

Section 15.08 Nonconforming Uses, Structures, and Lots

Nonconforming uses or developments are shoreline uses or development which were lawfully constructed or established prior to the effective date of this Master Program, or approved amendments to the Master Program, but which do not conform to present regulations or standards of the Master Program. The intent of this chapter is to provide regulations regarding nonconforming uses, structures, and lots as well as to establish residences as pre-existing legal uses, conforming to the Master Program as allowed by the SMA.

08.010 Non-Conforming Uses and Structures: Continuance and Discontinuance

- (a) Lots, structures, and uses that were legally established prior to adoption of this Master Program or that were in compliance with the Master Program at the time of initial establishment but, due to revision or amendment of the Master Program, have become noncompliant are nonconforming uses that may continue, without regard to ownership changes, so long as in compliance with this chapter. A use of property that is unlawful under other local, state, or federal laws shall not be deemed a nonconforming use.
- (b) Any use which existed prior to adoption of this Master Program or applicability of this Master Program to the property and which is not listed as a permitted use shall be considered a nonconforming use.
- (c) If a nonconforming use is replaced by a conforming use for any length of time, use of the property shall not revert to the nonconforming use. The mere presence of a structure shall not constitute the continuance of a nonconforming use. When a nonconforming use is discontinued for a period of one (1) year or more without replacement by a conforming use, legal conforming use status expires and further use of the structure or lot must be in compliance with the provisions of this Master Program.

08.020 Alteration, Expansion, or Restoration of Nonconforming Uses and Structures

Alteration, expansion, or restoration of nonconforming structures and uses are not allowed except as set forth in this Subsection.

- (a) Single Family Dwelling Units. See [BCC Section 15.08.040](#).
- (b) Other Structures or Uses – Legally Required Alterations or Expansions. Alteration or expansion of a nonconforming use or structure is allowed if necessary, to accommodate handicapped accessibility requirements, fire code, or other life safety related requirements mandated by local, state, or federal law.
- (c) Other Structures or Uses – Dimensional Nonconformities. Legally established structures used for a conforming use but which are nonconforming with regard to setbacks, buffers, or yards; area; bulk; height or density may be maintained and repaired and may be enlarged or expanded, provided that said enlargement does not increase the extent of nonconformity by further encroaching upon or extending into areas where construction or use would not be allowed for new development or uses. For example, vertical, lateral or anterior expansions that do not intrude into a required buffer and which are consistent with the maximum height of this SMP and underlying zoning may be allowed.
- (d) Structures Subject to Variances. A structure for which a variance has been issued shall be considered a legal nonconforming structure, and the requirements of this Section shall apply as they apply to pre-existing nonconformities.
- (e) Movement of a Structure. A nonconforming structure which is moved any distance must be brought into conformance with this Title and the SMA.
- (f) Other Non-conforming Structures. Except as set forth above, nonconforming structures may not be altered or expanded. Such other structures may be restored if less than fifty (50) percent of the gross floor area in flood hazard areas and seventy-five (75) percent of the gross floor area in the remainder of shoreline jurisdiction has been unintentionally destroyed or damaged if:
 - (1) All other requirements of the Benton County Code and the Benton-Franklin Health District are satisfied, including but not limited to setback requirements;

- (2) The nonconforming use resumes within such structure within one (1) year from the destroying or damaging event; and
- (3) The restoration of the nonconforming structure does not increase the gross floor area that existed immediately prior to the destruction or damaging event. Structures intentionally destroyed or damaged and those with fifty (50) percent or more of the gross floor area in flood hazard areas and seventy-five (75) percent or more of their gross floor area in the remainder of shoreline jurisdiction unintentionally destroyed or damaged may not be restored or reconstructed.

08.030 Nonconforming Lots

- (a) In any district, any permitted use or structure may be erected on any existing lot or parcel. This provision shall apply even though such lot fails to meet the minimum dimensional requirements of this Title, provided that such structure is allowed within the shoreline environment and all uses of the nonconforming lot shall comply with all other provisions this Master Program, underlying zoning requirements including setbacks, dimensional standards, and lot coverage requirements and the Benton- Franklin Health District .
- (b) Structures and customary accessory buildings on non-conforming lots shall be set back from the OHWM to the greatest extent feasible. Development proposed inside required buffers shall go through mitigation sequencing and shall require a mitigation plan.

08.040 Pre-Existing Legal Residential Uses – Conforming Legal Residential Structures

Notwithstanding Sections 15.08.010 to 15.08.030, the following shall apply only to pre-existing legal residential structures constructed prior to the effective date of this Title.

- (a) Residential structures and appurtenant structures that were legally established and are used for a conforming use, but that do not meet standards for the following shall be considered a conforming structure: Setback, buffers, or yards; area; bulk; height; or density.
- (b) The County shall allow redevelopment, expansion, or change with the class of occupancy, of the residential structure if it is consistent with the SMP, including requirements for no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. For example, vertical, lateral or anterior expansions that do not intrude farther into a required buffer and which are consistent with the maximum height allowed by this SMP and underlying zoning may be allowed.
- (c) Pre-existing legal residential structures that are damaged or destroyed may be replaced to their prior size and location subject to:
 - (1) all other requirements of the Benton County Code and the Benton-Franklin Health District are satisfied; and
 - (2) to restore a damaged dwelling unit, a complete application for a building permit shall be submitted within one (1) year of the act causing damage or destruction to the dwelling unit.
- (d) For purposes of this Section, “appurtenant structures” means garages, sheds, and other legally established structures. “Appurtenant structures” does not include bulkheads and other shoreline modifications or over-water structures.
- (e) Nothing in this Section shall:
 - (1) Restrict the ability of this Title to limit development, expansion, or replacement of over-water structures located in hazardous areas, such as floodplains and geologically hazardous areas; or
 - (2) Affect the application of other federal, state, or county requirements to residential structures.

Section 15.09 Administration, Permits, and Enforcement

09.010 Purpose

- (a) RCW 90.58.140(3) requires local governments to establish a Program, consistent with the rules adopted by the Washington Department of Ecology, for the administration and enforcement of shoreline development. Also, in accordance with RCW 90.58.050, Benton County has the primary responsibility for administering the regulatory program and Ecology acts primarily in a supportive and review capacity.
- (b) Pursuant to the Shoreline Management Act at RCW 90.58.080 and the Growth Management Act at RCW 36.70A.130, local governments must periodically review, and where appropriate, amend their SMP. Consistent with state laws, Benton County has established a process to evaluate and consider amendments to this SMP.
- (c) The application of this SMP is intended to be consistent with constitutional and other legal limitations on the regulation of private property. The SMP Administrator must give adequate consideration to mitigation measures, dimensional variances, and other possible methods to prevent undue or unreasonable hardships upon property owners.

09.020 Administrative Responsibilities

- (a) The County shall designate a SMP Administrator. The SMP Administrator in Benton County is the Planning Manager and shall have overall administrative responsibility of this SMP. The SMP Administrator or his/her designee is hereby vested with the authority to:
 - (1) Administrate this SMP.
 - (2) Grant or deny exemptions from Shoreline Substantial Development Permit requirements of this SMP.
 - (3) To grant, grant with conditions, or deny Shoreline Substantial Development Permits and time extensions to shoreline permits and their revisions.
 - (4) Make field inspections as needed and prepare or require reports on shoreline permit applications.
 - (5) Make written recommendations to the Hearings Examiner, Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners as appropriate. The SMP Administrator shall make recommendations to the Hearings Examiner regarding Shoreline Variances and Shoreline Conditional Use Permits. The SMP Administrator shall recommend SMP amendments to the Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners.
 - (6) Advise interested persons and prospective applicants as to the administrative procedures and related components of this SMP.
 - (7) Determine and collect fees for all necessary permits as provided in County ordinances or resolutions. The determination of which fees are required shall be established by resolution of the Board of County Commissioners.
 - (8) Make administrative decisions and interpretations of the policies and regulations of this SMP and the SMA.
- (b) The responsible SEPA official or his/her designee is authorized to conduct environmental review of all use and development activities subject to this SMP, pursuant to WAC 197-11 and RCW 43.21C. The responsible official is designated in accordance with the Benton County Code.
- (c) The Hearing Examiner is authorized to:
 - (1) Grant or deny Shoreline Variances, and Shoreline Conditional Use Permits under this SMP.
 - (2) Decide on appeals of administrative decisions issued by the Administrator of this SMP.
- (d) The Planning Commission is authorized to:

- (1) Review the SMP as part of regular SMP updates required by RCW 90.58.080 as a major element of each County's planning and regulatory program and make recommendations for amendments thereof to the Board of County Commissioners.
- (e) The Board of County Commissioners is vested with authority to:
 - (1) Initiate an amendment to this SMP according to the procedures prescribed in WAC 173-26-100.
 - (2) Adopt all amendments to this SMP, after consideration of the recommendation of the planning commission, where established. Amendments shall become effective 14 days from the date of the Washington Department of Ecology's written notice of final approval.

09.030 Noticing Requirements

- (a) Applicants shall follow the noticing requirements of the County. At a minimum, the County shall provide notice in accordance with WAC 173-27-110, and shall be consistent with noticing requirements in BCC Title 17.
- (b) Per WAC 173-27-120 the County shall comply with special procedures (public notice timelines, appeal periods, etc.) for limited utility extensions and bulkheads.

09.040 Exemption from Permit Requirements

- (a) An exemption from the Shoreline Substantial Development Permit process is not an exemption from compliance with the SMA or this SMP, or from any other regulatory requirements. To be authorized, all uses, and development must be consistent with the policies, requirements and procedures of this SMP and the SMA.
- (b) Exemptions shall be construed narrowly. Only those developments that meet the precise terms of one or more of the listed exemptions may be granted exemption from the Shoreline Substantial Development Permit process.
- (c) A development or use that is listed as a conditional use pursuant to this SMP or is an unlisted use, must obtain a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit even though the development or use does not require a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit. When a development or use is proposed that does not comply with the bulk, dimensional and performance standards of this SMP, such development or use can only be authorized by approval of a Shoreline Variance.
- (d) The burden of proof that a development or use is exempt from the permit process is on the applicant.
- (e) If any part of a proposed development is not eligible for exemption, then a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit is required for the entire proposed development project.
- (f) The County may attach conditions to the approval of exempted developments and/or uses as necessary to assure consistency of the project with the SMA and this SMP. Additionally, nothing shall interfere with the County's ability to require compliance with all other applicable laws and plans.
- (g) The County shall exempt the shoreline developments listed in WAC 173-27-040 and RCW 90.58.030 (3)(e), 90.58.140(9), 90.58.147, 90.58.355 and 90.58.515, as amended, or successor laws, from the Shoreline Substantial Development Permit requirement.
- (h) Letters of exemption shall be issued by the County when a development application is determined to meet the listed criteria for an exemption and when a letter of exemption is required by the provisions of WAC 173-27-050, as amended.

09.050 Interpretations

- (a) The SMP Administrator shall provide administrative interpretations in accordance with the SMA, the SMP Guidelines and with BCC 17.10.170.
- (b) The application of this SMP is intended to be consistent with constitutional and other legal limitations on the regulation of private property. The SMP Administrator shall give adequate consideration to mitigation

measures, dimensional variances, and other possible methods to prevent undue or unreasonable hardships upon property owners.

- (c) The County shall consult with Ecology to ensure that any formal written interpretations are consistent with the purpose and intent of chapter 90.58 RCW and 173-26 WAC.

09.060 Permit Applications

- (a) Shoreline applications are classified as follows:
 - (1) Substantial Development Permit
 - (2) Conditional Use Permit
 - (3) Variance
 - (4) Shoreline Exemption
- (b) Permits for Substantial Development, Shoreline Conditional use, or Shoreline Variance shall be in a form prescribed and used by the County including a combined permit application form. Such forms will be supplied by the County.
- (c) The contents of permit applications must be consistent with WAC 173-27-180 and Benton County Code.
- (d) Where this SMP requires more information than the minimum required by WAC 173-27-180, the SMP Administrator may vary or waive requirements beyond WAC 173-27-180 if the information is unnecessary to process the application.
- (e) The SMP Administrator may require additional specific information if required by the nature of the proposal or the presence of sensitive ecological features, to ensure compliance with other local requirements or the provisions of this SMP.
- (f) At the time of application, the applicant must pay the application fee.

09.070 Procedures applicable to all shoreline permits

- (a) All applications for a permit or a permit revision shall be submitted by the County to Ecology upon a final decision by the County. Final decision by the County shall mean the order or ruling, whether it be an approval or denial, which is established after all local administrative appeals related to the permit have concluded or the opportunity to initiate such appeals have lapsed. Filing shall occur consistent with WAC 173-27-130.
- (b) As set forth in WAC 173-27-190, each Substantial Development Permit, Conditional Use Permit, or Variance, issued by the County must contain a provision that construction pursuant to the permit may not begin and is not authorized until twenty-one days from the date of filing as defined in RCW 90.58.140(6) and WAC 173-27-130, or until all review proceedings initiated within twenty-one days from the date of such filing have terminated; except as provided in RCW 90.58.140(5)(a) and (b).
- (c) A permit data sheet shall be submitted to Ecology with each shoreline permit. The permit data sheet form shall be consistent with WAC 173-27-990.
- (d) After the County's approval of a conditional use or variance permit, the County shall submit the permit to the department for Ecology's approval, approval with conditions, or denial. Ecology shall render and transmit to the County and the applicant its final decision approving, approving with conditions, or disapproving the permit within thirty days of the date of submittal by the County pursuant to WAC 173-27-110.
- (e) Ecology shall review the complete file submitted by the County on conditional use and variance permits and any other information submitted or available that is relevant to the application. Ecology shall base its determination to approve, approve with conditions or deny a conditional use permit or variance on consistency with the policy and provisions of the SMA and, except as provided in WAC 173-27-210, the criteria in WAC 173-27-160 and 173-27-170.
- (f) The County shall provide appropriate notification of the Ecology's final decision to those interested persons having requested notification from local government pursuant to WAC 173-27-130.

- (g) All requests for review of any final permit decisions under chapter 90.58 RCW and chapter 173-27 WAC are governed by the procedures established in RCW 90.58.180 and chapter 461-08 WAC, the rules of practice and procedure of the Shorelines Hearings Board.
- (h) Except as specified in 09.110, Revisions to Permits, the applicant must comply with all aspects of an approval granted under this Chapter, including conditions and restrictions.
- (i) Construction and activities authorized by a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit are subject to the time limitations of WAC 173-27-090.

09.080 Procedures applicable to Substantial Development Permits

- (a) A Shoreline Substantial Development Permit shall be required for all development of shorelines, unless the proposal is specifically exempt per Section 09.040 or is not subject to the SMP per Section 01.030, Applicability.
- (b) Shoreline Substantial Development permits shall be processed consistent with this SMP and BCC Chapter 17.10, Permit Review Process.
- (c) A substantial development permit shall be granted only when the development proposed is consistent with:
 - (1) The policies and procedures of the SMA;
 - (2) The provisions of WAC 173-27; and
 - (3) This SMP.
- (d) The County may attach conditions to the approval of permits as necessary to assure consistency of the project with the SMA and this SMP.
- (e) Nothing shall interfere with the County's ability to require compliance with all other applicable plans and laws.

09.090 Procedures Applicable to Shoreline Conditional Use Permits

- (a) Uses specifically classified or set forth in this SMP as conditional uses shall be subject to review and condition by the Hearing Examiner and by Ecology. Shoreline Conditional Use Applications shall be processed consistent with this SMP and BCC Chapter 17.10, Permit Review Process.
- (b) Other uses which are not classified or listed or set forth in this SMP may be authorized as conditional uses provided the applicant can demonstrate consistency with the requirements of this Section and the requirements for conditional uses contained in this SMP.
- (c) Uses which are specifically prohibited by this SMP may not be authorized as a conditional use.
- (d) Uses which are classified or set forth in this SMP as conditional uses may be authorized provided that the applicant demonstrates all of the following:
 - (1) That the proposed use is consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and the SMP;
 - (2) That the proposed use will not interfere with the normal public use of public shorelines;
 - (3) That the proposed use of the site and design of the project is compatible with other authorized uses within the area and with uses planned for the area under the comprehensive plan and SMP;
 - (4) That the proposed use will cause no significant adverse effects to the shoreline environment in which it is to be located; and
 - (5) That the public interest suffers no substantial detrimental effect.
- (e) In the granting of all conditional use permits, consideration shall be given to the cumulative impact of additional requests for like actions in the area. For example, if conditional use permits were granted for other developments in the area where similar circumstances exist, the total of the conditional uses shall also remain consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and shall not produce substantial adverse effects to the shoreline environment.

09.100 Procedures Applicable to Shoreline Variances

- (a) The purpose of a variance is to grant relief to specific bulk or dimensional requirements set forth in this SMP where there are extraordinary or unique circumstances relating to the property such that the strict implementation of this SMP would impose unnecessary hardships on the applicant or thwart the policies set forth in RCW 90.58.020. Variances from the use regulations of the SMP are prohibited. Shoreline Variance Applications shall be processed consistent with this SMP and BCC Chapter 17.10, Permit Review Process.
- (b) Variance permits should be granted in circumstances where denial of the permit would conflict with the goals of the SMA as listed in RCW 90.58.020. In all instances the applicant must demonstrate that extraordinary circumstances shall be shown, and the public interest shall suffer no substantial detrimental effect.
- (c) Variance permits for development and/or uses that will be located landward of the OHWM, as defined in RCW 90.58.030 (2)(b), and/or landward of any wetland as defined in RCW 90.58.030 (2)(h), may be authorized provided the applicant can demonstrate all of the following:
 - (1) That the strict application of the bulk, dimensional or performance standards set forth in the SMP precludes, or significantly interferes with, reasonable use of the property;
 - (2) That the hardship described in criterion (1) of this Subsection is specifically related to the property, and is the result of unique conditions such as irregular lot shape, size, or natural features and the application of the SMP, and not, for example, from deed restrictions or the applicant's own actions;
 - (3) That the design of the project is compatible with other authorized uses within the area and with uses planned for the area under the comprehensive plan and SMP and will not cause adverse impacts to the shoreline environment;
 - (4) That the variance will not constitute a grant of special privilege not enjoyed by the other properties in the area;
 - (5) That the variance requested is the minimum necessary to afford relief; and
 - (6) That the public interest will suffer no substantial detrimental effect.
- (d) Variance permits for development and/or uses that will be located waterward of the OHWM, as defined in RCW 90.58.030 (2)(b), or within any wetland as defined in RCW 90.58.030 (2)(h), may be authorized provided the applicant can demonstrate all of the following:
 - (1) That the strict application of the bulk, dimensional or performance standards set forth in the applicable SMP precludes all reasonable use of the property;
 - (2) That the proposal is consistent with the criteria established under Subsection (c); and
 - (3) That the public rights of navigation and use of the shorelines will not be adversely affected.
- (e) In the granting of all variance permits, consideration shall be given to the cumulative impact of additional requests for like actions in the area. For example, if variances were granted to other developments and/or uses in the area where similar circumstances exist the total of the variances shall also remain consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and shall not cause substantial adverse effects to the shoreline environment.

09.110 Revisions to Permits

- (a) When an applicant seeks to revise a shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, or variance, whether such permit or variance was granted under this SMP, or under the prior effective SMP the SMP Administrator shall request from the applicant detailed plans and text describing the proposed changes to the project. If the Administrative Official determines that the proposed changes are within the general scope and intent of the original substantial development permit, conditional use permit or variance, as the case may be, the revision may be approved by the Shoreline Administrator, without the

need for the applicant to file a new Substantial Development Permit application, provided the development is consistent with the SMA, WAC 173-27-100 (Revisions to Permits), and the SMP.

- (b) Within the “scope and intent” of the original permit as referenced in Subsection (a) means the following:
- (1) No additional over-water construction will be involved, except that pier, dock, or float construction may be increased by 500 square feet or 10 percent from the provisions of the original permit, whichever is less.
 - (2) Lot coverage and height may be increased a maximum of 10 percent from the provisions of the original permit,
 - (3) Additional or revised landscaping is consistent with the conditions attached to the original permit and with the SMP.
 - (4) The use authorized pursuant to the original permit is not changed.
 - (5) No adverse environmental impact will be caused by the project revision.
 - (6) The revised permit shall not authorize development to exceed height, lot coverage, setback, or any other requirements of the SMP except as authorized under a variance granted as the original permit or a part thereof.
- (c) If the revision, or the sum of the revision and any previously approved revisions, will violate the criteria specified above, the SMP Administrator shall require the applicant to apply for a new shoreline substantial development or conditional use permit or variance, as appropriate, in the manner provided for herein.
- (d) If proposed revisions to the original permit involve a conditional use or variance, the County shall submit the proposed revision to Ecology for review. Ecology shall respond with its final decision on the proposed revision request within 15 days of the date of receipt by Ecology per WAC 173-27-100(6).

09.120 Enforcement Authority

The County shall apply 173-27 WAC Part II, Shoreline Management Act Enforcement, to enforce the provisions of this SMP.

09.130 Amendments to SMP

- (a) This SMP carries out the policies of the Shoreline Management Act for Benton County. It shall be reviewed and amended as appropriate in accordance with the review periods required in the SMA and in order to:
- (1) Assure that this SMP complies with applicable law and guidelines in effect at the time of the review; and
 - (2) Assure consistency of this SMP with the County's Comprehensive Plan and development regulations adopted under chapter 36.70A RCW, if applicable, and other local requirements.
- (b) This SMP and all amendments thereto shall become effective 14 days from the date of the Washington Department of Ecology’s written notice of final approval.
- (c) The SMP may be amended annually or more frequently as needed pursuant to the Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A.130(2)(a)(iii).
- (d) Future amendments to this SMP may be initiated by any of the following: The Benton County Shoreline Administrator, Planning Commission, or Board of County Commissioners. The following persons may petition the Planning Commission and Benton County Commissioners to support an amendment:
- (1) Any owner of property in unincorporated Benton County, when such request is for an amendment that would affect only that person’s property;
 - (2) Any resident of unincorporated Benton County supported by ten (10) signatures of persons also residing in unincorporated Benton County; and
 - (3) Any local governmental or non-governmental agency operating in Benton County.

- (e) Applications for SMP amendments shall specify the changes requested and any and all reasons therefore. Applications shall be made on forms specified by the County. Such applications shall contain information specified in the County's procedures for Comprehensive Plan and development regulation amendments pursuant to RCW 36.70A, the Growth Management Act, and information necessary to meet minimum public review procedures in Subsection C.
- (f) The County shall accomplish the amendments in accordance with the procedures of the Shoreline Management Act, Growth Management Act, and implementing rules including, but not limited to, RCW 90.58.080, WAC 173-26-100, RCW 36.70A.106 and 130, and Part Six, Chapter 365-196 WAC.
- (g) Proposals for amendment of this SMP shall be heard by the Planning Commission in an open record hearing. After conducting a hearing and evaluating testimony regarding the application, including a recommendation from the Shoreline Administrator, the Planning Commission shall submit its recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners, who shall approve or deny the proposed amendment following their open record hearing.
- (h) Prior to approval, the County shall make a finding that the amendment would accomplish #1 or #2, and must accomplish #3:
 - (1) The proposed amendment would make this Program more consistent with the SMA and/or any applicable Department of Ecology SMP Guidelines; or
 - (2) The proposed amendment would make this Program more equitable in its application to persons or property due to changed conditions in an area; and
 - (3) This Program and any future amendment hereto shall ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and processes on a programmatic basis in accordance with the baseline functions present as of April 2013 (the *Final Shoreline Analysis Report*).
- (i) After approval or disapproval of a SMP amendment by the Department of Ecology as provided in RCW 90.58.090, the County shall publish a notice that the SMP amendment has been approved or disapproved by Ecology pursuant to the notice publication requirements of RCW 36.70A.290.

09.140 Monitoring

- (a) The County will track all shoreline permits and exemption activities to evaluate whether the SMP is achieving no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. Activities to be tracked using the County's permit system include development, conservation, restoration and mitigation, such as:
 - (1) New shoreline development
 - (2) Shoreline Variances and the nature of the variance
 - (3) Compliance issues
 - (4) Net changes in impervious surface areas, including associated stormwater management
 - (5) Net changes in fill or armoring
 - (6) Net change in linear feet of flood hazard structures
 - (7) Net changes in vegetation (area, character)
- (b) Using the information collected in Subsection (a) a no net loss report shall be prepared every eight years as part of the County's SMP evaluation or Comprehensive Plan Amendment process. Should the no net loss report show degradation of the baseline condition documented in the County's Shoreline Analysis Report, changes to the SMP and/or Shoreline Restoration Plan shall be proposed at the time of the eight-year update to prevent further degradation and address the loss in ecological functions.



Community Development Department
Prosser Office: 620 Market Street, 1st Floor
Kennewick Office: 102206 East Wiser Parkway
www.co.benton.wa.us

Planning Division
(509) 786-5612
P.O. Box 910, Prosser, WA 99350
planning.department@co.benton.wa.us

**Benton County and the Department of Ecology
Notice of Open Record Joint Hearing and Comment Period**

NOTICE IS GIVEN that Benton County and the Department of Ecology will be holding a joint local/state Public Comment Period and Public Hearing on Benton County's Shoreline Master Program Periodic Review on April 13, 2021 at 6 p.m. via a virtual meeting platform (see below for more information).

Benton County and Washington Dept. of Ecology are accepting comments on a periodic review of the county's shoreline master program under RCW 90.58.080(4). The county has prepared draft SMP amendments to keep the SMP current with changes in state law, changes in other county plans and regulations, and other changed local circumstances.

The proposed amendments consist of housekeeping edits to reflect updated code sections, dates, etc. Deleting the definition for Board of Adjustment and adding text for Hearing Examiner, adding text to the definition for "Development", amending the definition for "Substantial development" by updating the dollar amount for exceeding the fair market value, adding text to Section 15.04.120 regarding "Developments not required to obtain shoreline permits or local reviews", adding text to 15.06.030 for external or internal retrofitting of an existing structure for compliance with ADA, amending 15.07.030 by adding language to Substantial Development Permit exception applying to dock construction, and amending 15.07.150 to add a language regarding County permit review time

Public comment will be accepted from March 24th to April 23, 2021 at 5 p.m. A joint local/state public hearing is set for April 13, 2021 via a virtual meeting format (see below for information on attending the meeting). Send comments to the Benton County Planning Division at P.O. Box 910, Prosser WA or by email to planning.department@co.benton.wa.us. Comments sent to the county will be forwarded to the Dept. of Ecology.

Proposed amendments to the county shoreline master program are available for review at <https://tinyurl.com/SMP2021update> and at the County Planning Division Office within the Public Services Building at 102206 E. Wiser Parkway, Kennewick WA 99338.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that the Shoreline Master Plan has been reviewed under the requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act and a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) was issued on February 24, 2021 and accordingly an Environmental Impact Statement was not required. Any comments regarding this determination and the environmental impacts of the proposal can be made at the Planning Commission Hearing using the method noted below or in writing to the Benton County Planning Department by 5 p.m. on Monday April 13, 2021.

Due to Governor's "Stay Home, Stay Healthy" order the County Offices are closed to in person visits from the public at this time. To continue to provide public access to the Planning Commission meetings, Benton County will be providing telephonic and video access for the public to view and provide testimony at the Planning Commission meetings. If you choose to join the meeting telephonically, we ask that you please limit background noise or mute your line to prevent any

unnecessary interruption to the meeting. To find information on virtual attendance options, including streaming video, WebEx video conferencing and telephone, please visit www.tinyurl.com/BCPublicNotice.

If you wish to provide comments on any action before the Planning Commission, we ask that you please fill out our online form (found at <https://tinyurl.com/testifyform>) and submit your request to our office. You must submit a request form to participate for each hearing that you wish to attend. If you prefer to make the request by phone, please call our office at 786-5612 and we can add you to the list for providing testimony. At the meeting the names of those wishing to testify will be called out and at that time you will be able to present your comments/concerns regarding the specific agenda item.

At this hearing, the Planning Commission may recommend approval, conditional approval, or disapproval of the Shoreline Master Plan to the Benton County Board of Commissioners. All parties concerned may present any support or objections for the Plan per the phone in instructions above. Information concerning the Plan can be obtained at the Benton County Planning Department, by calling 736-3086 (Tri-Cities) or 786-5612 (Prosser).

Dated at Prosser, Washington on this 19th day of March 2021.

Martin Sheeran, Chairman
BENTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Greg Wendt, Director
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPT.

PUBLISH ON: March 24, 2021

EA 2021-003

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
 - a. 2021 Periodic Update to the Benton County Shoreline Master Program
 - b. Amendment to the Benton County Code - Title 15 - Environment .
2. Name of applicant:
Benton County, WA
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
Greg Wendt, Director of Community Development
Planning Division
P.O. Box 910
Prosser WA 99350
4. Date checklist prepared:
January 19, 2021
5. Agency requesting checklist:
Benton County, WA
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Benton County proposes to locally adopt the 2021 Periodic update to the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) in May/June 2021 after which the SMP and supporting documentation will be delivered to the Washington State Dept. of Ecology for its formal review and approval. The County is also proposing an amendment to Benton County Code Title 15 - Environment (critical areas regulations), which will adopted by the Board of County Commissioners in May/June 2021.
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal?
None at this time.
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
The County used best available science in the updating of the development regulations, including a science report developed by Anchor QEA on riparian conditions in Benton County streams and guidance documents from the Washington State Department of Ecology and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
Current development applications are being processed under the current development regulations until the updated ordinances are in effect.
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.



Board of County Commissioners are the final decision-making body for a text amendment to the Benton County Code Title 15-Environment (critical areas regulations), and the Board of County Commissioners and Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) are both decision-makers for the SMP amendments.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)
 - a. 2021 Periodic Update to the Benton County Shoreline Master Program (SMP), as approved on Feb 16, 2015 by Ecology to ensure consistency with the State SMP Checklist and associated laws and regulations established since 2015 pursuant to SMP Grant Agreement SEASMP-1921-BeCOPD-00012.
 - b. Amendment to the Benton County Code, BCC Title 15- Environment (critical areas regulations): Revise Title 15, Chapters 15.02 and 15.14 to address consistency with the SMP, apply additional science for Benton County stream buffers and address minor clarifications.
12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The 2021 Periodic Update to the SMP will apply to shoreline jurisdiction within Benton County's unincorporated areas. The amendment to Benton County Code Title 15, Chapters 15.02 and 15.14 applies to those areas within the unincorporated portions of Benton County.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

- a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other:
General topographic characteristics vary greatly within Benton County and environmental evaluations for future site-specific projects will need to be conducted if a site-specific project is proposed.
- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

2. **Air**

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if know.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project

3. **Water**

- a. Surface:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water, or wetlands, and indicate the area of the site that

would be affected. Indicate the source of ill material.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if know.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

b. Ground:

- 1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if know.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage, industrial, containing the following chemicals . . . agricultural, etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

4. **Plants**

- a. Circle types of vegetation found on the site: deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other; evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other; shrubs; grass; pasture' crop or grain; wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other; water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other; other types of vegetation.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

5. **Animals**

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site: Birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

Mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

Fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

If any threatened or endangered species are found for site-specific projects, compliance with Benton County Code Title 15 - Environment (critical areas regulations) and the Benton County SMP will be required.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

6. **Energy and Natural Resources**

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

7. **Environmental Health**

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, which could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- 1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- 2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associate with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- c. Describe any structures on the site.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation for the site?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The regulations are consistent with the Benton County Comprehensive Plan policies and guidelines.

9. **Housing**

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

10. **Aesthetics**

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structures(s) not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

11. **Lights and Glare**

- a. What type of light or glare will be the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

12. **Recreation**

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts or recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plan, if any.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If know, indicate when peak volumes would occur.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

15. **Public Services**


- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example, fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, etc.)? If so, generally describe.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

16. **Utilities**

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project.

C. **SIGNATURE**

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____

Print Name: Greg Wendt, Director of Community Development
Benton County Community Development Department

Date Submitted: February 4, 2021

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON-PROJECT ACTIONS
(do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, environmental evaluations for future site-specific projects will need to be conducted at the time a site-specific project is proposed.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:
None.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, environmental evaluations for future site-specific projects will need to be conducted at the time a site-specific project is proposed. The intent of BCC Title 15 is to protect environmentally sensitive areas and the SMP does so as well, to achieve no-net-loss of ecological functions.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are:
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, environmental evaluations for future site-specific projects will need to be conducted at the time a site-specific project is proposed. The development regulations follow state regulations and guidelines as it relates to protecting vegetation, fish, and wildlife, and protecting shorelines.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, environmental evaluations for future site-specific projects will need to be conducted at the time a site-specific project is proposed.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, environmental evaluations for future site-specific projects will need to be conducted at the time a site-specific project is proposed. The development regulations protect natural resources from incompatible development and land uses.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains, or prime farmlands?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, environmental evaluations for future site-specific projects will need to be conducted at the time a site-specific project is proposed. Benton County Code Title 15-Environment is a regulatory document designed to protect environmentally sensitive areas. The SMP also protects

environmentally sensitive areas, wetlands and other natural features.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, mitigation measures may be required as a condition of approval for future site-specific projects through the SEPA review process if determined necessary. The development regulations protect the natural resources by requiring buffers and disallowing incompatible development or land uses.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, environmental evaluations for future site-specific projects will need to be conducted at the time a site-specific project is proposed. The development regulations protect streams and shorelines by requiring buffers and disallowing incompatible development or land uses.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, mitigation measures may be required as a condition of approval for future site-specific projects through the SEPA review process if determined necessary.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, environmental evaluations for future site-specific projects will need to be conducted at the time a site-specific project is proposed.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demands(s) are:

Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, mitigation measures may be required as a condition of approval for future site-specific projects through the SEPA review process if determined necessary.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.
Not applicable, this is not a site-specific project. However, if any threatened or endangered species are found during the review of a site-specific project, compliance with Benton County Code, Title 15 - Environment (critical areas regulations) and the Benton County SMP is required. The site-specific project would be required to be developed in accordance with all local, state and federal laws. Additionally, the development regulations rely on best available science from state agencies and qualified experts/professionals. None of the proposed regulations conflict with local, state, or federal laws.



PCM 1.6

DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

Proponent: Benton County Community Development
Dept. - Planning Division
P.O. Box 910, Prosser WA 99350

File No **EA 2021-003**

Project Description: *Benton County is proposing the following:*

- 1) *2021 Periodic Update to the Benton County Shoreline Master Program (SMP), as approved on Feb 16, 2015 by Ecology to ensure consistency with the State SMP Checklist and associated laws and regulations established since 2015 pursuant to SMP Grant Agreement SEASMP-1921-BeCOPD-00012.*
- 2) *Amendment to the Benton County Code, BCC Title 15- Environment (critical areas regulations): Revise Title 15, Chapters 15.02 and 15.14 to address consistency with the SMP, apply additional science for Benton County stream buffers and address minor clarifications.*

Project Location: Countywide

Jurisdiction: Benton County, Washington

Lead Agency: Benton County Community Development Dept. - Planning Division

Threshold Determination: The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). The decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. The environment threshold determination is based on an analysis of information contained in the following documents or the applicable regulations and restrictions of various agencies:

1. Benton County, BCC Title 6.35 Environmental Policy (SEPA)
2. Benton County, BCC Title 11, Zoning
3. Benton County Comprehensive Plan
4. Benton County, BCC Title 15 Environment (Critical Area Ordinance)

5. Regulations of the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife, Department of Transportation, Department of Ecology, Department of Natural Resources and Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation

6. Benton County 2015 Shoreline Master Plan

This DNS is issued under WAC197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by **March 3, 2021**.

SEPA Responsible Official: Greg Wendt, Director

Position/Title: Benton County Community Development Dept.

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 910, Prosser WA 99350

Date: **February 24, 2021**

Signature


Greg Wendt, Director

DISTRIBUTION:

Applicant	Yakama Indian Nation
News Media (Encl. map or plot plan)	Fire District 1
Benton County Building Office	Fire District 2
Dept. of Natural Resources-Olympia	Fire District 3
Dept. of Natural Resources -Ellensburg	Fire District 4
Benton Clean Air Authority	Fire District 5
Bureau of Reclamation	Fire District 6
Benton County Public Works	Fire Marshal
City of Kennewick	Tom Price, Environmental Review Inc.
City of Richland	Dept. of Agriculture
City of Benton City	Conf. Tribes of the Umatilla Indian
City of Prosser	Reservation
City of West Richland	Bureau of Land Management
Benton-Franklin Dist. Health Dept.	Department of Fish and Wildlife
Kennewick Irrigation District	Office of Arch. & Historic Preservation
Kiona Irrigation District	Futurewise
Benton Irrigation District	Dept. of Commerce
Badger Mountain Irrigation District	Bonneville Power Admin.
Columbia Irrigation District	Dept. of the Navy
Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District	FFA
Roza Irrigation District	Department of Corrections
Department of Transportation	Washington State Conservation Commission
Dept. of Transportation-Aviation Division	
Port of Benton	
Port of Kennewick	
Washington State Department of Health	
Department of Ecology - Olympia	
Department of Ecology - Yakima	
Corps of Engineers	